Page 1

THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

SIERRA CLUB, EN	IVIRONMENTAL	)			
LAW & POLICY CE	ENTER & POLICY	)			
CENTER, PRAIRIE	E RIVERS	)			
NETWORK AND CIT	IZENS AGAINST	)			
RUINING THE ENV	/IRONMENT,	)			
		)			
Com	nplainants,	)			
		)			
VS		)	No.	PCB	13-15
		)			
MIDWEST GENERAT	TION, LLC,	)			
		)			
Res	spondent.	)			

TRANSCRIPT FROM THE PROCEEDINGS

taken before HEARING OFFICER BRADLEY HALLORAN by LORI ANN ASAUSKAS, CSR, RPR, a notary public within and for the County of Cook and State of Illinois, at the James Thompson Center, Room 9-040, Chicago, Illinois, on the 2nd day of February, 2018, A.D., at 9:00 o'clock a.m.

February 2, 2018

Page 2 1 A P P E A R A N C E S: 2 ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD, 100 West Randolph Street 3 Suite 11-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 (312) 814-6983 4 BY: MS. BRADLEY HALLORAN, HEARING OFFICER, 5 6 LAW OFFICE OF FAITH E. BUGEL, 7 1004 Mohawk Road Wilmette, Illinois 60091 8 (312) 282-9119 fbugel@gmail.com BY: MS. FAITH E. BUGEL 9 10 ENVIRONMENTAL LAW & POLICY CENTER, 35 East Wacker Drive 11 Suite 1600 12 Chicago, Illinois 60601 (312) 795-3712 13 ldubin@elpc.org BY: MS. LINDSAY DUBIN, 14 ENVIRONMENTAL INTEGRITY CENTER, 15 1000 Vermont Avenue NW 16 Suite 1100 Washington, D.C. 20005 17 (202) 263-4453 aruss@environmentalintegrity.org slam@environmentalintegrity.org 18 BY: MR. ABEL RUSS and 19 MS. SYLVIA LAM, 20 SIERRA CLUB, 2101 Webster Street 21 Suite 1300 Oakland, California 94612 22 (415) 977-5637 greq.wannier@sierraclub.org 23 BY: MR. GREG WANNIER, 24 Appeared on behalf of the Complainants;

February 2, 2018

Page 3 1 A P P E A R A N C E S: (Continued) 2 NIJMAN & FRANZETTI, LLP, 3 10 South LaSalle Street Suite 3600 Chicago, Illinois 60603 4 (312) 251-5255 5 jn@nimanfranzetti.com kg@nimanfranzetti.com 6 BY: MS. JENNIFER T. NIJMAM and MS. KRISTEN GALE, 7 Appeared on behalf of the Respondent. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

		Page 4
1	I N D E X PAGES	
2 ת	Opening Comments by Hearing Officer 5 - 7	
4	Direct Examination of Mr. Seymour 7 - 129	
5	Cross-Examination of Mr. Seymour 129 - 205	
6	Public Comment by Ms. Shanley-Roberts206 - 211 Public Comment by Ms. Flores	
7	Cross-Examination of Mr. Seymour216 - 312	
8 9	Redirect Examination of Mr. Seymour312 - 326	
10	Recross-Examination of Mr. Seymour326 - 330	
11	Briefing Schedule	
12 13		
14	EXHIBITS	
15	Marked Admitted	
16	Respondent's Exhibit No. 900129Respondent's Exhibit No. 901129	
17	Respondent's Exhibit No. 902129Respondent's Exhibit No. 903129Respondent's Exhibit No. 904129	
10	Respondent's Exhibit No. 905 129 Respondent's Exhibit No. 906 129	
20	Respondent's Exhibit No. 907129Respondent's Exhibit No. 908129	
21	Respondent's Exhibit No. 909 129	
22		
23		
24		

February 2, 2018

Page 5 1 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ηi. 2 Good morning, everyone. My name is Brad 3 Halloran. I'm also a hearing officer with the Illinois Pollution Control Board. I'm 4 5 assigned to this matter entitled Sierra Club, Environmental Law and Policy Center, and 6 7 Citizens Against Ruining the Environment, 8 complainants versus Midwest Generation, LLC, 9 Respondent. It's docketed as No. PCB 13-15. 10 It's an enforcement, water. 11 Today is February 2, 2018, 12 Groundhog Day. This is continued on record 13 from February 1, 2018. That was yesterday. 14 At present, we have Mr. Seymour 15 on the stand under direct by Ms. Nijman from 16 Midwest. I think we should introduce everyone 17 again starting with Mr. Wannier. 18 MR. WANNIER: Yes. My name is Greq 19 Wannier, attorney for Sierra Club, representing 20 complainant. 21 MS. BUGEL: Faith Bugel also 22 representing complainant, Sierra Club. 23 MR. RUSS: Abel Russ, representing 24 complainant, Prairie Rivers Network.

February 2, 2018

Page 6 1 MS. NIJMAN: Jennifer Nijman and 2 Kristen Gale for Respondent, Midwest Generation. 3 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank 4 you. 5 And I do want to note for 6 the record I do have an Anad Rao from the 7 technical unit here and also attorney advisor Jason James. 8 9 With that said, Mr. Seymour, 10 you can raise your right hand and Lori will 11 swear you in. 12 THE COURT REPORTER: Do you swear the testimony you are about to give will be 13 14 the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but 15 the truth, so help you God? 16 THE WITNESS: I do. 17 (Witness sworn.) HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 18 Thank 19 you. 20 Please proceed. 21 22 23 24

February 2, 2018

Page 7 1 WHEREUPON: 2 ЈОНΝ SEYMOUR, P.E., 3 called as a witness herein, having been first 4 duly sworn, deposeth and saith as follows: 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION 6 by Ms. Nijman 7 Mr. Seymour, yesterday when we left Q. 8 off with our discussion, we were in the middle, 9 I believe, of talking about the Joliet site. 10 Do you recall that? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. Okay. And I believe you had said 13 yesterday that you had looked at, as part of 14 your overview of all the sites, but specifically 15 now as to Joliet, you had looked at groundwater 16 elevations and groundwater flow. 17 Do I have that correctly? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Ο. If you would, turn to Slide 19. 20 Α. Okay. 21 Now, we heard Mr. Gnat describe the Q. 22 location of these monitoring sells. 23 Did you agree with his 24 description of the wells and the locations

February 2, 2018

Page 8 1 they were placed? 2 Α. Yes, I do. 3 Q. And this map on Page 19 accurately reflects the location of those monitoring 4 5 wells? 6 Α. Yes, I believe so. 7 And Mr. Gnat also talked about these Q. 8 upgradient versus downgradient. 9 Did you agree with his 10 assessment of Monitoring Wells 11, 10, 9 and 8 as upgradient -- excuse me -- 11, 11 12 10 and 8 as upgradient? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Did you independently establish Q. 15 groundwater flow for all of the sites? Yes, I did. 16 Α. 17 And did you also review Mr. Gnat's Q. 18 assessment of groundwater flow? 19 Α. Yes, I did. 20 Do you agree with his process for Q. 21 developing groundwater flow as he described 22 it? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Q. Now, you heard Dr. Kunkel say that

February 2, 2018

Page 9 1 he was concerned that there could be higher 2 unseen levels of groundwater in between 3 sampling events at Joliet. 4 What is your opinion on that 5 point? 6 Α. Well, I think it's somewhat 7 theoretical. We've got 26 or 27 quarters, 8 over seven years of data, and that -- I 9 believe that the data -- the groundwater 10 data that we have is -- is very thorough and excellent and we haven't seen that 11 large of a swing that he im- -- implied. 12 So I don't see that in the -- in the facts. 13 14 Q. And what, if any, is the impact 15 of the Des Plaines River on groundwater 16 flow at the site? 17 Α. Well, there's a direct connection 18 because the groundwater flow is into the 19 surface water and the -- where -- the level 20 of the surface water is the discharge point 21 so groundwater will flow to the lowest point. 22 So when you have a surface 23 water body and you have groundwater levels, 24 you intuitively will think the water will

February 2, 2018

Page 10 1 flow to the surface water. 2 And you say "intuitively." 0. 3 Is that a known principal? 4 Is that an accepted principal? 5 Well, no. It's just I've done Α. 6 this so often. You -- you sort of know it, 7 but it's physics. It's groundwater hydraulics. 8 Q. Now, Dr. Kunkel seemed to suggest that there could be flooding of the Des Plaines 9 10 River that could rise and fall impacting the 11 wells. 12 Do you agree with that 13 assessment? The -- the surface water can rise 14 Α. 15 and fall and it will affect the groundwater 16 and it's been measured over the -- that impact 17 has been measured over the years. 18 Would you explain that it affects --Q. 19 what do you mean that it affects the 20 groundwater? 21 Α. When the surface water comes up, 22 the groundwater will come up. I know that 23 in some of the reports that Dr. Kunkel --24 he explained the gradient reversal and Rich

February 2, 2018

Page 11 1 did that yesterday. 2 The gradient reversal is 3 more immediate to the edge of the river. 4 It does not go back into the site that 5 much because again, this is a pressure response. So the water doesn't flow from 6 7 the river to the wells. 8 The water pressure rises 9 and the gradient, meaning the direction 10 flow, really kind of mimics that rising and falling and it only reverses a short-term 11 12 near the river. 13 And as the testimony of 14 Mr. Gnat yesterday, and I agree with 15 Mr. Gnat, we haven't seen gradient reversals 16 in all those 27 quarters. I have sites that 17 it happens and you do see it, but not here. 18 Dr. Kunkel originally stated in Q. 19 his report, although he didn't testify 20 specifically about it, so I don't know whether 21 that opinion stands, but let's talk about it. 22 He originally stated in his 23 report that there is mounding from a pond at 24 Joliet 29.

Page 12 1 Do you agree with that 2 opinion? 3 Α. I disagree. Dr. Kunkel referred 4 to mounding around Monitor Well 9. And as 5 I stated in my report, and confirmed with further data, that he selected the highest 6 7 water level of 9, and then compared it to 8 approximately upgrading into Monitor Well 8. 9 And if monitor -- the water level of Monitor Well 9 is higher than Monitor 10 11 Well 8, his conclusion was that would be mounding and it was sustained. I think some 12 13 would have to agree, but what we find is that 14 for the vast majority of the data, Monitor 15 Well 9 is lower -- the water level is lower 16 than in Monitor Well 8 and mounding does not 17 occur. 18 So there may be some 19 short-term effects, but remember, it's 20 hard to tell. This is a very flat site. 21 The groundwater elevation across the site 22 might -- it's -- a couple thousand feet 23 might vary about a half a foot to a foot. 24 So that's really flat.

		Page 13
1	What that does is any	
2	measurement that you make becomes critical	
3	because you can measure to about an eighth	
4	of an inch or a quarter of an inch or a water	
5	level indicator. It's not that exact. And	
6	so if you're talking about a half an inch	
7	difference between wells, it's obviously	
8	within a measurement error. So I don't	
9	agree that mounding is occurring.	
10	Q. Thank you.	
11	If you would turn to page	
12	Slide 20, we heard Mr. Gnat talk about the	
13	groundwater flow direction and I'm showing	
14	you a depiction the depiction that he	
15	reviewed yesterday about depiction of	
16	groundwater flow at Joliet.	
17	Do you agree with the flow	
18	direction towards the river as indicated on	
19	this slide?	
20	A. Yes. He's indicated in the red	
21	lines flowing toward the river. And as he	
22	said, the flow lines are perpendicular to	
23	the equipotential lines or the elevation	
24	lines that are the the blue lines.	

February 2, 2018

Page 14 1 Now, earlier you stated that you Ο. 2 conducted a comparison of ash data from the 3 ponds with groundwater data. 4 Do you recall that? 5 Α. Yes. 6 And I think you also told me that Q. 7 that was a common standard or common type 8 of comparison that you do in your field? 9 Yes. Every site where you have Α. 10 some impact to the groundwater, you're going to compare it to some source of data 11 and that's what we're doing here. 12 It's 13 the same thing. 14 Q. And did you have the opportunity 15 to make that comparison for Joliet? 16 Well, at -- at Joliet, we had --Α. 17 I used the data from other sites. We have --18 for the ash data, and so that -- we had had 19 very consistent readings from all the different bottom ash -- well and Waukegan and Powerton 20 21 to say that we were looking for barium, boron 22 sulfate and TDS. 23 Q. And I think you said yesterday, because all of the stations were burning 24

Page 15 1 the same coal in the same way, that's why you 2 combined those? 3 Α. Yes, exactly. 4 If you turn to that next page, Ο. 5 Page 21 of the slides, what is this table? 6 Α. This is a data -- a presentation 7 of the comparison of the constituents on 8 the left that were found in groundwater and the next column is the constituent that we 9 look at in leachate from ash that had been 10 stored in the -- in the ponds. 11 12 And you can see where it 13 says barium, boron and sulfate here, is what 14 we're -- what we're focusing this table on. 15 Then across, you see each monitoring well. 16 So we -- we've looked at, you know, a year's 17 worth -- the most recent year's worth of data to evaluate what was found in each -- each 18 19 well, each constituent, and where you see the 20 dark shading, that's where that -- the result 21 was inconsistent meaning what was found in 22 the groundwater was inconsistent with what was 23 found in the ash leachate. 24 That goes to what was -- if it

1	was inconsistent, it was because something
2	in the groundwater was not in leachate or
3	something that is in leachate is not in
4	groundwater.
5	And so we summed to the
6	number of times that the data were inconsistent
7	and then calculated a percentage. And at the
8	bottom, you see that the percentage's range
9	sort of focused mainly around 40 to 60 percent
10	inconsistent. When it's inconsistent, the
11	conclusion what we made is that what's in the
12	groundwater is not the data is not consistent
13	with what's found in the ash.
13 14	with what's found in the ash. Q. And turning to the next page, there
13 14 15	<pre>with what's found in the ash. Q. And turning to the next page, there is an additional updated Table 5-4. I should</pre>
13 14 15 16	with what's found in the ash. Q. And turning to the next page, there is an additional updated Table 5-4. I should say on the prior page, Page 21, updated Table
13 14 15 16 17	<pre>with what's found in the ash. Q. And turning to the next page, there is an additional updated Table 5-4. I should say on the prior page, Page 21, updated Table 5-5, is that Table 5-5 from your expert report?</pre>
13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>with what's found in the ash. Q. And turning to the next page, there is an additional updated Table 5-4. I should say on the prior page, Page 21, updated Table 5-5, is that Table 5-5 from your expert report? A. The expert report relied on previous</pre>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>with what's found in the ash. Q. And turning to the next page, there is an additional updated Table 5-4. I should say on the prior page, Page 21, updated Table 5-5, is that Table 5-5 from your expert report? A. The expert report relied on previous data. This includes all of the updated the</pre>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>with what's found in the ash. Q. And turning to the next page, there is an additional updated Table 5-4. I should say on the prior page, Page 21, updated Table 5-5, is that Table 5-5 from your expert report? A. The expert report relied on previous data. This includes all of the updated the updated data obtained through the second quarter</pre>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>with what's found in the ash. Q. And turning to the next page, there is an additional updated Table 5-4. I should say on the prior page, Page 21, updated Table 5-5, is that Table 5-5 from your expert report? A. The expert report relied on previous data. This includes all of the updated the updated data obtained through the second quarter of 2017.</pre>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>with what's found in the ash. Q. And turning to the next page, there is an additional updated Table 5-4. I should say on the prior page, Page 21, updated Table 5-5, is that Table 5-5 from your expert report? A. The expert report relied on previous data. This includes all of the updated the updated data obtained through the second quarter of 2017. Q. And same for Page 22, the updated</pre>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>with what's found in the ash. Q. And turning to the next page, there is an additional updated Table 5-4. I should say on the prior page, Page 21, updated Table 5-5, is that Table 5-5 from your expert report? A. The expert report relied on previous data. This includes all of the updated the updated data obtained through the second quarter of 2017. Q. And same for Page 22, the updated Table 5-4, is that updated with the additional</pre>

Page 16

February 2, 2018

Page 17 1 Α. Yes. This is the same presentation 2 with the updated groundwater data. 3 Q. And why did you --MR. RUSS: Can I ask for a 4 5 clarification? I'm sorry. 6 It says from '16 --7 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Let's 8 hold on. Ask me and then go ahead, 9 Mr. Russ. Objection? MR. RUSS: Objection. Misstates 10 11 the exhibit. 12 It looks like this data is from 2016 to 2014. So it's not the 2014 13 14 updated through 2017? MS. NIJMAN: I can ask the 15 16 witness --17 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You 18 can clarify that. All right. Thank you. 19 BY MS. NIJMAN: 20 Mr. Seymour, would you explain what Q. 21 data -- which years of data are included in 22 this exhibit? 23 Yes. It begins -- it covers four Α. 24 quarters of data beginning in the third

		Page	18
1	quarter of 2016 through the most recent data		
2	that has been admitted to this hearing, the		
3	second quarter of 2017. So that is the		
4	updated data. It does not include the previous		
5	data.		
6	Q. And is that the same for the next		
7	slide, Slide 22?		
8	A. Yes, it is.		
9	Q. So your prior charts in your report		
10	deal with the pre-2016 or up to your report,		
11	the date of 2015, correct?		
12	A. What we agreed to do is that we would		
13	have a full calendar year representing all the		
14	seasons in 2014. So that data ended December of		
15	2014.		
16	Q. Thank you.		
17	Now, this Table 5-4 on Page 22,		
18	what did you do here? Why you site to EPRI.		
19	What does that mean?		
20	A. That's the Electric Power Research		
21	Institute. It's an independent corporation		
22	that does research for the power industry and		
23	they had conducted research at many different		
24	facilities as to what could be found in leachate		

		P
1	from CCRs. So we took their data, which	
2	is and again compared their data to what	
3	was found in groundwater and so you had a	
4	dozen or so constituents in groundwater and	
5	compared it to the dozen or so constituents	
6	identified by EPRI.	
7	Q. And did you do that, as you said	
8	yesterday, as a sort of a backup to the site	
9	data?	
10	A. Yeah. As I mentioned yesterday, if	
11	we start with the site-specific date, that's	
12	the best data. And when we go to the lit	
13	this is basically a literature study and	
14	research. You go to that as a backup or a	
15	corroboration to make sure we we're on	
16	target with our conclusions.	
17	Q. And did it corroborate generally?	
18	A. Yes, it did. We followed the same	
19	process and that at the bottom, you see	
20	the percentages. They're still maybe the	
21	50 to 60 percent consistent. So actually,	
22	it's a little more I'd say, on average,	
23	it's a little more inconsistent with the	
24	EPRI data than with the site data.	

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292

Page 19

February 2, 2018

Page 20 1 And when you --Q. 2 Α. But essentially, it's the same 3 conclusion. 4 Ο. When you say it's inconsistent, 5 do you mean there are constituents in 6 either the ash or groundwater that don't 7 match the other ash, the ash that's in 8 the EPRI report? 9 What are you saying? 10 Α. The groundwater constituents Yeah. found at the site are inconsistent with the 11 12 ash data constituents. As I said, that inconsistency is either when you find 13 14 something in one and not the other or you 15 don't find something in one, but you find it in the other. That's what we define 16 17 inconsistent as. 18 If you would, turn to the next Q. 19 slide, Page 23, of the binder in front of 20 you. 21 Α. Yes. 22 That's been marked as Exhibit 901 Q. 23 in the hearing here. Turning to what we've been 24 calling the historic ash filled areas at Joliet,

February 2, 2018

Page 21 1 does this -- we've heard a lot of descriptions 2 about the areas. Does this map 3 on Page 23 accurately depict the known former 4 ash filled areas that are at Joliet? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Q. And is there any groundwater 7 monitoring -- are there any groundwater 8 monitoring wells in the areas of these 9 historic ash? 10 Α. No. 11 Now, the map says on the left Q. 12 side -- there's a green circle that says 13 "Former Ash Placement Areas Sample 2005." 14 Α. I see it. 15 Is that part of the ash sampling Q. 16 that you reviewed in this case? 17 Α. Yes. 18 And again, what was that ash Q. 19 sampling? 20 What was the purpose of that 21 sampling? 22 The series of borings were obtained Α. 23 and sampled and analyzed to evaluate whether 24 it could be beneficially reused.

February 2, 2018

Page 22 1 And what were the findings? Ο. 2 Α. The findings of that initially 3 was that they found, I think, one out of 4 about 15 borings had some elevated levels. 5 So they came in and they removed that. So the -- having removed, I'll call it the 6 7 higher concentration area, KPRG did a calculation -- a statistical calculation 8 9 that basically concluded -- and I've looked at the date also. They concluded that it 10 met Illinois statute for the chemical 11 constituents for groundwater reuse and it 12 13 could be beneficially reused. 14 What is your opinion as to whether Q. 15 the historic ash from these areas of Joliet 16 are impacting groundwater? 17 Is there any evidence? 18 Α. I -- I do not see it. I don't --19 I don't think it's impacting ground- -- it's 20 not impacting the groundwater. 21 Now, do you recall what Dr. Kunkel Q. 22 said about a possible southwesterly flow from 23 the northeast area called alleged former ash 24 placement area and that he believed there

Page 23 1 could be some southwest flow of groundwater 2 towards the monitoring wells impacting those 3 wells? 4 Do you agree with that? 5 Α. I think that's an exaggeration 6 that he's presented. In the hydraulics of 7 groundwater -- which is, of course, I've 8 had as a practice for many decades -- the 9 groundwater will flow to the river, but 10 there is a slight, I'll call it, angle. And so there's a very slight southwest 11 12 angle, but it's -- I'll call it a factor. 13 You know, like a vertical 14 and horizontal component. And that component 15 down river is very small. When you look at the contours that I would expect, the water 16 17 will not flow from that site to impact the monitoring wells that we have seen around the 18 19 ponds at Joliet. 20 And when you say --Q. 21 And the nearest well is Monitor Well 1. Α. 22 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. 23 Repeat that. 24 THE WITNESS: The nearest well

February 2, 2018

```
Page 24
 1
       is Monitor Well 1.
 2
     BY MS. NIJMAN:
 3
         Q.
               When you say "that area," are you're
 4
     talking about it wouldn't flow from what we've
 5
     been calling the northeast area marked on this
 6
     map as alleged former ash placement area?
 7
         Α.
               Correct.
 8
               This slide also identifies the area
         Q.
 9
     of the Groundwater Management Zone.
10
                       Can you describe where that is
     on this site?
11
12
               The Groundwater Management Zone is
         Α.
13
     marked in the green hatching that basically
14
     surrounds the ponds and it goes from the
15
     upgradient area all the way down to the
16
     intake canal, which is the Des Plaines River.
17
               And are all of the monitoring wells
         Q.
18
     within that Groundwater Management Zone?
19
         Α.
               Yes, they are.
20
               Are ground management zones a
         Q.
21
     standard practice in Illinois in site
22
     investigations?
23
         Α.
               They are -- they are common.
                                               They
24
     are not necessary as a standard practice, but
```

February 2, 2018

Page 25 1 they are common and it's well -- well used 2 in Illinois. 3 Q. Is it statutory or regulatory? It is codified in both the 4 Α. Yes. 5 740 regulations and in the 620 regulations. One is for what we call TACO, Tiered Approach 6 7 for Corrective Objectives, and then the 620 8 is the groundwater rules in Illinois. 9 Q. Now, earlier when you were describing 10 your various reports and notes in this case, 11 you stated that you had some notes of a temporal 12 trend analysis. 13 Do you remember that? 14 Α. Yes. 15 And I think we've referred to them Q. 16 at what's been marked as Exhibit 906 in your 17 binder. 18 What is a temporal trend 19 analysis? 20 Temporal is meaning over time. Α. And so what we looked at over time, the groundwater 21 22 data, how it fluctuated from the chemistry 23 perspective, in other words, from the early 24 sampling throughout time until the most recent

February 2, 2018

Page 26 1 sampling, how did the individual constituents 2 vary in the individual wells. 3 Q. Looking at Slide 24, titled "Updated 4 Groundwater Constituent Temporal Trend Testing 5 Results Joliet 29," do you see that? 6 Α. Yes. 7 What is this slide showing? Ο. 8 This is a summary slide where --Α. 9 for the analysis. We used a linear regression 10 analysis, which is a mathematical that is used in an Excel spreadsheet with the appropriate 11 12 QAQC. We identified the monitoring well, for 13 example, at the top left as MW-01. It says 14 trend direction decreasing and it gives a slope, 15 which is the concentration per year, the change 16 per year. 17 And in this case, it's a 18 negative slope. And conclusion was that that 19 line that was simulated by the data is a 20 decreasing slope, meaning a decreasing 21 concentration. 22 And is this data updated to the --Q. 23 again, the second quarter of 2017 data? 24 Α. Yes. And this data includes all

February 2, 2018

Page 27 1 the data from beginning of the sampling 2 through the second quarter of 2017. 3 Q. Now, when Dr. Kunkel was testifying, 4 he showed us charts of boron and sulfate at 5 each well. 6 Do you know how he did his 7 trend review? 8 Α. Well, he sat through his testimony 9 last fall. He went site-by-site with a graph 10 of the data for each of the components -constituents looked at over time and he 11 12 basically concluded by eyeball this is 13 increasing or decreasing or not changing. 14 And how is your method different Q. 15 than eyeballing? 16 Well, this method is a mathematical Α. method and it's an objective method. There's 17 18 no subjective influence. When you base it on 19 eyeballing, it's subject. There is maybe an 20 inherent bias. So this takes out that bias 21 and is a more objective method. 22 Now, Dr. Kunkel criticized your Q. 23 notes here as not being Mann-Kendall or not 24 normalizing the data.

Page 28 1 What is your response to 2 that? 3 Α. Well, I -- I find it rather ironic 4 because he is criticizing a mathematical 5 method in favor of a subjective eyeballing method and he's compared it that I didn't 6 7 use another mathematical method when he 8 didn't use any mathematical method. And I'm familiar with 9 10 Mann-Kendall. It's another tool, another way to compare it. It doesn't make it any better 11 12 It's actually used for maybe a or worse. 13 little different application. 14 What we're looking at here 15 is the long-term trend as to what's going on 16 and it's -- it's just to get an idea at the 17 site in general as to what's going on. 18 And if you turn to the next slide, Q. 19 does this present your conclusions as to the 20 updated trend analysis at Joliet? 21 Yes. This is the Joliet trend. Τf Α. 22 we look at the top chart, it's a summary for 23 each of the four constituents we looked at and 24 you can see, for example, I'll just go through

		Page	29
1	one line, the increasing trend, barium is		
2	increasing at two wells, boron is increasing		
3	at two wells, manganese is increasing at one		
4	well and sulfate is increasing in two wells.		
5	So at the bottom, when you		
6	look at the overall conclusion, it appears		
7	that the concentrations are slightly decreasing		
8	because mainly, that the indicators that are		
9	decreasing are three wells to six wells. The		
10	ones that are increasing are only one to two.		
11	The ones that are no conclusion made are three		
12	to six.		
13	So in general, that middle		
14	group that it's decreasing and so they're		
15	getting slightly better based on this overall		
16	trend.		
17	Q. And when you did the trend analysis,		
18	you didn't compare it to a standard, per se?		
19	You just looked at whether it's going up or		
20	down?		
21	Do I understand that correctly?		
22	A. Yes, you do.		
23	Q. If you would, turn to what's been		
24	marked as Exhibit 908, Tab 908 in your binder.		

Page 30 1 Α. Yes. 2 So you may recognize this document Q. 3 from Dr. Kunkel's testimony. 4 Can you explain what it is? 5 Α. On the left are the charts that he -base charts that he used that was submitted 6 prehearing. On the right, it's a representation 7 8 of boron concentrations. Overall, I believe 9 this is Monitor Well 1. In the middle of this note is 10 testimony, what he stated, in that he stated in 11 12 this data are decreasing and at the bottom, you 13 can also see he's got the red line that 14 represents the back -- his representation of 15 back run for boron. 16 And on this chart in the orange color, Ο. 17 this is -- it stays standard boron level two, so 18 that's the class one standard --19 Α. Yes. 20 -- being shown? Q. 21 Α. Yes. 22 Q. Now, we heard Mr. Gnat talk about 23 this a little bit -- Dr. Kunkel, you said, 24 relied -- compared the data at Joliet and

February 2, 2018

Page 31 1 the other sites to background here, correct? That's what he said. 2 Α. 3 Q. And what is your opinion of using --4 well, Mr. Gnat explained that that background 5 was based on community water levels or community 6 water supplies throughout the state of Illinois. 7 Do you recall Mr. Gnat saying 8 that? 9 I do. Α. Did you -- do you agree that that's 10 Ο. how these backgrounds are Dr. Kunkel created? 11 The data that he used to present 12 Α. 13 this median value are from the community 14 water -- water wells, yes. 15 And what is your opinion of using Q. 16 the community water levels as a background? 17 Α. Well, a couple things. They're 18 not site-specific, number one. That's the 19 first thing you look at because I think as 20 we learned yesterday, there aren't that many well -- community wells near the site. 21 22 So first, you look at 23 site-specific wells. That's my first objection. 24 That's not site-specific.

		Page	32
1	If you want the second part,		
2	I will give you the second part. That is,		
3	what he's done, for example, for boron, he		
4	uses a median value of .12 as background. He		
5	represents it as the data used by IEPA and		
6	that's just not true.		
7	He also if you look at		
8	the group document that he has, which is one		
9	of the exhibits that is presented or attachments		
10	to his reports, he gives a diagram that shows		
11	the median value, as Rich mentioned yesterday,		
12	the number the value, which half of the		
13	numbers are greater than the median, half of		
14	the numbers are values that are less than.		
15	It doesn't represent what		
16	the Illinois EPA expects, which is a statistical		
17	representation. And on the diagram, they put		
18	75th percentile level of confidence and a 90th		
19	percentile level of confidence. What that is		
20	that is statistical calculation. It takes all		
21	the data and says if the value we measure are		
22	less than a level of confidence saying, like,		
23	for example, a 90 percent confidence level, if		
24	it's that number you're 90 percent sure that it		

February 2, 2018

Page 33 1 is within that background range. 2 And conversely, if it's 3 above that number, you're sure that it is 4 above background. But if it's within that 5 range, it's within the background range. 6 It's not above background. But that's 7 comparing it to the community wells. 8 To really, what Illinois 9 EPA says is that it has to be a statistical 10 evaluation and he did not do that. He just picked the median, which is -- which is 11 12 meaning that no matter what you meant, almost 13 no matter what you measure, even if it's not 14 impacting groundwater, half the values can 15 be above and half the values can below. So 16 it's not going to be an accurate representation 17 of background. 18 And just so we have the record clear, Q. 19 in Mr. -- Dr. Kunkel exhibits that are in front 20 of you in the binder, would you turn to what's 21 been marked there as, I believe, Exhibit 405 --22 Α. Okay. Yes. 23 If you turn to the document, Page 27, Q. 24 it's got a Bates number on it.

		Page 34
1	A. It does. Yes, Bates 019094.	
2	Q. And on that page, under the heading	
3	"Determining Background Values," the second	
4	sentence says, "Background refers to the	
5	concentration of chemical constituents	
6	migrating through the groundwater towards	
7	the regulated unit upgradient whether they	
8	are of natural or anthropogenic origin.	
9	Background also refers to the existing	
10	concentration of chemical constituents	
11	migrating in groundwater away from a regulated	
12	unit (downgradient)."	
13	Did I read that correctly?	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. So what is that saying?	
16	What does that mean to lay	
17	speak?	
18	A. This means that for the purpose of	
19	this rule, which is a rule for Illinois for	
20	CCR units	
21	Q. The proposed rule, do you mean?	
22	A. Excuse me, yes, the proposed rule.	
23	It's they say what's in	
24	the groundwater, if it's not caused by the	

		Page	35
1	unit, it's considered part background. So		
2	anthropogenic means by man, caused by man.		
3	So there can be other constituents in the		
4	groundwater that can be represented		
5	that can be present that aren't what		
6	we would often call background from broader		
7	perspective of unimpacted water.		
8	So the rule in Illinois		
9	the proposed rule in Illinois considers this,		
10	how you determine background, and I think		
11	it's very much in line with this whole process		
12	we've followed here for the past eight years,		
13	since 2010.		
14	As Mr. Gnat pointed out		
15	yesterday, for the purpose of this study,		
16	the background is what's in the upgradient		
17	wells if it's not from the source.		
18	Q. Now, we talked just a second ago		
19	about the upgradient wells of Joliet and I		
20	think we said eight, ten and 11; is that		
21	right?		
22	A. Monitor Well 8, Monitor Well 10		
23	and Monitor Well 11, yes.		
24	Q. And did you review the boring logs		

Page 36 1 for those wells? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. Do you know whether those three 4 upgradient wells are installed in ash fill? 5 Α. Those monitor wells are not installed in any kind of ash fill nor 6 7 any other monitoring well nor are the 8 historical borings conducted in 1998. 9 Now, Dr. Kunkel concluded that they Q. 10 were installed in ash fill. 11 Do you know why he made that 12 conclusion? 13 I believe, in all fairness, the Α. 14 documents that have been produced in this 15 process that had Joliet 9 borings logs 16 included with Joliet 29 power plant and 17 that some of those logs had ash in them, 18 but clearly the Patrick reports, which documented the installation of monitoring 19 20 wells did not have ash. 21 So I think he was confused 22 in what he found historically versus what 23 was actually found at the site because the 24 incorrect boring logs were attached to the
February 2, 2018

```
Page 37
 1
     original report.
 2
                       I had identified that
 3
     very early. I told my staff engineer to look
 4
     harder and we were able to find the correct
 5
     boring logs.
 6
         Ο.
               And, in fact, Ms. Race, talked about
 7
     those correct borings logs?
               I believe so.
 8
         Α.
 9
         Q.
               And just turn quickly to Maria Race,
10
     Exhibit 604, are these the correct borings logs,
     just for identification?
11
12
               Yeah. At this top, it says "Location
         Α.
     Joliet 29 for Boring Log 1." I'm sure if we go
13
     through here, they will -- they will all say at
14
     the top Joliet 29 Power Station. So these are
15
     the correct ones from ENSR.
16
17
               Mr. Gnat also talked about -- you can
         Q.
18
     put that aside.
                      Thank you.
19
                      You also talked about the
20
     potential for an upgradient off-site source
     of chloride at Joliet 29.
21
22
                      Do you agree with his
23
     testimony?
               Yes, I do.
24
         Α.
```

Page 38 1 And what is the basis for the Ο. 2 understanding that there is a source of 3 chloride? 4 Α. Well, Channahon Road is right there. 5 It's a heavy traffic road that parallels the river and it's salted. It's well known it's 6 7 salted. The salt runoff water goes down into 8 the ditch that parallels the site and parallels 9 those upgradient monitoring wells and then ditches even closer to a groundwater level. 10 And so it migrates to groundwater and then 11 12 migrates towards the river, which is under the 13 ponds and through the monitor wells and the chlorides are being detected in the monitoring 14 15 wells. 16 Mr. Gnat also spoke yesterday about 0. 17 nitrogen or nitrates and its connection to 18 fertilizer. 19 What is your opinion about 20 including nitrate as indicative of coal ash? 21 Α. I think it's unnecessary here. 22 Obviously, we end up -- it's not appropriate. 23 In addition, we have -- I haven't found it. 24 So I don't think that's appropriate.

February 2, 2018

Page 39 1 Now, looking back at Tab 90- -- excuse Ο. 2 me -- what's been marked as Midwest Gen 3 Exhibit 908 of the binder. Take a look 4 at Monitoring MW-11 for boron. 5 Α. Yes. 6 Q. Now, I think you just said MW-11 7 was an upgradient well, correct? 8 Yes, it is upgradient. Α. 9 Q. All right. 10 Excuse me. It is upgradient, but do Α. you want me to look at boron, did you say? 11 12 Q. Boron, which is on Page 11 of this document. 13 14 Α. I'm sorry. Okay. All right. 15 So based on your review of this Q. 16 upgradient well, what did you see? 17 I'm sorry. Are you there, 18 Page 11? 19 Pardon me? Α. I'm there now. 20 On Page 11? Q. 21 Α. Yes. 22 Okay. Based on your review of boron Q. 23 at MW-11 upgradient well at Joliet, what did 24 you see?

February 2, 2018

Page 40 1 Well, the graph presents the boron Α. 2 concentrations over time and the graph shows 3 that at two points back in late 2010, early 4 2011, and I believe that was prior to the 5 CCA, the compliance commitment agreements, that the boron exceeded the standard twice 6 7 and then subsequently, after the CCA, exhibits the -- of course the standard is 8 not a standard, but it did -- it looks like 9 it hit the boron standard once. So for all 10 for all those 27 monitoring points, there's 11 12 very little boron. 13 And, in fact, are there any other Ο. 14 wells at Joliet that had boron above the 15 Class 1 standard? 16 Well, as a matter of fact, the Α. 17 last number of quarters, there isn't anything 18 above the standards of anything. 19 And let's look at sulfate and just --Ο. 20 Page 19 of this Exhibit 908. 21 Α. What site, please? 22 Page 19 of Exhibit 908. Q. 23 Α. Yes. 24 Q. So this is -- it says, "Joliet 29

Page 41 1 Monitoring Well 8 Sulfate Concentration." 2 Do you see that? 3 Α. Yes. 4 What conclusions do you -- explain Ο. 5 what you are seeing here as to levels of sulfate 6 concentration. 7 Α. Well, this is Monitor Well 8 and 8 it's the same graph of sulfate concentrations over time in that we see that in the 2014 9 timeframe, maybe early 2015, the sulfate 10 concentrate -- concentration exceeded the --11 12 what's greater than sulfate standard. Again, 13 it's within the GMZ zone. So it's not a violation. It is by comparison levels. 14 Ιt 15 exceeded it twice and when you look at the 16 data, which, Jim --17 He isn't here. Q. 18 Α. Okay. We've got -- only twice of all those times it exceeded the standard and 19 20 even Dr. Kunkel said that it's -- he said it 21 was at background -- his background, which 22 means it's fairly low. Now, it lasts, like, 23 eight to ten guarters. It's been very low 24 and much less than the Illinois standard.

February 2, 2018

Page 42 1 And Monitoring Well 8 is also Ο. 2 upgradient, am I right? 3 Yes. Α. 4 Now, you said earlier that -- well, Ο. 5 you had an opportunity to review all of the 6 data for Joliet, the groundwater data? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. And what are your overall conclusions 9 regarding the sample results at Joliet? 10 Overall, and over the last year or Α. two, couple years, the data are less than 11 12 groundwater standard. 13 Q. And prior to that? Occasionally, it was exceeded and 14 Α. 15 oddly, upgradient is inconsistent with what 16 you'd expect if the ponds were a source, for 17 example. 18 Ο. You said earlier that you conducted 19 a risk analysis for Joliet 29, right? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Okay. And what did you find as to Q. 22 potential risk for Joliet 29? 23 Α. Overall, we found no unacceptable 24 risk to surface water. And to remind what

		Page	43
1	that entails, we took all the groundwater data		
2	as if it was surface water. We took the		
3	maximums and we took the averages and we		
4	compared those values to the Illinois Water		
5	Quality Standards, which is for surface		
6	water, or Illinois Water Quality Criteria,		
7	and there's you know, there's no risk		
8	at all if it's less than the standard.		
9	If it's greater than the		
10	standard, then it means that we looked at		
11	it further and we made a further evaluation		
12	point-by-point to conclude whether there		
13	was any unacceptable risk and we concluded		
14	in normal risk assessment standard and practice,		
15	there's no unacceptable risk to surface water.		
16	Q. And I think we've heard several		
17	times that are also no potable water receptors		
18	at Joliet, correct?		
19	A. Yes. There's no potable water wells		
20	at all and they haven't an ELUC at Joliet so		
21	there's no allowed no allowances to that		
22	well. They're not the won't they're not		
23	allowed to put them in.		
24	Q. Now, we see that there have been		

February 2, 2018

Page 44 1 you just identified a few coal cash constituents 2 in the past that have been detected in the 3 monitoring wells. 4 You would agree? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. Even though, as you said, 7 Joliet went below Class 1, but -- and I 8 think what the trend was. 9 What was the trend -overall trend of constituents of Joliet? 10 11 Over time, they have been again Α. slightly decreasing. As I mentioned, if 12 you look at the data, it's below -- I 13 believe every data is below the Illinois 14 15 standard currently. 16 Uh-huh. So you testified that Ο. 17 the source is not the ponds, is that 18 right? 19 Α. Yes. 20 And in your opinion, the source Q. 21 is not the historic land filled areas? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. At least what we know of including 24 the berms; is that right?

		Page	45
1	A. Yes. What I I want to make it		
2	clear that when I refer to the historic		
3	areas, you know, we've got some areas called,		
4	you know, alleged former and landfill areas.		
5	We also in some sites,		
6	not this one, but if you have in the boring or		
7	in the fill that we've identified in the boring,		
8	it would be a historic area.		
9	As I mentioned, we don't find		
10	it in the fill here. We just have these few		
11	areas that are outside of the ponds that, based		
12	on the data I've reviewed, I've concluded they		
13	are not the source.		
14	Q. Thank you.		
15	So then if there are these		
16	few constituents, how did they get there? Can		
17	you determine that?		
18	A. That's a that's a that's a		
19	complicated question and I've obviously		
20	you know, people can struggle over it, but		
21	it's really important, as I stated yesterday,		
22	you know, these plants this plant is		
23	very old. I think it's it might be 1955		
24	or 1965. It's certainly more than 50 years		

February 2, 2018

Page 46 1 old. And as it was demonstrated yesterday, 2 in Joliet, there's a lot of confusion as to 3 what happened in the past. For example --4 In the past being prior to 1999, for Ο. 5 instance? 6 Α. Precisely. Even farther back. Т 7 mean, you know, there's only so much memory 8 and there's only so many records. So I believe that there have been some historic uses of the 9 10 property that aren't documented. It's a power plant and so there's ash-related constituents 11 at the site. It's just that we haven't 12 identified a specific source. 13 14 Q. Now, in Illinois, as a professional 15 engineer with experience in doing investigations 16 of properties, what do you do when you can't 17 determine the source? 18 Α. Well, in Illinois, it's, I think, 19 a very progressive state in that this practice 20 of investigating is mature and it's 21 knowledgeable and it recognizes that chasing 22 the minutia is oftentimes a waste of time. 23 If you step back and look 24 at the problem, what Illinois allows is that

		Page	47
1	you manage the risk by establishing a GMZ or		
2	establishing an ELUC. The way I like to		
3	phrase it, I've done this at other sites		
4	where you've got a box and you've got some		
5	impacts and so you control that area. You		
6	make sure nothing gets out of the box.		
7	So you confine use of the		
8	site. You eliminate risk. That's the most		
9	practical way to manage the risk.		
10	Q. And based on your review of the		
11	data and the risk at Joliet, what is your		
12	opinion as to Midwest Generation's actions		
13	to protect groundwater impact?		
14	A. Well, I think by the end of my		
15	testimony, you'll hear this a number of		
16	times and you'll think that they were very		
17	responsible and proactive by putting together		
18	the pond evaluation, the program that they		
19	had.		
20	They looked at maintaining		
21	ponds, relining ponds and even eliminating		
22	ponds that they didn't feel they needed to		
23	use anymore and then they went through the		
24	process. I thought it was a very a very		

February 2, 2018

```
Page 48
 1
     good program.
 2
               And you said we'll probably hear
         0.
 3
     that a lot.
 4
                       Is that your opinion for all
 5
     of the sites?
 6
         Α.
               Yes.
 7
               Turning back to Exhibit 901, and
         Q.
 8
     I'm looking at Slide 26, this -- as you did
 9
     with Joliet, did you look at the site history
10
     and surroundings of the Powerton Plant?
               Of course.
11
         Α.
12
               And looking at the Slide 26, we
         Q.
13
     heard from Maria Race about some of the history
     and the pond relinings.
14
15
                       What on this slide did you
16
     focus upon?
17
         Α.
                            What slide are you on?
               Excuse me.
18
               Twenty-six, Powerton site history.
         Q.
19
               Okay. You're on Powerton. Okay.
         Α.
20
             I wanted to be sure.
     Great.
21
         Q.
               Sorry.
22
               Repeat the question, please.
         Α.
23
         Q.
               Generally, what of the site history
24
     and the surroundings was important to you?
```

February 2, 2018

Page 49 1 Well, when you have a -- want to have Α. a source identification, one of the things 2 3 I learned was that there could be an off-site 4 source. 5 So we looked at that and we looked at historical records to see if there 6 7 was something we could find there so we could 8 focus our study. 9 Q. And as with Joliet, you have on the 10 slide here pond ash samples. You looked at 11 pond ash samples? 12 Yeah. We had some data from the Α. limestone basin and we looked at that data, 13 which was ash, bottom ash, and we compared --14 15 I think we had two samples actually and we 16 compared all the data to the -- the groundwater 17 constituents. 18 So the leaching data, as I mentioned before and the tables we saw 19 20 for Joliet. We followed the same process 21 to compare what's in the ash and what's in 22 the groundwater. 23 And we also note on this slide that Q. 24 this -- the Powerton site has been operating

Page 50 1 since the 1920s. 2 How does that relate to 3 your opinion of the historic nature of the 4 facilities? 5 It's even, you know, older than Α. 6 Joliet and it's -- again, the practices that 7 were followed up until the late '60s, 1960s, when environmental consciousness was raised 8 9 and we started changing how we managed these 10 wastes, it was very different. And so a lot of things 11 could have happened back then that haven't 12 13 been documented that we can't see at the 14 sites. 15 The other couple of points on here Q. 16 are that there are historic areas and samples 17 of a historic area at Powerton, that there 18 are administrative controls and no potable 19 water risks, no risk receptors. 20 Was that similar to your 21 analysis at Joliet? 22 Α. Yes. And let me clarify what --23 I might have misspoke earlier. 24 We have bottom ash samples

February 2, 2018

Page 51 1 from the ponds when we reached those 2 comparisons. The limestone basin is the 3 data that we had from outside of the ponds. 4 This ash -- it was ash. It was not in the 5 pond. We used that data to look 6 7 to see if the -- I'll call it historic fill areas like berms and ash that we've seen in 8 9 borings, whether that -- those materials 10 could be a part of the source. 11 And turning to Slide 27, this has Q. 12 been previously identified by witnesses as 13 the map of the basins at the Powerton site. 14 Would you agree? 15 Α. Yes. 16 And the next slide, Slide 28 --Q. 17 Α. Yes. 18 -- would you describe what this is? Q. 19 Is this similar to what you 20 did at Joliet? 21 Α. This is a very nice summary, which 22 I had at my deposition actually, but it's a 23 very nice -- very nice summary because there's 24 a lot of different ponds and this one has the

February 2, 2018

Page 52 1 ash surge basin. 2 It's a summary of the 3 conditions of the ponds over history. So 4 at Powerton, we have ash surge basin, the 5 ash bypass basin, the metal cleaning basin 6 and the secondary ash basin. 7 Of those ponds, the ash 8 surge basin, the ash bypass basin and the 9 ash metal cleaning basin are the currently 10 regulated ponds. The secondary ash basin, as we call it, is a finishing pond. 11 12 So the other -- the other columns indicate when the liners were 13 14 constructed over time and then it was when --15 the next column is when they were relined 16 with high density polyethylene and I also 17 call that the geomembrane. In the right 18 column, it talks about how frequently the 19 ponds have been cleaned up. 20 And on the date constructed/liners Q. 21 column, the third column here, it's -- all 22 four of the ponds were 1978 construction; is 23 that correct? 24 Α. Yes. Yes, they were.

Page 53 1 And the next column over, date relined Ο. 2 with HDPE, relined -- the ponds were relined 3 either in 2010 or 2013, is that what you say 4 here? 5 Α. Yes. And then in the last column, what 6 Ο. 7 are you showing under scheduled ash removal? 8 Α. Well, for example, the ash surge 9 basin, which is the primary ash management 10 pond, it's cleaned out every six to eight years or as-needed. 11 It's based on how much 12 coal they burned. 13 It's also pointed out that 14 since it was relined in 2013, which was 15 approximately five years ago, it has not been -it has not been -- ash has not been removed. 16 17 And the secondary ash basis, you Q. 18 just mentioned was a finishing pond. 19 Does that get emptied? No, it hadn't. It didn't need it. 20 Α. 21 And I think Mark Kelly had indicated why it 22 was just the last point before discharge and 23 it had de minimis ash. 24 As I explained yesterday,

		Page	54
1	you could have dust in the air accumulate		
2	in the water. I think when they un-watered		
3	the original lined facility, they had some		
4	slop in the bottom. It was probably just		
5	dust from the air that had accumulated		
6	over the previous 30 years.		
7	Q. If you would, turn to the next slide,		
8	Slide 29.		
9	A. Yes.		
10	Q. And that's the Powerton metal cleaning		
11	basin cross section?		
12	A. Yes.		
13	Q. Do you recognize this as an accurate		
14	comparison to the drawings that you reviewed?		
15	A. Yes. After looking at all the		
16	drawings and construction reports and historical		
17	documents, this is what's out there.		
18	Q. And just briefly, because they're		
19	all pretty similar, what was the liner system		
20	when the ponds were three ponds, metal		
21	cleaning, ash surge and ash bypass when we		
22	were relined?		
23	A. Well the conditions were this that		
24	they had Poz-o-Pac from the previous liner		

		Page	55
1	on the bottom. And on the sides, they had		
2	Hypalon, which they removed the Hypalon, but		
3	they did not remove the Poz-o-Pac, as Mark		
4	Kelly testified.		
5	Then above the Poz-o-Pac,		
6	you've got a bottom geotextile cushion. On		
7	top of the cushion is a HDB liner. On top of		
8	the liner is another cushion. Above the		
9	geotextile cushion is a 12-inch thick sand		
10	cushion. Above the 12-inch thick sand cushion		
11	is a crushed limestone warning layer that's		
12	six inches thick.		
13	Q. And again, as to all the ponds at		
14	all the stations, why were the liner systems		
15	relevant for your analysis?		
16	A. Well, number one, fundamentally,		
17	they were put in in 1978. As I pointed out		
18	yesterday, people were not lining ponds like		
19	that that much back then. So it's good that		
20	they were there.		
21	So when they were relined,		
22	what I looked for was that they were relined		
23	under an appropriate quality control, quality		
24	assurance program and that they followed the		

		Page	56
1	specs and design and I found that they did.		
2	Q. Now, you also, like you did at		
3	Joliet, considered a comparison between		
4	elevation of the bottom of the pond as		
5	compared to the elevation of groundwater		
6	for each of these ponds; is that right?		
7	A. Absolutely. I mean, this is		
8	in my history, you know, we originally		
9	started to look at bottom uplift or uplift		
10	pressures for soil layers because if you		
11	put too much pressure under a soil layer,		
12	it can crack because soil is not good in		
13	tension. As you rise it, it cracks.		
14	Here, we have geomembrane		
15	and Poz-o-Pac, neither one of which are		
16	likely to crack, but we looked at the water		
17	levels anyway and you can see here the		
18	bottom of the pond is elevation 457.5. The		
19	average groundwater elevation is 445, which		
20	is about 12 feet difference. And so my		
21	assessment of this up hydrostatic uplift		
22	just will not happen.		
23	Q. Now, turning to the ash surge basin		
24	on Slide 30, the bottom elevation is compared		

February 2, 2018

Page 57 1 to the groundwater elevation is a little closer. 2 Does that cause you any 3 concern? 4 Α. No. I've looked at this again. 5 There is no pressure underneath it. And as 6 it got closer, then we started to look at 7 some of the maximum water levels that we found 8 and again, it does not exceed the pressures 9 that would cause any concern for hydrostatic 10 uplift. 11 And for the record, the 12 liners at the ash surge basin the layering, 13 it's the same as for the metal cleaning basin. 14 15 And you talked yet about the weight Q. 16 of the water and the warning layers in the 17 sand. 18 Does that apply also for these 19 ponds? 20 Α. It does. Normally, when there's 21 water in the pond, that all weight to the 22 bottom, which keeps -- it's an additional 23 resisting force. But even without that water, 24 this pond and the other pond would not have

		Page	58
1	hydrostatic uplift.		
2	But I think what is		
3	important is that one-and-a-half-foot layer		
4	of gravel and sand at the bottom of the pond,		
5	I think Dr. Kunkel said that there he		
6	brushed them off as almost insignificant,		
7	I think he said. That's very wrong.		
8	I mean, that's a foot and a		
9	half. That sometimes is is very important.		
10	It could be your safety factor for resisting		
11	uplift. Not here. I'm just saying it is		
12	important to count that weight in the assessment		
13	for hydrostatic uplift.		
14	Q. If you would, flip to Slide 32, the		
15	secondary basin.		
16	A. Yes.		
17	Q. Now, what's different about this pond?		
18	A. Well, this is kind of interesting		
19	when I was reading the records. It's like		
20	you lived a life of the construction guy who		
21	has some unexpected condition come up and		
22	he's got to tell somebody they have to spend		
23	more money.		
24	What happened here is when		

1	they went to reline remove the Hypalon
2	liner and they found that the groundwater
3	levels were higher, and as you can see here,
4	it's very clear. On the left, the average
5	groundwater level is elevation 441.5. And
6	at the pond, they had to build it, you know,
7	at 440.
8	And to compound that, when
9	we talk about, you know, what the unexpected
10	condition at a very high Illinois River water
11	level, as Mark Kelly said, I don't know what
12	level at flood it was, but it was very high.
13	And not to disagree with Mark, but he described
14	the river water as coming into the excavation.
15	Really, that's that's groundwater.
16	Again, it's not river water
17	flowing that far. It raises the pressure. It
18	raises the groundwater. So the groundwater
19	is coming into the bottom. So they they
20	couldn't build it. And so they got with the
21	engineers, which is what they're supposed to
22	do, and they re they designed an under-drain
23	system, which you can see here as that very
24	rocky-looking layer. It' a nice graphic.

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292

#### Page 59

60

Page

February 2, 2018

Page 61 1 yes. You have to design the unit for its 2 intended purpose and this is not intended 3 to be cleaned out. 4 As I commented earlier, you 5 know, the other ponds had the same type of layering. This is a very different layering. 6 7 Number one, it has the drainage system at 8 the bottom and it's got a cushion in underneath 9 the geomembrane and then the geomembrane, but there's is nothing on top of the geomembrane 10 because nothing is intended to drive on top 11 12 of there or work on top of there. It's just 13 water. 14 Q. So do you recall Dr. Kunkel saying 15 that he believed there was an industry standard 16 for a distance between groundwater and a pond 17 bottom before the CCR rules of 2015? 18 Α. Yes, I do recall. 19 Ο. And what did he believe there was as 20 an "industry standard"? 21 Α. I think he used the industry standard 22 of five feet. 23 Q. And do you agree that that was an 24 industry standard for Illinois?

February 2, 2018

Page 62 1 Α. Not at all. 2 Q. Does that go back to the same design 3 issue? 4 Α. In Illinois -- well, first of all, 5 in engineering, the engineer designs a pond for its purpose. I've designed landfills 6 7 with liners like this and in all reality, my 8 colleagues have designed landfills and I'm 9 familiar with those designs. Where it's -- it's just like 10 11 this; you have to be able to design it, to 12 construct it so that uplift is not an issue 13 and the engineers are allowed to do that. 14 They are allowed to solve that problem. 15 Then when it becomes -- becomes 16 operating after a certain point in time, they 17 don't need to run that groundwater through a 18 watering system. They can turn it off because 19 what happens is that essentially, the -- it's 20 an inwardgradient. It goes from the groundwater 21 toward the inside of a landfill, for example. 22 Now, here you have a pond, 23 but the point is is that this is designed for 24 its purpose.

February 2, 2018

Page 63 1 Q. Now --2 There is no standard because Α. 3 again, in Illinois there is no standard for 4 lined systems and in many states, there's 5 no standard regulatory-wise. It's really 6 an engineering practice to solve the problem. 7 Now, Dr. Kunkel also testified Q. 8 about potential issues with liner stability 9 if groundwater reaches the liner. 10 Do you agree were that? I don't. I don't at all. 11 Α. 12 What do you -- what is your opinion Q. 13 as to the foundation of a pond? 14 Α. Well, the foundation here, it's --15 most of these ponds have a competent soil 16 subgrade. It's not soft subgrade. It's a 17 competent subgrade. And where you have 18 water, as Dr. Knuckle said, come up to the 19 bottom of the foundation and then go down, 20 he was concerned about the rising and falling 21 causing settlement and collapse. 22 When I read that, I couldn't 23 believe it because I've designed foundations 24 where water level goes up and down all the

	Page 64
1	time and it's been designed for it. It's all
2	I'm mot going to get complicated with the
3	affective stratus and total stratus, but it
4	doesn't happen that way especially in these
5	types of materials.
6	If you get any settlement
7	at all within a granular, it's a very
8	microscopic intergranular.
9	THE COURT REPORTER: Within a
10	what? I'm sorry. Within a granular?
11	THE WITNESS: Intergranular.
12	THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
13	THE WITNESS: I'll speak slowly.
14	I'm getting very excited. I'm sorry.
15	THE COURT REPORTER: It's not
16	that you're not speaking slowly. You're
17	not finishing your complete word. You
18	need to be articular and complete your
19	words, please, so I can get the entire
20	record for you. Thank you very much.
21	BY THE WITNESS:
22	A. Just briefly, there's intergranular
23	stress and shifting that is very small. It's
24	almost not measurable. So to imply that the

February 2, 2018

Page 65 1 bottom would collapse, I just couldn't believe 2 it. 3 BY MS. NIJMAN: 4 Now, at the Powerton ponds, do Ο. 5 you believe there's any risk of issues with 6 liner stability due to this water on the liner 7 issue that Dr. Kunkel raised? 8 Α. I'm not concerned at all. 9 Q. Now, you said earlier yesterday that 10 you reviewed the construction documentation for the ponds and I just want to make clear 11 12 that you reviewed the construction documentation 13 packages for the ash surge basin, secondary 14 ash basin, metal cleaning basin and the bypass 15 basin. 16 Are those the exhibit documents 17 you reviewed? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Ο. Did you identify any issues with the 20 construction of the liners at Powerton? 21 Α. No. 22 At Powerton, how did you learn about Q. 23 the ash removal process? 24 Α. Well, we heard from Mark Kelly. Ι

February 2, 2018

Page 66 1 spoke to him previously. We spoke to him 2 previously to prepare my report. They 3 described the processes quite methodical 4 and careful including use of equipment 5 and staying away from the liner and I felt that their dredging, as they call it, or 6 7 cleanup process, removal process, was very 8 methodical and all that type of process 9 that they followed reduces the possibility for an accident or incident on the liner. 10 11 Based on your review of the Q. 12 Powerton data and the construction, the 13 documentation, what is your opinion as 14 to whether the ponds are leaking constituents 15 to the groundwater at Powerton? 16 I don't think they're leaking. Α. 17 Turning to Slide 33, again we heard Q. 18 from Mr. Gnat the description and location of 19 the monitoring wells at Powerton. 20 Does that map identify all 21 of the monitoring wells? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. And you see MW-16 at the bottom of 24 this map.

February 2, 2018

Page 67 1 Is that an upgradient well? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. Now, turning to the next slide, 4 Slide 34, Mr. Gnat also said there are two 5 distinct groundwater units. 6 Did you agree with his 7 description of the units on Slides 34 and 35? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. And again, just to confirm, did you 10 agree with his description of the groundwater flow in each of these units based on Slides 34 11 12 and 35? 13 Α. Yes. 14 On the Slide 35 --Q. 15 Α. Yes. 16 -- Mr. Gnat gave a bit of a Q. 17 It says, "The groundwater description. 18 contramap for gravelly sand unit." It's 19 dated 5/2017. And he gave a bit of a 20 description as to the middle contour lane 21 on this map that's got a big hump in it. 22 Do you see that? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Q. And do you -- did you agree with

		Page	68
1	his assessment of what was going on there?		
2	A. Yes, I did. The way it was explained		
3	is the upper zone, shown on Slide 34, it's		
4	called a shallow silted clay unit. It's a		
5	lower permeability unit. And so the water in		
6	that unit is higher than in the gravelly sand,		
7	which is a lower unit.		
8	And so what he explained is		
9	that that gravelly excuse me the silty		
10	sand unit is not everywhere. It pinches out.		
11	It ends along the edges of the pond, on the		
12	west edge of the pond excuse me east		
13	edge of the pond.		
14	So what happens is the water		
15	in the upper level is actually kind of going		
16	over the edge and spilling into the local		
17	area next to in the gravelly sand unit		
18	causing a slightly higher level and it gives		
19	the appearance of a mound. In essence,		
20	it is, but it's from groundwater seeping		
21	from the upper unit to the lower unit.		
22	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: And,		
23	Mr. Seymour, I think that's a perfect speed.		
24	Thank you. I understood.		

		Page	69
1	THE WITNESS: I'll take any		
2	compliment I can get, Mr. Hearing Officer.		
3	BY MS. NIJMAN:		
4	Q. Okay. If you would, turn to the		
5	next slide. There are two slides that again		
6	are and I think we can move a little more		
7	quickly now that you've explained what these		
8	are.		
9	The next two slides are your		
10	updated tables 5-5 and 5-4 from your report;		
11	is that accurate?		
12	A. Yes.		
13	Q. And did you do the same analysis		
14	of comparing the groundwater data to the		
15	leachate data as you did with Joliet?		
16	A. Yes, I did.		
17	Q. Just generally, what are your		
18	conclusions here at Powerton?		
19	A. At Powerton, again you see a lot		
20	of the data speed over a little wider range,		
21	but in general, around 50 percent or so to 60		
22	percent are inconsistent.		
23	So that means again that		
24	the what we found in groundwater compared		

February 2, 2018

Page 70 1 to the site-specific ash leachate doesn't 2 match meaning that the ponds aren't the source. 3 Q. When you say "the ponds aren't the 4 source," this is one of the pieces of evidence 5 you used for that conclusion? 6 Α. Yes. As I mentioned, we also 7 looked at the construction of the ponds and 8 the operation of the ponds as well. 9 Q. Thank you. 10 Turning to Slide 38, this 11 was discussed in previous testimony as 12 identifying a former ash basin and the 13 limestone -- former limestone runoff basin 14 at Powerton. 15 Do you see that? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Are there any groundwater monitoring Q. 18 wells around the former ash basin at Powerton? 19 Α. Yes. There's approx- -- I think 20 there are five wells beginning with Monitor 21 Well 1 on the far right, sort of, a little 22 bit upgradient/sidegradient of the pond. 23 Going counterclockwise, you 24 have Monitor Wells 2, 3, 4 and 5, 5 as being

February 2, 2018

Page 71 1 on the western margin of the former ash basin. 2 And have you reviewed the groundwater Ο. 3 data for those monitoring wells around the 4 ash? 5 Α. Yes, I have. 6 Q. And what is your opinion based on 7 that review as to whether ash in this former 8 ash basin is impacting groundwater? 9 Well, the data are less than the Α. 10 groundwater standard downgradient. My conclusion is that this --11 12 the ash that's there is not a source to 13 groundwater impacts. 14 And how does that information then Ο. 15 form the rest of your opinions about historic 16 ash areas at all the stations? 17 Α. Well, that's not the only data that 18 we have. Obviously, we have a number of data 19 from the leaching from the historic fill areas and that it supports the opinion that I have 20 21 in that the ash is not the cause of what we're 22 seeing in the wells. 23 Q. Now, we also discussed earlier 24 yesterday that you had sample results from

Page 72 1 the area marked here as the limestone runoff 2 basin; is that right? 3 Α. Yes. 4 And what did those, briefly, sample 0. 5 results -- CCB sample results show? We found similar results to the 6 Α. 7 other sites with a little bit of exceptions. We had some chromium and some selenium and --8 9 but they were -- they were detected. They were not -- I don't think they're maybe slightly 10 above the Illinois Ground Waste Standard. 11 12 When you averaged them out 13 for their waste mass, the -- statistically, 14 it was less than the Illinois Groundwater 15 Standard. But otherwise, it was the same as the other materials we've seen. 16 17 And even though it was called Q. 18 the limestone runoff basin, what is your 19 understanding as to the type of ash that 20 was in there during the sampling? 21 It wasn't what kind of ash. Α. Tt. 22 was --23 Q. Well, what is the bottom ash from 24 the --
February 2, 2018

Page 73 1 Α. It was bottom ash. I think it might 2 have had a few materials mixed in, but it was 3 mainly bottom ash. 4 Turning to Slide 39 --Ο. 5 Α. Yes. 6 Q. -- this slide represents -- we've 7 heard from prior testimony about the Groundwater 8 Management Zone, would you agree? 9 Α. Yes. 10 And are all the monitoring wells at Ο. 11 Powerton within that Groundwater Management 12 Zone? 13 Α. Yes, they are. 14 Now, in your report of 2015, you Q. 15 discuss assessing a spacial trend at Powerton. 16 Do you recall that? 17 Α. Yes. 18 What is a spacial trend? Q. 19 Well it's a trend where -- my -- my Α. 20 definition really is we're looking for the 21 locus location of a plume or source. And so 22 we look over an area, the space in the 23 horizontal area, where you might look at an 24 area that is at the location of the source, it

		Page	74
1	would be at a higher concentration, and		
2	going farther away from that source, you		
3	have a lower concentration. So you want to		
4	see that distance or space, you look for		
5	that change in the data.		
6	Q. And so it could tell you if there's		
7	a source or plume?		
8	A. Yes.		
9	Q. What would you well, I think		
10	you answered this.		
11	What would you expect to		
12	see spacially if there was a source area, a		
13	known source area?		
14	A. You would hope you would expect		
15	to see that the data would be consistent over		
16	time as well. So it's time and space so that		
17	if you have a high a source, an ongoing		
18	source in a particular location, it would be		
19	every time you looked at it, it would be		
20	similar, that would be high relative to the		
21	others.		
22	If it's a changing or a		
23	discontinuous source, it it would not		
24	quite look like that, but we're looking at		

February 2, 2018

Page 75 1 it from a -- something that's present and 2 that it would be higher and this location 3 from quarter-to-quarter consistently. 4 Ο. If you turn to the next slide, I 5 think the page number got cut off, it's 6 Slide 41. 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. Does this represent the spacial 9 analysis in simple terms that you did? Yeah. It's -- it's -- obviously, 10 Α. 11 the squiggly lines get to be complicated, but the high concentrations are higher on the 12 graph and I highlighted the different wells 13 14 that had the high concentrations, for example, 15 for boron. 16 Ο. You mean -- I'm sorry. 17 When you said peak 18 concentrations, is that -- what are these 19 arrows referring to? 20 Yeah. Well, there's two kinds of Α. lines on the graphs, the squiggly kinds, 21 22 which are the trafficking of the concentrations 23 over time of each individual well and the 24 blue arrows point out generally where the

February 2, 2018

Page 76 1 peak concentrations are, which as I said -- so 2 it would be the center of a source. 3 So, for example, you see 4 Monitor Well 13 -- Monitor Wells 9 and 13, 5 12, 10, 19, they all have peaks that are 6 higher and it's not -- it's not consistent. 7 So you are not seeing a consistent Q. 8 source area? 9 Α. Correct. And we looked at manganese 10 and again, we have three or four wells that are peaks and sulf- -- over time and sulfate, 11 12 there's several -- same thing, several wells 13 that -- we don't see the consistency of the data. So it's hard to say that there is a 14 15 specific source. 16 Well, as you did with Joliet, you Ο. 17 also conducted a temporal or a time trend 18 analysis at Powerton? 19 Α. Yes, I did. 20 If you turn to the next slide, Q. 21 Slide 42. 22 Α. Yes. 23 Is this the updated data for temporal Q. 24 trend at Powerton?

February 2, 2018

Page 77 1 Yes. And again, it goes -- uses the Α. 2 data from 2010 through 2017. 3 Q. And similar to Joliet, you used 4 the statistical linear regression analysis? 5 Α. Yes, we did. 6 Ο. Turning to Slide 43, what were your 7 conclusions of the trend testing at Powerton? 8 Α. Well, again you look at the table 9 summarizing the results of how we found 10 increasing, decreasing or where we could make no conclusion because the line wasn't --11 12 was statistically inconclusive. Overall, 13 the groundwater concentrations are neither 14 increasing nor decreasing. They're about 15 the same. 16 Now, you heard Mr. Gnat discuss Ο. 17 Monitoring Well 16 yesterday as an upgradient 18 and we just mentioned that it's an upgradient 19 well. 20 Α. Yes. 21 Did that well, to your recollection, Q. 22 monitoring well, show any impact from an 23 off-site source? 24 Α. You can have nitrate and maybe boron

1 like one point. 2 Does that suggest there's something Q. 3 upgradient -- further upgradient off-site? 4 Α. If it's high enough Yeah. 5 concentrations that look out of the ordinary, and they do, we'd expect that it's coming 6 7 from upgradient. So it's like -- a little 8 bit like Illinois EPA expectant for CCR sites that there can be constituents in the 9 10 groundwater upgradient to the unit that would be considered part -- part background. 11 12 Q. And you also heard Mr. Gnat and 13 Mr. Kelly talk about the deicing that occurs 14 on all the roads at Powerton. 15 Would that impact chloride 16 results across the property? 17 Α. Yes, it would. It would recognize 18 that when the salt melts from runoff, it's 19 going to go to various areas. They have 20 strong water pond, for example, and it's 21 going to have like a -- percolate into the 22 ground and impact groundwater quality for 23 chloride. 24 Q. And again, for Powerton at

Page 79 1 Exhibit 907, you conducted a risk analysis 2 for the site? 3 Α. Yes, we did. 4 And did you generally -- I think 0. 5 you said this already. You generally found 6 the same conclusion? 7 Α. Precisely. Even with the 8 updated data, it was the same conclusion, 9 no unacceptable risk to surface water. 10 And we heard -- I think even Ο. 11 Dr. Kunkel, no potable water receptors at 12 Powerton? 13 That's correct. Α. 14 Based on your review of the data Q. 15 and the risk analysis at Powerton, what 16 is your opinion of Midwest Generation's 17 actions regarding protection of the 18 environment? 19 Well, I hold them in high regard. Α. 20 I think a responsible -- they conducted a 21 responsible program all around with planning 22 for maintenance and relining and their 23 operations and the dredging and the removals, 24 complying with the requests of the Illinois

		Page	80
1	EPA throughout the CCA process. So I think		
2	they acted very responsibly and in some cases		
3	proactively.		
4	Q. Now, we just saw from a couple		
5	of your slides that there are constituents		
6	of coal ash found in the groundwater above		
7	Class 1 at Powerton, correct?		
8	A. Yes.		
9	Q. And you said ponds are not a source		
10	and you don't believe the historic areas are		
11	not a source. How same questions for Joliet.		
12	How did the constituents get		
13	there?		
14	A. Remember the I think one of the		
15	first slides was a very old power plant and		
16	again, it's similar to to Joliet in that		
17	the things that happen historically that,		
18	you know, we don't do anymore that probably		
19	happened to cause impacts of the groundwater,		
20	it's kind of like a smudge that's remaining		
21	and it's being properly managed by the		
22	Illinois program. So it's those things you		
23	can't specifically identify, but something		
24	is there obviously.		

81

		Page
1	Q. Is it we are moving on to Waukegan.	
2	Looking at Slide 44, again	
3	Waukegan site history and surroundings, we	
4	heard Maria Race talk about it. We heard	
5	Mr. Gnat talk about it.	
6	Just briefly, describe what's	
7	on this slide.	
8	A. Well, again we talk about how old	
9	the power plant is. This is a 1923 vintage	
10	power plant. I followed the same process	
11	for all the projects. I looked at the records.	
12	We found sample results, the historic areas,	
13	off-site impacts, the whole list here,	
14	administrative controls, ELUCs, which is	
15	E-L-U-C-s, Environmental Land Use Controls,	
16	potable water use.	
17	So we looked at the	
18	followed the same process to evaluate Waukegan.	
19	This is obviously more complicated with the	
20	off-site impacts.	
21	Q. We'll get into that in a second.	
22	Turning to Slide 45, the map	
23	of the ponds at Waukegan, would you agree that	
24	that's an accurate depiction of the pounds?	

		Page 82
1	A. Yes.	
2	Q. And the next slide is Slide 46, a	
З	description of the Waukegan impoundments.	
4	Would you briefly describe	
5	that?	
6	A. Sure. It's the same type of table	
7	that we presented before that summarized the	
8	history of the units. They have the east	
9	ash pond, the west ash pond and it shows	
10	the original construction, the 1997 excuse	
11	me 1977, when they were relined in the	
12	2000s and the scheduled ash removal, which	
13	changed over the years depending upon how	
14	much coal they burned.	
15	Q. And on the column of scheduled ash	
16	removal, we heard from Mr. Veenbaas that	
17	just the inlet side is ash is removed	
18	generally mostly from the inlet side.	
19	Did you understand that to	
20	be the case?	
21	A. Oh, perfectly. It's totally	
22	understandable. It's a it's a bottom	
23	ash pond is a little coarser and it settles	
24	up fairly quickly in the water column and	

February 2, 2018

Page 83 1 so where the -- where the sluice comes in, 2 you're going to have most of the ash fall out 3 and as it travels around that U, the water becomes clarified prior to discharge. 4 5 And these ponds were lined in, I Ο. 6 think this says, 1977 with a Hypalon liner? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. I don't know if anyone has described 9 that. 10 What is Hypalon? 11 Hypalon is an older style liner Α. that was used. It's -- it's a -- maybe the 12 13 best way to describe it is if -- obviously 14 polyethylene is like this -- a little bit 15 like this notebook and the -- the Hypalon is a little more rubbery. So it's a little --16 17 it's less dense, but still a very common water barrier and it's still used. 18 19 Ο. Looking at the date relined with 20 HDPE and the layers at the two ponds, are 21 they similar? 22 Yeah, they are. They are the same. Α. 23 Q. Looking at the next slide, Slide 47, 24 the cross section of the Waukegan east and

Page 84 1 west ash ponds, do you see that? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. Would you just briefly describe the 4 lining system? 5 Well, recognize the Waukegan site Α. 6 is along Lake Michigan and is pretty much 7 built on the beach and at the base, you have 8 a sand -- a natural subgrade prepared for 9 the overlying layers. They have a designed 10 12-inch thick sand cushion layer. Excuse me. On the prepared subgrade, they have the HDPE 11 12 liner 60 mil thick, M-I-L. And above that, 13 the sand cushion layer and above the sand 14 cushion, they have the limestone warning 15 layer, which is six inches thick. 16 And as with the other ponds we've 0. 17 looked at for the other sites, you note 18 groundwater elevation and pond bottom elevations 19 here. 20 Would you describe your 21 analysis? 22 Α. The pond bottom is at Sure. 23 elevation 585.5 and the groundwater toward 24 the lake, which is to the east, that which

		Page 85	5
1	would be the east pond, is around elevation		
2	582. Moving farther away from the lake,		
3	the groundwater comes up and the average		
4	is 583. That means that the water levels		
5	are several feet below the bottom of the		
6	pond and hydrostatic uplift is not a		
7	concern.		
8	Q. Did you consider the maximum		
9	groundwater elevations for Waukegan?		
10	A. Yes, we did. Some discussion		
11	has been provided over the surface water		
12	levels control the groundwater. It's the		
13	same with Lake Michigan. It doesn't stay		
14	constant over the 20 or 30 years. It's		
15	probably changed about a foot and a half		
16	up and down. I did consider that and I		
17	looked at historical data and the pond bottom		
18	has always been excuse me the		
19	groundwater levels, I would expect to		
20	always be below the pond bottom. Of course,		
21	when it's full, it don't matter. It's not		
22	an issue at all for hydrostatic uplift.		
23	There's a huge head on top of the liner.		
24	Q. Well, I was just going to ask you		

Page 86 1 that. 2 What is your opinion as to 3 uplift even assuming that the groundwater 4 might reach the pond bottom -- the liner on 5 the pond bottom? 6 Α. Well, obviously there's water in 7 the pond, but there's -- if it reaches the 8 bottom of the pond essentially, it's the 9 geomembrane and it's got water height on 10 top of it to resist the pressure, but I don't -- I don't think that should be an 11 12 issue. 13 Obviously, the pond's design 14 life and the history of the lake, I -- I don't 15 even think the lake is going to come up that 16 high to ever make it a problem. 17 Q. Now, we talked to -- we already 18 heard from Mr. Veenbaas and Mr. Lux about 19 the ash removal process. 20 Did you also speak to anyone 21 to confirm the ash removal process at Waukegan? 22 Α. Well, they use LaFarge. So we spoke 23 to a Mr. Nowicki. So we had several -- and 24 he was at two or three -- three of the plants,

1	I believe. With his description with
2	Mr. Lux's description and Mr. Veenbaas's
3	description, all were very similar. They
4	all followed what I call a methodical, careful
5	program and, yes, they had the incidence where
6	they thought a pump caused a hole in the liner
7	and they fixed it.
8	In my opinion, when when
9	you do see these holes, they are always above
10	the water level. They are always identified
11	and they are always patched. Now, if so I
12	look at that as a good thing in that they have
13	a process that they follow to fix it and they
14	don't ignore it. That's obviously a positive
15	to Midwest Gen.
16	Q. Now, I'm flipping back. You don't
17	have to, but I just wanted to flip back to
18	Slide 8 for a second where and that was the
19	slide from yesterday where you talked about
20	the analyses of bottom ash from the Midwest
21	Gen ponds and well, you could
22	A. I know what's on that slide.
23	Q. Yes. Okay.
24	So does that where was

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292

Page 87

February 2, 2018

Page 88 1 bottom ash sampled at Waukegan? 2 Α. It was sampled from the pond. We 3 heard Fred Veenbaas testify that he took the 4 sample himself. 5 Well, that was the -- I'm sorry. Ο. 6 I'm confusing you. 7 Α. Excuse me. 8 Q. That was the little sample in the 9 jar that we brought here. 10 If you turn to Slide 8, I'm 11 referring to the --12 Yeah, I --Α. 13 Q. -- CCV sampling. 14 Α. Sure. Yeah, I see. 15 So which ponds was CCV sampling done Q. 16 at Waukegan? 17 Α. Well, it just says Bottom Ash 1 and Bottom Ash 1. I'm not -- I don't recall which 18 19 pond specifically or from, but it was from the 20 bottom ash ponds at Waukegan. 21 And what does that data show you? Q. 22 It says that, you know, we find Α. 23 barium, we find boron, we find sulfate at 24 the very bottom and a lot of -- there's a

L

	P	age	89
1	lot of non-detects and non-analyzed, a		
2	couple of those. We find them consistently		
3	in TDS. So that's what we find consistently		
4	and I think importantly what we don't find		
5	is manganese.		
6	Q. Okay. And looking at those levels,		
7	are they at or below the Class 1 standards		
8	for Illinois?		
9	A. They are.		
10	Q. And given the levels on that slide,		
11	what, if any, of those constituents would you		
12	expect to see in the groundwater?		
13	A. Barium, boron, sulfate and TDS is		
14	what we would expect to find.		
15	Q. Did you?		
16	A. We did. And what's important,		
17	though, what we didn't find. We found it		
18	in most in many cases, but again, there's		
19	a lot of things that we found in the groundwater		
20	that that are not in the ash. So it's not		
21	consistent with the ash sample.		
22	Q. And again, that's describing your		
23	comparison of the constituents of the actual		
24	ash with what's in the groundwater.		

Page 90 1 Α. Yes. 2 Based on your review of the Waukegan Q. 3 information, what is your opinion as to whether 4 the ponds at Waukegan are leaking constituents 5 to groundwater? 6 Α. I don't think the ponds are leaking. 7 And do you know if Illinois EPA agrees Q. 8 with your opinion as to the Waukegan ponds? 9 I believe there was a comment over Α. 10 the course of the testimony this week citing that Len Dunaway concluded that the ponds were 11 not -- he did not think the ponds were a likely 12 13 source and that comes from that transcript from the hearing, I believe, that Fred Veenbaas 14 15 attended maybe. 16 Ο. Yes. 17 Looking at Slide 48, the map 18 of Waukegan with the groundwater wells, again 19 we've heard that described by Mr. Gnat, do 20 you agree this is an accurate depiction of 21 the monitoring well locations? 22 Α. Yes. 23 And the downgradient wells, what would Q. those be? 24

February 2, 2018

Page 91 1 Α. On the right closest to the lake 2 Monitoring Wells 1, 2, 3, 4 and 16, which is a recent addition downgradient as well. 3 4 And looking at Page 49 of Exhibit 0. 5 901, that shows the groundwater contour map that Mr. Gnat discussed yesterday. 6 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. Do you agree generally with the 9 contour lines here? 10 I agree generally. I think I made Α. the observation that on the far north end 11 12 toward the power plant, I think I would have curved the contours a little farther, a little 13 flatter, a little farther away from the lake, 14 15 but for the barrier of concern, it's pretty 16 accurate. 17 For your opinions, did you review Q. 18 the groundwater monitoring data at Waukegan? 19 Α. Yes. 20 And you just identified the Q. 21 downgradient wells. 22 Did you compare upgradient 23 versus upgradient at Waukegan from the pond? Α. 24 I did.

February 2, 2018

Page 92 1 And generally, what did you find? Q. 2 Α. I found that upgradient of the 3 ponds was higher concentrations than 4 downgradient. 5 What does that tell you? Ο. Well, it's sort of the opposite. 6 Α. 7 I mean, it tells you it's not the pond, number one. It's kind of like the -- it 8 9 tells me that the source is to the west 10 of the ponds. 11 We've already briefly touched upon Q. 12 the analysis that you conducted -- the 13 comparison of the indicators on the next 14 two slides. 15 Α. Yes. 16 Turning to -- just generally your Q. 17 conclusions on that comparison, what did you 18 find? 19 Well, if you look at the bottom Α. 20 line again, you know, 40, 50, 60 percent, 21 kind of focus here on the mid-50 percentages, 22 if -- the data don't match. So if I were to 23 make a conclusion as to a source, which is a 24 very important conclusion, I would want to

February 2, 2018

Page 93 1 have the groundwater data match, the ash data, 2 much more closely. If you turn to Slide 52, and again 3 Q. 4 I apologize, some of the page numbers got cut 5 off in the photocopying, this is the Waukegan map of the established environmental land use 6 7 control boundaries. 8 Do you see that? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Could you describe in the entirety Ο. where the -- well, let me ask it this way. 11 12 Does this map accurately 13 reflect the environmental land use controls 14 across the property at Waukegan? 15 Α. Yes. 16 And as you did with Powerton, did Ο. 17 you assess the spacial trends at Waukegan? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Ο. And again, that's to assess a source 20 or a plume, is that a fair description? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Turning to the next slide, Slide 53, Q. 23 what are you showing here? We have a similar graph where those 24 Α.

		Page	94
1	blue arrows indicate the peaks for the		
2	monitoring wells. As I commented earlier,		
3	you expect the sources to be the same or		
4	similar over time and you see them somewhat		
5	fluctuating like, for example, with the		
6	manganese.		
7	Even with Monitor Wells 4,		
8	5, 6, 7, 12, 15 and Monitor Well 14, they		
9	all are peaks at one point in time. Then		
10	for sulfate, again, we have, you know,		
11	Monitor Wells 5, 7 and 16 have peaks over		
12	time. So it just tells me again that the		
13	data aren't consistent to say that the well		
14	is at a location of a source.		
15	Q. Let me ask you about barium.		
16	Looking at the left top		
17	time concentration chart, is the line at		
18	2.0 the Class 1 standard?		
19	A. It is.		
20	Q. And barium is very low. It's way		
21	down below it, the bottom of this time		
22	concentration chart.		
23	How does that compare to		
24	what you saw in the ash from the ash ponds?		

		Page	95
1	A. Well, we did find low levels of		
2	barium and and and so this is somewhat		
3	reflective of what we found in the ash. It		
4	would be consistent, but it's at much, much		
5	lower levels. So I don't doubt that there		
6	could be some anthropogenic or natural source.		
7	Q. Well, what about manganese, how does		
8	that compare?		
9	A. Well, that's the thing in my whole		
10	analysis. When there's certainly manganese		
11	at all of these sites. And we have I have		
12	yet to see an ash sample from the site that		
13	has manganese.		
14	Q. So there is manganese in the		
15	groundwater, but not in any of the ash?		
16	A. Correct.		
17	Q. Is there any explanation for that		
18	based on how manganese moves or is transported		
19	through the environment?		
20	A. Well, no. I don't think so. I		
21	know Dr. Kunkel discussed using it initially		
22	in his report and removing it from his trend		
23	analysis talking about some less stability in		
24	the environment.		

February 2, 2018

Page 96 1 But the environments that 2 we're seeing here and what we're measuring, 3 I would not expect the -- that to be an issue. 4 But there's manganese present in the environment 5 and it moves with the groundwater similar to a sulfate. If it's a little bit less stable, 6 7 I would bet it's not even measurable in these 8 results. 9 Q. And turning to the next slide, Slides 54 and 55, are these the updated results 10 of your temporal trend testing for Waukegan? 11 12 Α. Yes, they are. 13 And just looking straight at Slide 55, Ο. 14 what did you conclude? 15 Α. Again, they are neither increasing nor decreasing for the same reasons. You have 16 17 about the same number of wells and parameters 18 increasing as decreasing. So you can't make 19 a -- it's not going up or down. 20 Now, we heard Mr. Gnat talk about a Q. 21 tannery property and a General Boiler property. 22 Do you remember that 23 testimony? 24 Α. Yes.

February 2, 2018

Page 97 1 I'd like to show you what has Ο. 2 been previously marked at Exhibit 624 in 3 this case. 4 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Is 5 that Respondent's 624? Sorry. No. 6 MS. NIJMAN: This 7 is -- yes, Midwest Gen Respondent 624. 8 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank 9 you. BY MS. NIJMAN: 10 11 And that actually might not be the Q. 12 right one. It's 623. I apologize, Respondent's 13 Exhibit 623, yes, 623. 14 Have you reviewed this 15 document? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. And if you would, turn to Bates 18 page Midwest Gen 51281. Oh, yes. It was 19 produced several times so there's different 20 pages. Let me just help you with the page 21 number. 22 In Exhibit 623, it's Bates 23 MWG 472. 24 Α. Yes, I have it.

		Page	98
1	Q. And if you would, read the first		
2	line well, first of all, what is this		
3	page?		
4	A. This looks like kind of a		
5	newspaper of sorts. It's labeled task force		
6	on Waukegan neighbors. It looks like it's		
7	from a website.		
8	Q. And what are they discussing in the		
9	first paragraph?		
10	A. Well, it's a lakefront redevelopment		
11	report. It's Waukegan. It's on the lake and		
12	it says, "To north along the lakefront is the		
13	site of the former General Boiler company a		
14	19-acre parcel on Dahringer Road between the		
15	Midwest Generation Power Plant and the North		
16	Shore Sanitary District facility."		
17	Q. And then if you turn to the top		
18	of the second paragraph, would you read		
19	that?		
20	A. "In 1998 and 1999, further		
21	environmental analyses were performed		
22	on portions of this property and it was		
23	confirmed that the northern section		
24	contained arsenic above remediation benchmarks		

February 2, 2018

Page 99 1 in a fly ash fill area." 2 So there was fly ash fill area 0. 3 on the General Boiler property? 4 Α. That's what was pointed out in the 5 late 1990s. 6 Ο. Now, would you read the next sentence, 7 please? 8 Α. "Because the 'remedial objectives 9 and/or remedial action for the fly ash area 10 (had) yet to be developed' the cleanup possibilities for this area could not be 11 12 determined." 13 Q. So what is your understanding 14 reading this of what's being quoted? 15 Α. It's from a report of some kind. 16 And we don't have the underlying 0. 17 report? I don't believe so. We have a 18 Α. 19 number of reports on this study, but I 20 don't -- I don't think we have one that's 21 quoting that. It might have been a --22 it's -- we don't have it, to my knowledge. 23 Now, Dr. Kunkel dismissed this Q. 24 discussion as anecdotal.

Page 100 1 Do you agree that we should 2 dismiss the discussion of a fly ash area on 3 a neighboring source? 4 Well, it would be consistent. Α. Ι 5 think that, you know, it is, you know, a citizen web page, if you will, but I believe 6 7 there is something here. So I would say that 8 we know there are studies that have been 9 conducted. So I would say it couldn't 10 be simply discounted, but it doesn't -- it's 11 not the same as having a consultant's report 12 13 in front of you. 14 Q. I'm sorry. Just so we're clear, 15 it should not be discounted? Should not be 16 discounted? 17 Α. Correct. You should consider this. 18 Okay. If you turn to Slide 56 and Q. 19 it was unfortunately cut off, the page number, 20 Slide 56, what's represented here? 21 Α. Well, this is an aerial view looking 22 west of the Waukegan station and the surrounding 23 properties. The wells have been developed that 24 were shown. For example, for the tannery site,

February 2, 2018

```
Page 101
 1
     they have the red box.
 2
               I'm sorry. Let me back up for
         Ο.
 3
     just one second.
                       I want to understand what
 4
     properties we're looking at.
 5
                       The area outlined in blue,
 6
     what property is that?
 7
         Α.
               That's the General Boiler property.
 8
         Q.
               And the area outlined in red, what
 9
     property is that?
10
               That's the tannery property.
         Α.
11
               And this map is showing the wells
         Q.
12
     on all of those properties?
13
         Α.
               Yes.
14
         Q.
               Including the Waukegan?
15
         Α.
               Yes.
16
               And in the notes on the right side
         Q.
17
     of the page, what are you showing there?
18
         Α.
               Well, it indicates what the units
19
           They're all the same, but the data
     are.
20
     come from different periods of time and,
     for example, the Griess-Pfleger site through
21
22
     1997 and other General Boiler in 1998, the
23
     ELUC wells, which are around the edges of
24
     the General Boiler and Griess-Pfleger from
```

February 2, 2018

Page 102 1 2017 and the on-site groundwater wells are 2 current from 2017. 3 Q. Now, are those -- is the General 4 Boiler site upgradient from the Waukegan 5 property? 6 Α. Yes. 7 And is the tannery site upgradient Q. 8 from the Waukegan property? 9 Α. Yes. Now, we saw earlier the groundwater 10 Ο. 11 flow map. 12 In your opinion, in the 13 groundwater flow, could components of 14 groundwater from the General Boiler property 15 reach the Midwest Generation property? 16 Α. Yes. 17 And similar question; do the Q. 18 components of the groundwater from the 19 tannery property reach the Midwest Gen 20 Waukegan site? 21 Α. Yes. 22 What did you conclude as to off-site Q. 23 sources based on this map at Page 56 and the 24 next map at Page 57?

		Page	103
1	A. Well, of course, we're interested		
2	in if there's something that's found on the		
3	on the Midwest Gen properties. So we looked		
4	at barium and manganese, which is found and		
5	indicated on these maps.		
6	It's very clear that there		
7	are significant upgradient sources of each,		
8	of barium and manganese, upgradient and even		
9	upgradient of the tannery property there's		
10	impact. So this whole area has been impacted		
11	by these facilities these old abandoned		
12	facilities.		
13	Q. And to be clear on Slide 56, we're		
14	talking about barium and on Slide 57, we're		
15	looking at manganese results?		
16	A. Yes.		
17	Q. And do you have a similar conclusion		
18	for both the barium and the manganese?		
19	A. Yes. You can see there are		
20	concentrations that are quite significant.		
21	MW-6, for example, on Figure 2 for manganese		
22	is 3.5 parts per million compared to those		
23	levels on site of you know, the highest		
24	on site is around .6. Downgradient to the		

		Page	104
1	pond, it's, you know, .1, .07, .002. So		
2	downgradient to the pond is even less. So		
3	it's clear that the ponds are not the source		
4	and that the source is coming from upgradient.		
5	Q. And what about other potential		
6	constituents?		
7	Why only look at manganese		
8	and barium?		
9	A. Well, the framework that the off-site		
10	studies were conducted is different than what		
11	we're conducting. Commonly, when you analyze		
12	the soils and groundwater, there's a standard		
13	suite of parameters that you look at when		
14	it's done for a I'll call it a remedial		
15	investigation. You've got a problem you're		
16	trying to understand what's going on.		
17	So they test for what we		
18	commonly call the RCRA 9 metal. That doesn't		
19	include boron, for example, sulfate, they're		
20	not they're not hazardous waste metals.		
21	And so these these are they look for		
22	hazardous waste metal. So, you know, it would		
23	have been nice to have boron data, but that		
24	was not the focus of their study.		

Page 105 1 They were looking at hazardous Ο. 2 materials? 3 Α. Correct. 4 Looking at the -- next, we are 0. 5 moving to -- well, I'm sorry, one more question 6 on Waukegan. 7 As with Powerton and Joliet, 8 you -- did you do a -- conduct a risk analysis 9 at Waukegan? We did the same as Joliet for 10 Α. Powerton and for Waukegan and we found the 11 same conclusion. Under the normal risk 12 13 assessment practices, we found no unacceptable 14 risk. 15 And that updated data appears at Q. 16 Tab 907 of your binder, Exhibit 907? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Again, just so we are all clear, Q. 19 I think everyone has testified no potable water 20 receptors at Waukegan? 21 Α. Correct. 22 Q. Based on your review of the data 23 and the risk at Waukegan, what is your opinion 24 as to Midwest Generation's actions regarding

		Page
1	protection of groundwater?	
2	A. I think they have been had a	
3	responsible program with considerate, caring	
4	professional that managed the managed the	
5	sites and I think they were proactive again	
6	with their with looking at the conditions	
7	of the ponds back in the early 2000s, mid-2000s,	
8	and they spent the money to upgrade it and fix	
9	it. I think they were responsible owners of	
10	these facilities.	
11	Q. Moving to Will County.	
12	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: It's	
13	10:45. We've been at this an hour 45	
14	minutes. I'd like to take a break. Is	
15	that okay?	
16	MS. NIJMAN: Absolutely.	
17	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank	
18	you. We'll be back at 11:00.	
19	(Whereupon, after a short	
20	break was had, the following	
21	proceedings were held	
22	accordingly.)	
23	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: It	
24	looks like everyone is ready. We are back	

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292

106

		Page	107
1	on the record. It's approximately 11:00		
2	o'clock. Mr. Seymour is still on the		
3	stand and Ms. Nijman is directing. You		
4	may proceeding. Thank you.		
5	MS. NIJMAN: Thank you.		
6	BY MS. NIJMAN:		
7	Q. So we were just turning to the		
8	Will County site before the break and		
9	turning to Slide 58 of your Exhibit 901,		
10	this slide presents the Will County site		
11	history and surroundings.		
12	Would you briefly describe		
13	this?		
14	A. Yes. This is again the summary of		
15	the similar process that I used for the other		
16	plants where, you know, it's a plant that's		
17	a 1955 plant. So, you know, over 50 years		
18	back, this was operating actually 62 years.		
19	And so I reviewed the		
20	situation there and I looked at the various		
21	ponds. I looked at the ash sample results		
22	that for comparison to groundwater. I looked		
23	at the historic areas and the samples analysis		
24	that we had for more recently 2015.		

February 2, 2018

Page 108 1 Then, of course, I had 2 reviewed CCAs and the administrative controls, 3 which include the establishment of a GMZ and 4 an ELUC, E-L-U-C, and also looked at the risks 5 from groundwater from potable well use and surface water. 6 7 And turning to the next slide, the Q. 8 site map, we've heard the ponds identified 9 and described by Mr. Gnat, among others, does 10 this map provide an accurate representation 11 of your understanding of the various 12 impoundments, active and inactive? 13 Α. Yes. The two highlighted blue ponds, Pond 2-S and Pond 3-S also called Pond 2-South 14 15 and 3-South, those are the currently -- the 16 more active pounds and I believe one of them 17 may be going inactive soon, but to the north, 18 we have Pond 1-South and Pond 1-North and 19 those are not active. 20 And just as to 1-North and 1-South, Q. 21 we have stipulations as to those ponds: 22 Stipulation 48, there are two inactive ponds 23 at the Will County station: Ponds 1-North 24 (1-N) and 1-South (1-S); Stipulation
Page 109 1 49, Ponds 1-N and 1-S at the Will County 2 Electric Generating Station have Poz-o-Pac liners; Stipulation 50, Ponds 1-N and 1-S 3 4 were removed from service in 2010 and 5 neither received any ash or process water. 6 Now, turning to the next 7 slide, similar to the other stations, did 8 you review the history of the impoundments 9 for Will County? I did. And it has the same format 10 Α. as the other tables for -- for Will County. 11 We looked at columns to the right are -- one 12 13 describes the ash ponds present, the date they were constructed with the liners, original, 14 15 and then the date when they were relined with 16 high density polyethylene and then the last 17 column is scheduled ash removed. 18 And generally, looking at the date Q. 19 constructed, the liners, what was the date 20 all of these original liners were constructed on the ponds? 21 22 These all had Poz-o-Pac liners back Α. 23 in 1977. 24 And how thick was the Poz-o-Pac at Q.

Page 110 1 Will County? 2 Α. Well, the -- in general, they're 3 36 inches thick. They put them in in six-inch 4 So they're quite, quite thick. layers. 5 Now, looking at the next column, Ο. 6 dates relined, how would -- as to Ponds 2-South 7 and 3-South right now, how would you generally 8 describe the relining systems? 9 Are they similar or different? 10 Well, they both have -- they're very Α. So they're similar. They both have 11 similar. 12 HDPE. They both have geotextile cushions. They 13 both have a sand cushion layer. So they are 14 very, very similar. 15 Okay. And turning to the next page, Q. 16 Slide 61, entitled "Will County South Ponds 2 17 and 3," does this represent the cross section 18 for those ponds? 19 Α. Yes, it does. 20 And would you describe from bottom Q. 21 to top what the liner is for those ponds as 22 relined? 23 The dark brown is the native Α. Sure. 24 soils are subgrade. Above that and underneath

		Page	111
1	the bottom of the ponds, we have it's		
2	Poz-o-Pac 24 plus inches toward the edge		
3	of the bottom of the pond. And then we've		
4	got above that, we have the bottom		
5	geotextile cushion. Above that, is the HDPE		
6	liner. Above the liner is the top geotextile		
7	cushion.		
8	Then in Pond 2-S, we have		
9	what's called a geocell along the bottom edge		
10	and up the side slopes. And on both Ponds 2-S		
11	and 3-S, they both have the sand cushion of		
12	12 inches. On top of that, they have the		
13	crushed limestone warning layer that is six		
14	inches thick.		
15	Q. Noting towards the middle of the		
16	cross section, we've got Poz-o-Pac at the		
17	bottom and then it's slightly different right		
18	above it.		
19	What is that area?		
20	A. Well, in the away from the edge		
21	of the pond, that is natural fill. When		
22	it was originally installed, they had the		
23	foot of total Poz-o-Pac in the bottom and then		
24	they had a foot of fill and above that, they		

February 2, 2018

Page 112 1 put a foot of Poz-o-Pac. 2 In the relining, they took 3 out the top foot of Poz-o-Pac and so in some 4 areas, there was a natural -- there's a fill 5 at the bottom of the pond at that point compared to the sides of the pond -- the 6 7 Poz-o-Pac on the sides. 8 Q. So if I understand correctly, the 9 Poz-o-Pac on the sides was 36 inches and in 10 the middle of the ponds had a film material in the middle of the Poz-o-Pac? 11 12 Α. Correct. 13 Other than the liner systems, you Ο. 14 also note the elevations of groundwater in 15 the pond bottom elevation at Will County. 16 Would you discuss that 17 analysis? 18 Α. Sure. The pond bottom elevation 19 is 528.5 feet for both ponds. The groundwater varies between the different ponds. For the 20 average for Pond 3-South is elevation 581, 21 22 which is about a foot and a half less than 23 the pond bottom. In round Pond 2-S, we have 24 an elevation of 582.5, which is the same as

Page 113

1 the pond bottom.

2	Q. And do those higher elevations
З	cause you concern for hydrostatic uplift?
4	A. Well, it would be of concern when
5	the ponds were empty and being cleaned out.
6	And so what I looked at is I looked at that
7	elevation, which does not cause hydrostatic
8	uplift because the water pressure the
9	pressure of water having the weight of about
10	half of soil and concrete like, one foot
11	of soil is equal two feet of water.
12	And so you have to have
13	more feet of water pressure than soil to
14	counterbalance or to push the liner up. So
15	in this situation, that weight of that 18
16	inches is very important of that cushion and
17	the stone on top of the geomembrane because
18	as that water level would rise around Pond 2-S,
19	is could cause hydrostatic uplift.
20	So I looked at that and
21	basically that foot and a half of sand and
22	stone at the bottom resists the maximum
23	water level that we recorded, which is about
24	1.3 or a foot and a quarter higher and so it

		Page	114
1	still adds a margin of net down pressure even		
2	at the highest maximum groundwater levels in		
3	the area and, of course, that would only be of		
4	concern when the pond is empty.		
5	So the bottom line is you		
6	should watch it. You should be careful when		
7	you do unline the pond. You probably check		
8	the water levels and make sure it's not too		
9	high, but even then, it's just something to		
10	be looked at, but I'm I'm not that concerned		
11	about having hydrostatic uplift occur.		
12	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Again,		
13	Mr. Seymour, perfect speed. Thank you for		
14	me anyway. Thank you.		
15	BY MS. NIJMAN:		
16	Q. In fact, did you do some mathematical		
17	calculations of downward forces at this pond		
18	because of the concern you're just discussing?		
19	A. We did. I did.		
20	Q. And did those calculations result in		
21	the conclusion you just stated?		
22	A. That calculation was done a couple		
23	few years ago and since that time, I've updated		
24	it because I have now considered the maximum		

February 2, 2018

Page 115 1 water levels. Obviously, it was raised in the 2 course of this matter. 3 And I also -- and again, in 4 this testimony, I wanted to present the worst 5 case as though there was no Poz-o-Pac just in 6 case it was -- because I had actually used 7 30-inch Poz-o-Pac in my calculation. That was a -- it should have been 24. 8 9 So I looked at it as if there 10 was no Poz-o-Pac, just a geomembrane liner at the bottom at the maximum water level. 11 There was no hydrostatic uplift because it's resisted 12 13 by the sand and gravel sitting on top of the geomembrane. 14 15 At Will County, how did you learn Q. 16 about the ash removal process? I mean, we've 17 heard some testimony here. 18 Did you do anything else? 19 Will County ash was removed Α. Yes. 20 by LaFarge. We spoke to Mr. Nowicki and then, 21 of course, Fred Veenbaas was here and we heard 22 his testimony and we talked to him and Chris 23 Lux. So we talked to the operators, if you 24 will, of these facilities and the contractor

February 2, 2018

Page 116 1 that does the removal. 2 Again, it was the methodical 3 approach. They had contingency plans if they 4 did have an accident meaning a nick in the 5 liner that could cause a hole. So I felt it 6 was a responsible program. 7 In your experience, where would Q. 8 these nicks in the liner from equipment 9 occur, the top of the liners or where would 10 they occur? Well, they would occur where the 11 Α. 12 geomembrane was exposed. In other words, where it was not below the water. It would 13 14 be next to impossible to damage it when it's 15 full of water because there is no equipment 16 in the pond. So it's always going to happen 17 around the edges where the liner is exposed 18 or when its being cleaned out, it could occur 19 again above where the water is at that time, 20 but it could be from equipment hitting the --21 hitting the geomembrane where there's exposed 22 geomembrane. 23 Q. And did you learn of any circumstances 24 where there is exposed geomembrane during the

Page 117 1 clean-outs? 2 Α. Yes. There was -- there was a 3 good-sized tear that was found when there 4 was no water in the pond at some point in 5 time during the clean-out. 6 My understanding is 7 it was repaired prior to filling the pond 8 and so that would not have caused the leak. 9 So that was the one circumstance Q. 10 at a pond that was not in use? That was pond 3-S back around the 11 Α. 2009 timeframe, I believe. 12 What is your opinion based on your 13 Q. 14 review of Will County data and the construction 15 information as to whether the Will County ponds 16 are leaking constituents to groundwater? 17 Α. I don't think they are leaking. 18 Looking at Slide 62, that's the Will Q. 19 County map with the monitoring wells identified. 20 We heard Mr. Gnat discuss those. 21 Is this an accurate depiction 22 of the monitoring wells at Will County? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Q. And I think he stated Monitoring Wells

February 2, 2018

Page 118 1 1, 2, 3, 5 and 9 are upgradient; is that 2 correct? I don't think that's what --3 Α. 4 Oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead. Why don't 0. 5 vou tell me. 6 Α. I -- I would have said that Monitoring 7 Wells 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 would be upgradient. 8 Q. Thank you. 9 And looking at the next 10 slide, Slide 63, we heard Mr. Gnat discuss 11 the groundwater flow direction. This is the 12 groundwater contour map dated 5 of 2017 at 13 Will County. 14 Did you agree or do you agree 15 with Mr. Gnat's description of the groundwater 16 flow as depicted here? 17 Α. Yes, I do. 18 And again for Will County, if you Q. 19 look at the next two slides, 64 and 65, you 20 did a comparison of the ash data from ponds --21 constituents from ash data and ponds with 22 constituents in the groundwater. 23 What were your conclusions? 24 Α. Well, we followed the same process

L

		Page	119
1	and my main conclusion was that at the bottom		
2	line, 50 to 60 percent of the data, the		
3	constituents in the groundwater do not match		
4	the constituents in the ash.		
5	Q. In the ponds?		
6	A. Excuse me. Yes, the ash in the ponds.		
7	Q. And		
8	A. This first table is using the		
9	site-specific date at Table 5-5.		
10	Q. And as we've said earlier, the		
11	second table at 5-4 is the comparison with		
12	the constituents of ash from the EPRI		
13	published data, correct?		
14	A. Correct. And we found a little		
15	more consistency oddly, but it was still		
16	about 50 percent of the data are inconsistent		
17	meaning the data between the groundwater and		
18	the ash in the ponds don't match.		
19	Q. Turning to the next slide, Slide 66.		
20	A. Okay.		
21	Q. We heard from Maria Race some		
22	discussion about this area at the bottom,		
23	alleged slag bottom ash placement area?		
24	A. On the bottom southern property		

February 2, 2018

Page 120 1 line, yes. 2 Ο. Yes. Is that the area that -- I'm 3 sorry. I'm referencing the wrong section. 4 In green, at the top near 5 pond 1-N, CCR placement area sample 2015, 6 that's what I wanted to ask you about. 7 Α. I see that. 8 Q. And do you recall Mr. Gnat talking 9 about the sampling done in that area? 10 Α. I do. 11 And are those part of the historic Q. 12 ash samples that you relied upon for your 13 opinions in this case? I did. They are. 14 Α. 15 And generally what did you find based Q. 16 on that sampling? 17 Α. Again, we found similar parameters across the different sites where we had some 18 19 barium and boron. Additionally, we had a 20 little iron in this one and we did not have 21 the sulfate, but the barium and boron were 22 consistent with the other samples from the 23 other sites. 24 Turning to the next line for Q.

Page 121 1 Will County, Slide 67, does that represent 2 the Groundwater Management Zone accurately, 3 in your opinion? 4 Α. Yes. 5 And that's been discussed in Ο. testimony by Mr. Gnat and where are all 6 7 the groundwater monitoring wells included 8 within that Groundwater Management Zone? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Now, did you also review the Ο. 11 groundwater concentrations for Will County to conduct a spacial analysis? 12 13 Α. I did. 14 Q. And did you use the same procedure 15 for Will County as you did with the other 16 sites? 17 Α. We did. And as you can see, we 18 used the same four analytes. 19 Ο. Okay. Turning to Slide 69, is 20 that what you're referring to? 21 Α. I'm sorry. Yes. 22 And what did you find? Q. 23 Again, we had not very many --Α. 24 nothing exciting with barium, which is

February 2, 2018

Page 122 1 probably a good thing. But boron, we had again several well, Monitor Wells 7.4 and 2 3 5 and what's interesting is, you know, 4 Wells 4 and 5 for boron, they're upgrading 5 wells. 6 And manganese, we found 7 it at Wells 4 and 8 and 3 recognizing that 8 Wells 4 and 3 are upgradient, which would 9 indicate it's not coming from the ponds. 10 Well 8 is downgradient. And it's similar for sulfate 11 12 where they found the peaks. Again, where you're looking for a source, it's upgradient 13 14 of the pond because these are upgradient 15 wells. 16 And what did this tell you about Ο. 17 what -- did the peaks tell you from different 18 wells? 19 It told me that the ponds aren't --Α. 20 the source is not the ponds, that there's 21 some upgradient, I'll call it, as I said before, 22 some historical use of the property that is 23 causing the impacts. 24 And as you did with the other sites, Q.

Page 123 1 did you do a temporal trend analysis for 2 Will County? 3 Α. I did. 4 Looking at the next slide, Slide Ο. 5 70, is that -- does Slide 70 reflect the 6 updated groundwater constituent temporal 7 trend testing results for Will County? 8 Α. It does recognizing these are the first ten wells that were installed. 9 The 10 more recent wells were installed by Midwest Gen to respond to the CCR rule and there 11 12 wasn't the amount of data to analyze. We 13 did not analyze the new wells. 14 But these data again go 15 from 2010 to 2017. We did the same linear 16 regression analysis to see what slope the 17 line is. We looked at what was increasing, 18 what was decreasing or where we would make 19 no conclusion. 20 On the next slide is Slide 71. Q. 21 What did you find at Will 22 County? 23 Again, in summary, in the middle, Α. 24 in the big bullets, it appears that the

February 2, 2018

Page 124 1 groundwater concentrations are neither 2 increasing nor decreasing for same reason. 3 If you look at the numbers, 4 about the same number of wells or parameters 5 are increasing as decreasing. So it's neither 6 increasing or decreasing. 7 Is a fair way to describe that it's Q. 8 not getting worse? 9 Α. Correct. 10 In lay speak? Q. 11 Α. Yes. 12 Now, for Will County, you also, like Q. 13 you did or all the other sites, you did a risk 14 analysis and that would be at -- what's marked 15 as Exhibit 907 in your binder? 16 Α. Yes. 17 What did you find for Will County? Q. 18 Α. The same as the other three sites 19 under an accepted risk assessment practices that 20 we found. 21 And as with the other sites, were Q. 22 there any potable wells in the area that are 23 at issue? 24 Α. There are no potable water use at

February 2, 2018

Page 125 1 this site like the other sites. In addition, there's the ELUCs that don't allow the 2 3 installation of potable water wells. 4 Ο. And that would apply to all the 5 sites --6 Α. Yes. 7 -- where the ELUCs are? Ο. 8 Correct. Α. 9 Q. Based on your review of the data 10 and your risk assessment at Will County, 11 what is your opinion of Midwest Generation's 12 actions regarding protection of groundwater? 13 Α. My opinion of Will County would be 14 the same as the other plants. Again, it was 15 a responsible program. It was -- I worked 16 for a number of power utilities and to have 17 an organized evaluation program normally is 18 good and it's normally other clients, it's 19 ad hoc and plant-base driven. 20 So here, it was nice to have 21 a corporate program to look forward a little 22 farther that what plants often do and I found 23 it to be a very responsible owner of these 24 facilities.

Page 126

1 So I'm going to ask you the same Ο. 2 question we have for the other facilities. 3 You're saying it's not the 4 You're saying it's not the historic ponds. 5 areas at Will County. So how did the 6 constituents of coal ash that are in the 7 groundwater get into the groundwater? 8 Α. You know, the first bullet in my 9 analysis all along has been that these are old facilities and, you know, we've looked 10 at the ponds. I called them historic areas, 11 12 which are defined by borings mainly. So 13 there's other historic use and upgradient influences that are causing these impacts. 14 And there's no specific 15 source that could be identified and that 16 17 in my opinion, the way to manage these --18 these impacts are the way that it's been 19 agreed to by the Illinois EPA and Midwest 20 Gen. It's to monitor, put a GMZ, put an 21 ELUC, control the risk, and you're going 22 to have no risk at all. 23 Q. So is it important to locate these

> L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292

potential little sources -- residual sources

24

February 2, 2018

Page 127 1 that might be out there? 2 MR. RUSS: Object to little. 3 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Rephrase. 4 BY MS. NIJMAN: 5 Is it important to locate each 0. 6 individual potential source -- historic source 7 that might be there? 8 Α. I've worked at much more complicated, 9 much more contaminated sites with lots of things 10 going on and you can waste a lot of effort going after every possible area. 11 12 And in situations like that -again, I think I mentioned earlier that Illinois 13 14 has a more practical approach that cuts to the 15 solution quickly. I -- as I mentioned before, you put the problem within a box and you control 16 17 at that box. You control the risk. In that 18 case, it's not necessary to know all of these 19 other historic use sources. 20 Is it unusual at these old historic Q. 21 sites you are talking about to have unknown 22 sources when a site is 50, 80 years old? 23 Not at all. It's more likely than Α. 24 not, put it that way. Much more likely than

February 2, 2018

Page 128 1 not. 2 Have all of your opinions in your Q. 3 report as updated and your opinions today 4 and yesterday, are they true and correct 5 to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty? 6 Α. Yes. That's my opinion. 7 MS. NIJMAN: Mr. Hearing Officer, I'd move to admit Exhibits -- Midwest Gen's 8 9 Exhibits 900 through 908. 10 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. 11 MR. RUSS: I'm sorry. May I have 12 just a moment? 13 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Anv 14 objection, Mr. Russ? MR. RUSS: Yes. Can I have a 15 16 moment to confer, please? 17 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Sure. 18 MR. RUSS: No objection. 19 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All 20 right. Thank you, Mr. Russ. 21 Respondent Exhibits 900, 22 901, 902, 903, 904, 905, 906, 907, and 23 908 are admitted. 24

		Page	129
1	(Respondent Exhibit Nos. 900		
2	through 908 were admitted		
3	into evidence.)		
4	MS. NIJMAN: Our direct is complete.		
5	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thanks.		
6	Let's go off the record:		
7	(Whereupon, a discussion		
8	was had off the record.)		
9	(Whereupon, after a short		
10	break was had, the following		
11	proceedings were held		
12	accordingly.)		
13	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All		
14	right. We are back on the record. It's		
15	approximately 11:40. Mr. Russ is starting		
16	his cross-examination of Mr. Seymour.		
17	You may begin, sir. Thank		
18	you.		
19	MR. RUSS: Thanks.		
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION		
21	by Mr. Russ		
22	Q. Hello, Mr. Seymour.		
23	A. Hi.		
24	Q. The first thing I want to look at is		

February 2, 2018

Page 130 1 your CV, which I believe is in your binder at 2 the end of Exhibit 900. 3 Α. Okay. 4 Or it is Exhibit 900. I'm sorry. 0. 5 Do you have any degrees in 6 hydrology? 7 Α. No. 8 Q. Do you have any degrees in geology? 9 My degree is in geotechnical Α. engineering, which is a combination of civil 10 engineering and geology. 11 12 Q. Okay. Can you please identify any 13 projects on your CV where you were responsible 14 for evaluating groundwater quality data to 15 determine the source of contamination? 16 Α. Yes. 17 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Mr. Russ, 18 could you keep your voice up? 19 MR. RUSS: Yep. 20 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank 21 you. 22 BY MR. RUSS: 23 Do you want me to ask it again? Q. 24 Can you please identify any

Page 131 1 projects on your CV where you were responsible 2 for evaluating groundwater quality data to 3 determine the source of contamination? 4 There's a project in Monroe, Α. Yes. 5 It's called DTE Energy. It may not Michigan. 6 be fully explained in here. 7 Is it on Page 48961? Ο. 8 48961, Monroe Power Plant, yes. Α. It's 9 an ash pond and there was seepage at the toe of 10 the slope and that we looked at the chemistry, including Tritium, to look at whether or not 11 12 the source was the pond. 13 Ο. Who is "we," for the record? 14 Α. Me and my colleagues. 15 Oh, okay. Thank you. Q. 16 Any other? 17 Α. Well, we looked at, for example, 18 the Berlin & Farro Superfund site, which is 19 not an ash fund. Let me look. It should be 20 here. Yes, on Bates 58963 (sic.), Berlin & 21 Farro Liquid Incineration Site, that was a 22 remedial investigation. There, we were 23 looking for remaining sources. 24 If you look at the same page

		Page	132
1	further up, National Industrial Environmental		
2	Services, that was a hazardous waste treatment		
3	facility where we looked at groundwater and		
4	we looked at a couple of organic evaporation		
5	ponds. We looked at a hazardous waste landfill		
6	and we looked at some acid treatment ponds.		
7	At the McGraw-Edison facility		
8	on Bates 48962, that was again a Superfund		
9	site and we were looking for the sources and		
10	conducting a study to arrive at a remedy.		
11	For the Motor Wheel Disposal		
12	Site, that was a Superfund site where we		
13	looked at sources for cost allocation		
14	understanding what came from where.		
15	For the a number of sites,		
16	manufactured gas plant sites, a utility		
17	a manufacturer on the same page, Page 48962,		
18	where they have a lot of MGP sites where we		
19	looked at various possible sources.		
20	Q. Which one is that? I'm sorry.		
21	A. It's at the top left. It says,		
22	"Utility Company, Multiple Sites, Wisconsin."		
23	Q. Thank you.		
24	Sorry to interrupt you, but		

February 2, 2018

Page 133 1 the first one is an FGD site. 2 Were the rest of these 3 ash coal ash related or not? 4 Α. They were not. 5 Ο. And when you --6 Α. And they had the similar, you know, 7 groundwater evaluations, but it's -- they weren't coal ash constituents. 8 9 Q. Okay. And was that all while you 10 were working for Geosyntec? That was a lot of prior -- some 11 Α. No. of it was with Geosyntec and some was with my 12 13 previous company. 14 And when -- when you were working with Q. 15 your company, whether it was with Geosyntec or 16 the previous company on these projects, were 17 you personally responsible for evaluating the 18 groundwater data or was that sometimes someone 19 else within the company? 20 Α. It's a combination, but more often 21 than not, I was responsible. You can appreciate 22 when you first start, my first site in 1982 with 23 Environmental Contamination, I was the guy doing 24 all of the work.

Page 134 1 Right. That's how it goes. Q. Okay. 2 Thank you. 3 Α. Excuse me, Mr. Russ. You said FGD 4 site. 5 That's what it looked like. Ο. 6 Α. No. With the Monroe project, it was 7 fly ash. 8 Q. Oh, I'm sorry. It looks here like 9 it says flue gas desulphurization gypsum. THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. 10 could you say that again louder? 11 12 MR. RUSS: Yeah. Flue gas 13 desulphurization gypsum. BY MR. RUSS: 14 15 Am I reading that wrong? Q. 16 Well, let me find it again because Α. 17 at each project, I've done so many things it 18 may not be listed here, Mr. Russ. 19 This is the Monroe Power Plant on Ο. 20 48961. This is the first one we talked about. Yeah. That was the first study. 21 Α. 22 It's built in 19- -- I think 2009 and then 23 I worked on that project for eight more years 24 and so within that span -- period of time is

February 2, 2018

```
Page 135
1
     when I did the study.
2
               Okay. And so the confusion over
         Ο.
3
     whether that was fly ash or flue gas
4
     desulphurization material, which --
5
               It was fly ash. The study was to
         Α.
6
     look for a flue ash -- excuse me -- FGD, flue
7
     gas desulphurization facility that could be
8
     located within that ash pond or at another
     location.
9
10
               Okay. Thank you.
                                  That clarified
         Ο.
11
     that.
12
                      And just to get this crystal
13
     clear on the record, you said you were
14
     responsible for getting it done, the groundwater
15
     analysis, does that mean you were doing it
16
     yourself?
17
         Α.
               I did everything. I was sampling
18
     the groundwater, tabulating the data and
19
     analyzed the data -- evaluated the data, yes.
20
               Okay. But you didn't necessarily
         Q.
21
     do that for each of these --
22
         Α.
               Put it this way; if you know what
23
     you're doing, you can tell people what data
24
     you need to see and you know how to do it --
```

February 2, 2018

Page 136 1 Q. Okay. 2 Α. -- after doing it -- after doing it 3 for so many years. 4 Ο. Thank you. Okay. 5 Now, moving your report, you 6 don't have to open it yet, but in your report, 7 you discussed groundwater impacts at these 8 sites, right? 9 Α. Yes. 10 And by impacts, you were referring Ο. to elevated concentrations of certain 11 12 constituents in the groundwater? 13 That's a fair statement. Α. Yes. 14 And these constituents included Ο. 15 chemicals that you would describe as inorganics; 16 is that right? 17 Α. They were inorganic and elemental or 18 as compounds. 19 Ο. For the Powerton site, you noted that 20 the inorganics that are in the groundwater are 21 characteristic of coal ash. 22 Do you remember that? 23 They probably were something like that Α. 24 in general.

February 2, 2018

Page 137 1 Do you still hold that opinion that Q. 2 the inorganics in the groundwater at Powerton 3 are characteristic of coal ash? 4 I would say that they are Α. 5 characteristic, but not consistent with what 6 we previously heard regarding what's in the 7 ponds and what we've identified. 8 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Let's go off the record for a minute. 9 10 (Whereupon, a discussion was had off the record.) 11 12 BY MR. RUSS: 13 Can we look at your deposition? Ι Q. 14 have a few copies of it here. 15 Go to Page 46 of your 16 deposition. 17 Α. Excuse me. Page 46 on the bottom or 18 the actual deposition? 19 Ο. Page 46 on the deposition. I think 20 the pages on the bottom will have a parenthesis 21 range of four pages for each page? 22 Okay. Α. Yes. 23 So deposition Page 46, Ms. Cassel has Q. 24 indicated on the top of the page -- she's asking

February 2, 2018

Page 138 1 questions about the Powerton site, right? 2 Α. Yes. I see that. 3 Q. And she asked you a series of 4 questions, but the answer you gave on Lines 5 17 and 18, could you recite that, please, 6 out loud? 7 Α. Yeah, I will. I was just --8 Q. Oh, okay. Sure. 9 -- reading all around it --Α. 10 Q. Yes. 11 -- to make sure I understood it. Α. 12 Q. Yes. 13 Leading up to it, it asks if there's Α. 14 a specific source. And I say, "Answer: No 15 specific source. 16 And then Ms. Cassel said, 17 "Question: Any general sources?" The inorganics that are 18 "Answer: 19 in the groundwater are characteristic of coal 20 ash materials." 21 Okay. And then --Q. 22 MS. NIJMAN: Can you keep reading? 23 BY THE WITNESS: 24 Α. "Answer: My point is that the ash

		Page	139
1	that we sampled and analyzed and where we		
2	evaluated it, it doesn't appear to be		
3	contributing enough to cause what we're		
4	seeing. And so I'm concluding by process		
5	of elimination there's something else."		
6	BY MR. RUSS:		
7	Q. Thank you. I appreciate the rest		
8	of that answer too.		
9	Now, some of the inorganics		
10	we are talking about here are boron and sulfate;		
11	is that right?		
12	A. Some of them are, yes, boron and		
13	inorganic compounds sulfate.		
14	Q. And so when you use the phrase		
15	"groundwater impact, that included in some		
16	cases elevated concentrations of boron and		
17	sulfate?		
18	A. In the groundwater data, it had,		
19	in some cases, elevated boron and sulfate.		
20	Q. Now, one the opinions that you gave		
21	in this case is that the recent groundwater		
22	impacts are not a result of the ash currently		
23	stored in the ponds at the sites; is that right?		
24	A. Consistently, what we've seen in the		

February 2, 2018

Page 140 1 analysis -- by the matching analysis, we've 2 concluded that the data of the groundwater is 3 inconsistent with the ponds being the source. 4 Ο. Is your opinion, that the recent 5 groundwater impacts instead are more likely than not a result of historical uses at the 6 7 site and the surrounding industrial companies 8 and conditions? 9 MS. NIJMAN: I'm going to 10 objection as to overbroad. 11 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Sustained. 12 Rephrase, please. 13 BY MR. RUSS: 14 I was actually reading from your Q. 15 report. 16 Could you turn to your report 17 on Page 43? 18 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Is 19 that Exhibit 901, sir? 20 MR. RUSS: 901 is the presentation. 21 MS. NIJMAN: Is it 903? 22 MR. RUSS: It's 903. 23 BY THE WITNESS: 24 Α. I found it.

February 2, 2018

Page 141 1 BY MR. RUSS: 2 Ο. Thank you. It's on Page 43 of Exhibit 3 903. 4 Α. Page 43. 5 And I'll catch up with you here. Ο. 6 I think it's the last sentence there. It 7 says, "Thus, it is my opinion that the recent 8 groundwater impacts are not a result of the 9 ash currently stored in ponds at the sites, 10 but instead are more likely than not a result of historical uses at the sites and the 11 12 surrounding industrial companies and 13 conditions." 14 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. 15 We've got to speak up and slow down. We 16 have a court reporter trying to take in 17 all you have. It's my obligation to get 18 a clear record, a legible record. 19 MR. RUSS: Did you catch what 20 I said? 21 THE COURT REPORTER: It's a 22 struggle. I mean, you're talking too 23 low and you're really reading fast so 24 I'll have to get the document to make

February 2, 2018

Page 142 1 sure I have it correctly. 2 MR. RUSS: Okay. All right. 3 I'll slow it down. BY MR. RUSS. 4 5 So what it says here is, "Thus, 0. 6 it is my opinion that the recent groundwater 7 impacts are not a result of the ash currently 8 stored in ponds at the sites, but instead are 9 more likely than not a result of historical 10 uses at the sites and the surrounding industrial 11 companies and conditions." 12 MS. NIJMAN: Same objection 13 to over- -- based on the previous 25 14 pages. 15 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: T'm 16 not sure what the question was, Mr. Russ. 17 MR. RUSS: I was asking if that 18 was still his opinion. 19 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes, 20 that's fine. You can, you know, flesh it 21 out on redirect if need be, Ms. Nijman. 22 Can you answer that? 23 BY THE WITNESS: 24 Α. Yes. It is still my opinion.

		Page 143
1	MR. RUSS: Thank you.	
2	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank	
3	you.	
4	BY MR. RUSS:	
5	Q. Are you familiar with the coal	
6	ash rule? I'm assuming you are because we've	
7	been looking at it already today, the EPA	
8	coal arm rule or CCR rule, as it's been	
9	referred to.	
10	A. I'm familiar with it, as established	
11	yesterday.	
12	Q. And are you aware of the liner	
13	requirements in the coal ash rule?	
14	A. I am very knowledgeable of that.	
15	Q. And is it true that the coal ash	
16	rule requires at least two feet of compacted	
17	soil with a hydraulic conductivity of not	
18	more than one time ten to the negative seven	
19	centimeters per second or the equivalent of	
20	the subgrade for ash in ponds?	
21	A. I'd have to look at the rule. I	
22	would say that the permeability for two-foot	
23	thick is a requirement for the soil or barrier	
24	layer for a new facilities.	

February 2, 2018

Page 144 1 And when they say "compacted Uh-huh. Q. 2 soil," what does that mean to you? It's a common -- in my business, 3 Α. 4 when you have compacted soil, it's normally 5 a low permeability soil to achieve the hydraulic conductivity characteristics. 6 7 And is there anything other than Q. 8 clay that can achieve that permeability? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Q. Okay. 11 Many things, as we have seen here Α. 12 in these cases. 13 Q. Can we go back to your deposition 14 then, which is Page 207 -- in this case, 15 207 and 208? 16 And could you just please 17 read on Page 208, Lines 8 through 10. 18 MS. NIJMAN: Hold on a second. 19 I'm not there yet. 20 BY THE WITNESS: 21 Α. Page 208. 22 BY MR. RUSS: 23 Uh-huh. Q. 24 Α. You said Lines 8 through 10?
February 2, 2018

Page 145 1 Q. Yes. 2 Α. Okay. I can see it. You want 3 me to read it out loud? 4 Yes. Just Lines 8 through 10. Ο. 5 MS. NIJMAN: Well, are we 6 reading the whole question and answer? 7 I don't think you can just read a 8 portion of the answer. 9 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ι 10 agree. 11 BY MR. RUSS: 12 Q. Well, okay. Let's go back to --13 I guess we'd have to start with Line 16 on 14 Page 207 to get the whole exchange on that 15 one. I'm fine with that. 16 Α. 17 Q. Okay. 18 Α. Line 16 begins "Question: Okay. 19 So -- I'm sorry -- you said your understanding 20 is the ponds, the Midwest Generation ponds that 21 we're discussing, do not have liners with the 22 permeability that I mentioned?" 23 "Answer: Correct." "Question: What is the basis for 24

February 2, 2018

Page 146 1 your statement?" 2 That -- the records "Answer: 3 that we've researched and what has been indicated to us and the -- what I have 4 5 documented if my report on the figures we 6 just went through. There's been no report 7 of a compacted clay liner of low permeability 8 as you've requested." "Question: Okay. I said 'compacted 9 10 soil' just to be clear. 11 Do you know about compacted 12 soil with that particular hydraulic conductivity 13 under the liners at the ash ponds?" I apologize. The only 14 "Answer: 15 soil that is that low of permeability is clay. It's the same. I'm sorry." 16 17 Okay. So you just said that there --Q. 18 I believe you said there were other kinds of 19 compacted soil that would have the same 20 permeability? 21 Α. Mr. Russ, I -- I -- I agree I said 22 that and I think -- when I think of the rule, 23 I think of the requirements for liners including 24 the alternate. So, in fact, clay soil is what

February 2, 2018

Page 147 1 you use to achieve that permeability and there 2 are alternates to receive that permeability. 3 So I was thinking the permeability versus the 4 compacted soil. That's my understanding. 5 Okay. Okay. Ο. That's the clay and the equivalence 6 Α. 7 for what they call the alternate in the rule. 8 Q. Okay. So just to be clear, are you 9 aware of any other compacted soils that would 10 have that permeability other than clay? I -- not to my knowledge. 11 Α. 12 Q. Okay. Okay. Thank you. 13 And then I think we started 14 getting into this with this part of the 15 transcript, but as far as you know, none of 16 the ponds at these four sites have a subgrade 17 that fits that description, do they? 18 Α. The description of a compacted 19 clay bottom liner in the rule is for the 20 new facilities and it's not necessarily a 21 requirement for the existing facilities. So 22 these bonds are existing. So it's not there, 23 but it's also not a requirement. 24 Understood. But just for the record, Q.

February 2, 2018

Page 148 1 do any of these ponds at the four sites have 2 a two-foot compacted clay liner with that 3 permeability? 4 They do not, to my knowledge. Α. 5 Ο. Thank you. 6 Are you familiar with -- and 7 I know you are -- Poz-o-Pac? 8 Α. Yes. I am familiar with Poz-o-Pac. 9 And Poz-o-Pac is a cementitious Q. 10 material made with fly ash; is that correct? That's one of the ingredients. 11 Α. It's 12 also of lime or cement aggregate like a sand. 13 Ο. And some of the ponds of these four 14 sites are lined with Poz-o-Pac, correct? 15 Α. Yes, they are. Many of them. 16 And Poz-o-Pac liners can crack, Ο. 17 right? 18 Α. The conditions that they would 19 crack would have to, of course, be between 20 the loading and weathering of those like 21 freeze/thaw so they can crack. 22 Okay. And if a Poz-o-Pac liner Q. 23 is cracked and is put under liquid load, 24 the liquid will push through the cracks;

February 2, 2018

Page 149 1 is that correct? 2 MS. NIJMAN: I'm sorry. 3 Objection. Is this a hypothetical? 4 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm 5 sorry? 6 MS. NIJMAN: Objection, vague. 7 Is this a hypothetical? MR. RUSS: I don't think it's 8 9 a hypothetical. I guess if a Poz-o-Pac liner is cracked, will water pass through 10 11 it. 12 BY THE WITNESS: 13 Well, if you phrase it in the Α. 14 question of if, I would consider that like 15 a hypothetical. But, of course, if you 16 have crack in a material, the water can 17 flow through if you put the water head 18 on top of it. BY MR. RUSS: 19 20 Right. Well, okay. I can stop Q. 21 there. 22 Is it your opinion that a 23 landfill -- well, first of all, does the 24 term "mobile waste" mean anything to you

February 2, 2018

Page 150 1 in your professional experience? 2 Α. Did you say mobile waste? 3 Q. Mobile. 4 Not really. Α. 5 Okay. Let's go back to your Ο. 6 deposition again so we can get clarification 7 Go to Page 222 of your deposition. on this. 8 Α. Okay. 9 Q. If you start on Line 9 and read 10 through Line 2 on Page 223, please. Okay. I've read it. 11 Α. 12 Did you use the term "mobile waste"? Q. 13 Α. Yes. It was a mis- -- probably a 14 misstatement. What you're thinking was the 15 mobility of the constituents. The waste isn't 16 really moving. It's the constituents in the 17 waste, for clarification. 18 Q. And --19 Again, this is -- as we have Α. 20 been discussing, this is non-site-specific, 21 this is general? 22 This is general. This is not specific Q. 23 I'm just wondering if you still to any site. 24 have this opinion that if a landfill is -- I'll

Page 151 1 "If you had a landfill that was closed read it. 2 that had highly mobile waste and that the waste 3 were placed below the groundwater table and they 4 were allowed to migrate off-site, then I would 5 say that would not be a safe practice." 6 Is that still your opinion? 7 MS. NIJMAN: I'm sorry. If 8 you could continue reading; "BY MS. CASSEL: 9 Okay." "Answer: -- as a hypothetical." 10 MR. RUSS: I'm going to object. 11 I think that was --12 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You 13 can keep reading. I have sustained. BY MR. RUSS: 14 15 So then Ms. Cassel said, "Question: Q. 16 Okay." 17 You said, "Answer: -- as 18 a hypothetical." 19 "Question: Understood." 20 I think that was the end of 21 the answer. 22 Α. That's fine. What's the question, 23 sir? 24 Q. My question?

February 2, 2018

1 Do you still have this opinion? 2 I think that in situations like Α. 3 that, then, it's highly mobile waste that has the characteristics of -- that could 4 cause other effects. If it's allowed to 5 leave containment area, it would be exposed 6 7 to receptors, if you will, then it would be 8 not safe if you were truly causing a health 9 risk in excess of what's allowable by state and federal law. 10 11 And I want to ask you a couple of Q. 12 questions about two terms that you used. 13 One is "highly mobile waste." 14 I know you -- now, you're saying constituents. 15 But what you do mean by "highly mobile"? 16 MS. NIJMAN: Objection, misstates 17 testimony. 18 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Overruled. He can answer if he's able. 19 20 BY THE WITNESS: 21 Sure. Again, when I look at the Α. 22 constituents, some constituents are absorbed. 23 Both organic and inorganic could be absorbed 24 or precipitated as it moves through the

> L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292

#### Page 152

		Page	153
1	environment. And so less less of it moves		
2	or moves more slowly. Others are more freely		
3	moved like with water, they consider those to		
4	be mobile. Some organic wastes are like		
5	that and some inorganic chemicals are like		
6	that and some inorganic constituents are		
7	like that.		
8	BY MR. RUSS:		
9	Q. And then I also was wondering about		
10	the significance of the waste being placed		
11	below the groundwater table.		
12	How does that contribute to		
13	it being an unsafe practice?		
14	A. Well, I again, I didn't say that		
15	it would be unsafe. It would be under certain		
16	circumstances, but what it really does is the		
17	groundwater, if it's migrating under through		
18	that material, then it would carry off those		
19	materials to again, assuming it's to a		
20	source, a receptor, that would make it unsafe.		
21	Q. Okay. Thank you.		
22	Would this opinion also apply		
23	to coal ash leaving coal ash in the landfill		
24	below the groundwater table if it migrated		

February 2, 2018

Page 154 1 off-site, it would be an unsafe practice, in 2 general terms? 3 Objection, overbroad. MS. NIJMAN: 4 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: T'm 5 sorry, Ms. Nijman? 6 MS. NIJMAN: Overboard, 7 objection. 8 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: He can answer if he is able. 9 BY THE WITNESS: 10 If he had the conditions, as I 11 Α. 12 mentioned, where you have coal ash below the 13 groundwater table, put it in a situation where 14 it can migrate laterally to an exposure point 15 where it could be subject to ingestion in a 16 concentration that exceeds an acceptable risk 17 limit, then I would consider that as unsafe. BY MR. RUSS: 18 19 Ο. Okay. 20 Or at least in excess of regulatory Α. 21 allowances because even with the regulatory 22 allowances, I think calling it unsafe may be 23 a little bit too simple. It's really because 24 we live with these cleanup levels of acceptable

February 2, 2018

Page 155 1 risk. 2 Because you have a risk, they 3 can say you have to manage that risk. That 4 doesn't mean it's necessarily unsafe. 5 Are there also situations where a Ο. 6 constituent might be unsafe at a level lower 7 than the current regulatory standard? 8 Α. That's a very complicated question, 9 Mr. Russ, because there's a lot of chemistry 10 out there and that's a very big question. So maybe you have to hone me in because there 11 12 are -- it's almost more of a question applicable 13 for a professional risk assessor because there 14 are a lot of chemistry that I'm not aware of. 15 That was my old job. Q. 16 So let's take a chemical 17 like manganese, for example. We were reading 18 in the coal ash rule that there's no MCL for 19 manganese. So it hasn't been found to cause 20 a lot of damage cases, I think, is the term 21 they used. 22 Could manganese be unsafe 23 even though there's no MCL by which to evaluate 24 its safety?

		Page	156
1	A. My understanding of manganese is		
2	that it's got very limited health impacts		
3	also and so that again you have to ask a		
4	toxicologist that question. What I know		
5	is I follow the regulations and, yes, I know		
6	a little bit about how you set MCLs and so		
7	on, but that's really a toxicology question.		
8	I don't I don't see that applicable here.		
9	Q. Well, okay. In general, let's not		
10	talk about manganese specifically, but is it		
11	possible that there could be a health risk		
12	at a level below which the regulatory standard		
13	has been set?		
14	MS. NIJMAN: Asked and answered,		
15	overbroad.		
16	MR. RUSS: He can probably answer		
17	the question.		
18	THE WITNESS: I think I answered		
19	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm		
20	sorry.		
21	THE WITNESS: I said I think I		
22	answered it.		
23	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I		
24	agree. Sustained.		

February 2, 2018

Page 157 1 Let's go off the record. 2 (Whereupon, a discussion 3 was had off the record.) 4 BY MR. RUSS: 5 Now, concerning the Joliet site, Ο. 6 you looked at the various potential sources 7 of groundwater impact at Joliet 29, didn't 8 you? I've examined the data, yes. 9 Α. 10 And you looked at off-site sources Ο. 11 as possibly causing groundwater impacts of 12 Joliet 29? 13 Α. Just in general. Again, Joliet doesn't really have the impacts that the 14 15 other sites have. So yes, you can see that there's chloride in the wells based on 16 17 Mr. Gnat's testimony and things I've heard 18 and understood from before, yeah, they 19 looked at the chloride source, but not much 20 else really. 21 Okay. So you have not identified Q. 22 any off-site sources that could be contributing 23 to impacts other than chloride, have you? 24 MS. NIJMAN: Asked and answered.

February 2, 2018

Page 158 1 BY THE WITNESS: 2 That's kind of what I said --Α. 3 Wait, wait. THE COURT REPORTER: 4 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Speak 5 up. 6 MS. NIJMAN: Sorry. Asked and 7 answered. 8 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: He may 9 answer if he's able. BY THE WITNESS: 10 I think I did answer by saying we 11 Α. looked at chloride and that's all. 12 13 BY MR. RUSS: 14 Q. Is it your opinion that the groundwater 15 impacts at Joliet may be related to coal ash 16 from historic uses? 17 Α. What I've said is I don't understand 18 the specific source, but it appears to be 19 historic uses and that the site again is one 20 of the sites that has the lowest impacts and 21 it is currently below the Illinois standards. 22 Okay. Can we turn to your deposition Q. 23 at Page 37? Yes, Mr. Russ. 24 Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 159

Can you read the question and the Ο. answer -- let me see. I'm sorry. This is a long back and forth, but starting with the question on Line 6. Ms. Cassel -- I'll just get you to the answer here, but Ms. Cassel asked, "Question: Are there any particular, specific non-coal ash ponds or ash fill sources that you opine are impacting the groundwater?" "Answer: I have not opined that on Joliet." "Question: So is it your opinion that the historical uses of the sites and the coal ash ponds are impacting the groundwater at Joliet?" "THE WITNESS: Pardon me. Repeat the question." "MS. CASSEL: Could you read the question, please?" The question was read back. There is an objection. You said, "I can understand part of it. I can answer part of it.

The power plant is over 50

	Page 160
1	years old and there are many historic uses at
2	the site that may have caused the impacts that
3	we're seeing, and they have caused the impacts
4	that we're seeing, and they may be related to
5	coal ash from historic uses."
6	Is that right?
7	A. Yes. That's what it says.
8	Q. Okay. And do you still have that
9	opinion?
10	A. Yes. I think that's consistent
11	with my opinion. As I mentioned, at Joliet,
12	there's some impacts and we don't have specific
13	sources and the site is under proper management
14	right now to avoid risk.
15	Q. Thank you.
16	And it's your opinion that
17	for at least some of the ash outside of the
18	ponds, you can rule that out as a source?
19	A. Well, what I have said is that in
20	Joliet, there is no identified ash outside
21	of the pond.
22	Q. And specifically, the material that
23	you can rule out is the material for which you
24	have leach test data; is that right?

Page 161 1 Α. Correct. 2 Q. So by process of elimination, if --3 Α. Excuse me, Mr. Russ. There is the northeast area and the south area and I'm 4 5 thinking of the borings. So that I mentioned the borings as I've identified historic 6 7 materials. 8 Q. But the material that you had leach 9 test data for, where was that from at the Joliet site? 10 We had the Joliet data from the area 11 Α. sampled by KPRG kind of southwest of the ash 12 13 ponds. Then for the data in the ponds, we used other pond data for characteristics. 14 15 And do you have leach test data Q. 16 from the landfill for the northeast side of 17 the site? 18 Α. There is the -- they call it the 19 alleged landfill northeast of the site, which 20 is reportedly there. To my knowledge, there's 21 been no sampling and analysis and there's been 22 no need to have that done. 23 Q. So on Page 41 of your deposition --Α. 24 Yes.

Page 162 1 -- starting at Page 40, Line 23, Q. 2 "Question: So your conclusion that there are 3 historic uses that may be impacting the plant 4 is solely based on general knowledge of what 5 is done at a coal plant?" 6 "Answer: And looking at it by 7 process of elimination, what we've seen out 8 there so far doesn't appear to be an active 9 source. So it must be from some other historic 10 use." 11 What did you mean by "what we 12 have seen out there so far"? 13 Α. Well, merely the observations that 14 you have, the documentation that again, where 15 the -- what area was sampled and that we know that there was ash in the ponds, for example. 16 17 So it's really those kind of observations that we are making the conclusions. 18 19 Of course, there are, you know, 20 boring logs that don't have ash, for example. 21 You put all of those things together, you come 22 to a conclusion. 23 Q. Thank you. 24 Specifically, when you say

Page 163 1 "what we've seen there," what were you referring 2 to "there" in terms of specific sources? 3 Α. I said there are no specific sources 4 to the groundwater impacts that we've been 5 able to find, but from some of the historic areas where -- as I mentioned, the area that's 6 7 been sampled by KPRG, there's a northeast 8 area that's adjacent to the site and there's 9 the borings that don't show ash and then there's 10 the ash in the pond. That's what I mean by what I see among the documentation. 11 12 Were the leach tests that --Q. Okay. from the Joliet 29 site that you saw 13 representative of the ash that may or may 14 15 not be buried in the alleged ash landfill 16 to the northeast? 17 Α. Well, the records show a lot of, 18 you know, use of the western Wyoming coal, 19 if you will, in that when we look at that 20 and say, well, there's coal and coal 21 ash does have similar characteristics also, 22 you can then say, well, it could be. 23 But again, we've not 24 specifically targeted that as a source because

		Page 164
1	there's no need to. It's not regulated. The	
2	US EPA has stated we've looked at these kinds	
3	of facilities and if it doesn't have the	
4	hydraulic head or the I'll call it the	
5	drivers to cause them to regulate.	
6	Illinois is, I think, in	
7	the same position they're in a position	
8	right now to change things.	
9	Q. So just to get back to my questions,	
10	were the leach test data that you had	
11	representative of that area?	
12	A. I assume that it is because it's	
13	if it is coal ash, if it is there, I would	
14	say it would be reasonably representative	
15	provided it is again a similar power plant	
16	and so on.	
17	Q. You assume that it is?	
18	A. I'm thinking that it is, yes.	
19	Q. Okay. And what was the material	
20	that was sampled foe the leach test at Joliet	
21	29?	
22	A. It was the material again that	
23	KPRG sampled in the borings southwest of at	
24	least outside the pond, southwest of the pond.	

Page 165 1 All right. Do you know what it Ο. 2 consisted of? 3 It was primarily ash. It probably Α. had some soil. I know they found some soil 4 5 in some areas and I think they excluded that from their analysis. 6 7 Do you know whether it was bottom Ο. 8 ash or fly ash? I don't recall what it said. So I 9 Α. don't remember. 10 11 Q. Okay. I think that's enough of 12 Joliet. 13 At Powerton, your opinion that 14 at Powerton, the inorganics that are in the 15 groundwater are characteristic of coal ash? 16 Again, did I conclude that in my Α. 17 You were so helpful to point that report? 18 out to me before. 19 You concluded that in your deposition. 0. 20 Do you want to look at that 21 again to refresh your recollection? 22 Could you show me, please? Α. 23 Q. Page 46. 24 So on Page 46 of your

Page 166 1 deposition, starting at Line 6, the question 2 for Ms. Cassel was, "Question: Can you tell 3 me at Powerton what specific sources outside 4 the Powerton Plant you allege are sources of 5 groundwater contamination at that plant?" 6 "Answer: We have not -- I have 7 not opined on any specific source." 8 "Question: Do you have any opinion, 9 as we sit here today, of any other sources 10 outside of the Powerton Plant?" 11 "Answer: No specific sources." 12 "Question: No specific source. 13 Any general sources?" 14 The inorganics that are "Answer: 15 in the groundwater are characteristic of coal 16 ash materials." 17 Α. Yes, Mr. Russ. I think we read this 18 earlier. I apologize, but I answered this 19 before. 20 That's right. I was asking in a Q. 21 general way. 22 MS. NIJMAN: I'm also going to 23 object with a continuation where he then 24 says "And I'm thinking something old and

February 2, 2018

Page 167 1 historic, but not something current." We 2 need to read the completed answers. 3 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I agree, 4 Mr. Russ. 5 MR. RUSS: Okay. Would you 6 like me to reread it? 7 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Not. 8 the whole thing. You can finish where 9 you left off. BY MR. RUSS: 10 11 So the rest of that answer was, Q. 12 "My point is that the ash that we sampled and 13 analyzed and where we evaluated it, it doesn't 14 appear to be contributing enough to cause what 15 we're seeing. And so I'm concluding by process 16 of elimination there's something else." 17 I'm actually glad --18 MS. NIJMAN: Question, please 19 continue. 20 MR. RUSS: Well, I can, but I 21 don't know if that has anything to do 22 with what I was just asking. 23 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Continue, 24 please.

February 2, 2018

Page 168 1 BY MR. RUSS: 2 Q. "Ouestion: Okay. So you aren't --" 3 "Answer: But what I'm --" 4 "Question: I'm sorry." 5 "Answer: And I'm thinking something old and historic, but not something current." 6 7 MR. RUSS: Is that an okay place 8 to stop? 9 MS. NIJMAN: Yes. Thank you. BY MR. RUSS: 10 11 So back on Page 46 there, you Q. 12 mentioned the ash that you sampled and 13 analyzed doesn't appear to be contributing; 14 is that right? 15 I believe that's what we said, but Α. 16 again I don't want to limit it to a specific 17 sample because remember, samples are taken to 18 represent a median or medium. 19 MS. NIJMAN: I'm also going 20 to object to it mischaracterizes what's 21 written here, but it's in the record. 22 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: So 23 noted. 24

Page 169 1 BY MR. RUSS: 2 Then you concluded by process of Ο. 3 elimination that there's something else, 4 meaning something that you didn't sample or 5 analyze; is that right? 6 Α. It's meant that when you look at 7 all the data, not just sample analysis, but 8 there's observations of borings, there are 9 things that haven't been tested that we've correlated by engineering analyses to say --10 to then use the process of elimination that 11 12 there's something else that has not been 13 characterized. 14 But remember, a simple sample is not intended to be the only thing. It's to 15 16 represent that median. 17 So you said engineering correlation? Q. 18 Α. Correct. 19 Ο. What does that mean? 20 In engineering, technical engineering Α. 21 specifically, we conduct soil borings and 22 analyses and you are only sampling a certain 23 frequency, a certain amount of material. 24 So what you do is you correlate

L

		Page	170
1	the data. You might test this soil sampling in		
2	this boring, but not a soil sample here, but		
3	it's the same material. So you correlate the		
4	properties across that median.		
5	Q. So the material at Powerton that		
6	you tested for the leach tests, where did		
7	that come from?		
8	MS. NIJMAN: Objection to		
9	"you."		
10	BY MR. RUSS:		
11	Q. I'm sorry. That Midwest Generation		
12	had tested.		
13	A. That we had the limestone rock basin		
14	was one set of samples. I'm pretty sure we		
15	had it also in from the bottom ash from the		
16	ponds.		
17	Q. Right. As far as the ash outside		
18	of the ponds, it was just the limestone basin		
19	that was sampled?		
20	A. Yes, that's correct, as I remember.		
21	Q. Right. And you correlated that data		
22	to what other data on-site?		
23	A. Well, the fact that you have ash		
24	in borings and you have ash in the ponds and		

February 2, 2018

Page 171 1 you have ash in the runoff of the basin, you 2 correlate that to the materials outside of 3 the pond that has not been tested. 4 Ο. So is it safe to say that you assumed 5 that the material in the limestone basin was 6 representative of the ash that was found 7 elsewhere on the site? 8 Α. Well, you can look at it from two 9 perspectives. It's a limestone basin, but 10 you also have the other data from the bottom ash samples representing the bottom ash. 11 So 12 it's a characterization of that material 13 that's been correlated to other areas of the 14 site, correct. 15 I'm going to move to Q. Okay. Okay. 16 Will County. 17 There are no off-site sources 18 contributing to the groundwater impacts at 19 Will County; is that right? 20 I think we have to be careful how Α. we define site. Recognize at Will County, 21 22 there is a substation property that's not 23 part of the ownership of Midwest Gen. So 24 you could almost think of that property also.

February 2, 2018

Page 172 1 We have not analyzed that, 2 but I'm saying there are -- in general, I 3 would say you are correct, we have not analyzed 4 off-site sources. It's a water lot area. 5 In fact, it's an island, isn't it? Ο. 6 Α. A peninsula. 7 Peninsula. Q. 8 And why is that significant 9 in terms of potential impacts from off-site 10 sources? 11 Α. They are limited areas to be off-site 12 is really why. 13 Q. Would the water -- surface water on 14 either side of the peninsula serve as a barrier 15 from the migration of off-site sources of 16 contamination? 17 Α. We call it -- in hydrogeology, it's 18 a boundary condition. So the answer would be 19 we're not going to have something come across 20 the water, so to speak. 21 Q. Okay. Thank you. 22 Is it your opinion that at 23 Will County, the groundwater impacts are from 24 historic on-site uses of coal ash?

February 2, 2018

Page 173 1 Α. I think -- is that also in my 2 deposition report? It probably is. 3 Q. Let's go there. 4 Can I have the wording correct or Α. 5 accurate? 6 Ο. Page 54. So here --7 MS. NIJMAN: Of the deposition 8 or report? 9 MR. RUSS: I'm sorry. Deposition, 10 Page 54. 11 BY MR. RUSS: 12 Q. I'm going to start on Line 24 and 13 I'll keep my eyes up and somebody can tell 14 me when it's okay to stop. 15 "Question: Can you tell me what 16 historic uses in Will County you allege are 17 the source of the groundwater contamination 18 at that site?" 19 "Answer: It would be the same 20 I don't have specific historical as Powerton. 21 uses or conditions that would have caused it, 22 but again, I'm dealing with what I know and 23 what I know versus what I don't know." 24 MR. RUSS: Is that an okay place

February 2, 2018

Page 174 1 to stop? 2 MS. NIJMAN: Uh-huh. 3 BY MR. RUSS: 4 Okay. So when you say it's the Ο. 5 same as Powerton, what did you mean by that? 6 Α. Well, again, it's the process. You 7 kind of go through the process. When I say 8 what I know and what I don't know, I mean, that 9 I would not be a real good expert if I didn't 10 look. 11 And so I've looked at tons 12 of dates on all of these sites. So there's 13 prior reports and current testing. So I've 14 looked at a lot of things. And again, my 15 conclusion has been that there are other historic uses that have not been identified 16 17 specifically. 18 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: T'm 19 sorry, Mr. Seymour. 20 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 21 THE COURT REPORTER: I've looked 22 at tons of dates on all of these sites. 23 So there's prior reports and current 24 testing. So I've looked at a lot of

	Pag	e 175
1	things. And again, my conclusion has	
2	been that there are other and then	
3	you trailed off. If you could pick up	
4	from there, that would be fabulous.	
5	BY THE WITNESS:	
6	A. There are other other uses that	
7	are not specific that have not been identified	
8	that are contributing to the impacts of the	
9	groundwater.	
10	BY MR. RUSS:	
11	Q. Okay. And is it your opinion that	
12	the inorganics at Will County in the groundwater	
13	are characteristic of coal ash?	
14	A. The inorganics in the groundwater are	
15	also found in coal ash, but again, we have been	
16	very careful to when you say "characterize,"	
17	you know, I'm looking at characteristics of	
18	this ash versus what's in this groundwater.	
19	Q. Right.	
20	A. And I've I'm trying to make it	
21	clear that I don't think it's a match, but	
22	there are characteristics in general of coal	
23	ash.	
24	Q. Okay. Thank you.	

February 2, 2018

Page 176 1 And I was just -- I was 2 asking that question because you said it's 3 the same as at Powerton and at Powerton, 4 you had said that constituents in groundwater 5 was characteristic of coal ash. 6 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Would 7 you keep your voice up, Mr. Russ, please? 8 MR. RUSS: Yes. BY MR. RUSS: 9 10 So is that a fair comparison between Ο. 11 Powerton and Will County? 12 Α. I believe so, yes. 13 Q. Okay. Thank you. 14 You used leach tests from --15 coal ash outside of the ponds at Will County. 16 You had some leach test data; is that right? 17 Α. Yes. 18 That Midwest Generation or Midwest Ο. 19 Generation's consultants had generated; is 20 that right? 21 Α. Yes. I said that's a KPRG report. 22 Q. All right. And do you know where 23 that sample came from geographically? 24 Α. Well, it was a series of samples,

February 2, 2018

Page 177 1 It was to characterize the area so reallv. 2 that I think there was about 50 or 20 borings. 3 They took the samples and analyzed each boring. 4 So they had a lot of tests. 5 Do you know where the borings were Ο. 6 located? 7 It's in the -- I don't know if it's Α. 8 been produced, but it's in the file somewhere. 9 Q. It's on your desk. I believe it's Exhibit 284? 10 11 Α. Here? 12 Q. It's on this pile here on the left. 13 Α. Okay. 14 Q. I think the map you were talking about 15 is on Page 49569? 16 Α. Yes. 17 It's a close-up of an area. So I'm Q. 18 not sure if you will be able to identify the 19 area? 20 I know the area. Α. 21 You do know? Q. 22 Can you tell me where it is? 23 Well, it's at Will and it's to the Α. 24 east of the north end of, I believe, Pond

February 2, 2018

Page 178 1 1-North. If I had a larger map I could be 2 more specific. 3 Q. Yes. Let's look at Exhibit 30-E, 4 which is also in that same stack on Page 42352. 5 Thank you. I've got it. Α. 6 Ο. Sir, can you identify on that drawing 7 where this area is located? 8 Α. It's south of Monitoring Well 1 and east of Pond 1-North. 9 10 Okay. And do you have a sense of Ο. 11 how large that area is looking at it here? 12 It could be determined, but it looks Α. 13 like that's several hundred feet -- by a couple 14 hundred feet. 15 Do you remember Mr. Veenbaas's Q. 16 testimony about an area where bottom ash 17 was stored near the ponds? 18 Α. I don't specifically recall. 19 Q. Okay. That's fine. I'll move on. 20 Have you seen leach test 21 data for any other coal ash outside of the 22 ponds at Will County? 23 I don't think so. I have not. Α. 24 Q. Again, how do you know that the

Page 179 1 leach test for the area that was sampled in 2 that exhibit is representative of the coal 3 ash elsewhere on the site? 4 Well, again, it goes back to the Α. 5 source of the coal and the process of the 6 burning and we have seen the consistency at 7 all of the plants in that they have used, 8 you know, coal, that boilers haven't changed 9 and so I'm assuming that, yeah, in correlating the data, that this is representative of ash 10 and when you look at this data, it's very 11 12 similar to what we found in the ash ponds. 13 Ο. So you are assuming that that ash 14 is similar to the other ash on the site? 15 Α. Again, I --16 Objection, misstates. MS. NIJMAN: 17 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm 18 sorry, Ms. Nijman? 19 MS. NIJMAN: Objection, misstates 20 testimony. 21 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: He can 22 answer if he is able. 23 BY THE WITNESS: 24 Α. You say assume. I say it correlated

February 2, 2018

Page 180 the data, which is the end result. It' similar, 1 2 the same. 3 BY MR. RUSS: 4 Okay. What kinds of materials --Ο. 5 have you seen various -- have you seen -- let 6 me see. How do I want to phrase this? 7 Have you seen the boring logs 8 at Will County? 9 I have. Α. 10 Have you seen ash material in those Ο. 11 boring logs? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Have you seen the ash material in Ο. 14 those boring logs described in the same way 15 or different ways? 16 MS. NIJMAN: Objection, vague 17 overboard. 18 BY THE WITNESS: 19 I think if you --Α. 20 THE COURT REPORTER: Wait. 21 You have to let the hearing officer rule, 22 please, after there's an objection. 23 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Is 24 there a way you can rephrase?
February 2, 2018

Page 181 1 MR. RUSS: Yes, I can, actually. 2 BY MR. RUSS: 3 Q. Let's just turn to your deposition 4 again on Page 226. 5 Α. Okay. 6 Q. I'm going to start on Line 11. 7 "Question: Can you tell me again 8 what the basis was for the statement that a 9 lot of the ash at these sites is bottom ash 10 and not fly ash?" 11 "Answer: That's my understanding, 12 that fly ash is reusable and that they use 13 bottom ash as fill. Now, I'm not saying it's 14 only bottom ash. It's lots of different things 15 if you look at the boring logs." 16 MR. RUSS: Is that an okay 17 place to stop? 18 MS. NIJMAN: Yes. 19 BY THE WITNESS: 20 Α. Okay. BY MR. RUSS: 21 22 So when you said many things in Q. 23 the -- lots of different things, what was 24 that in reference to?

		Page	182
1	A. Well, they described it in the		
2	boring logs because different people might		
З	describe it differently. Some people know		
4	that it's specifically bottom ash. You've		
5	heard it called cinders, I think, sometimes		
6	is common. I think even Fred Veenbaas has		
7	said something very similar. It's a similar		
8	terminology.		
9	So that's what I meant.		
10	so that's called they might call it		
11	cinders and I think sometimes it could be		
12	slag, but as Ms. Race said, that's a little		
13	a little bit different, but so these are		
14	coal ash materials. Mostly what we see is		
15	bottom ash.		
16	And as I said, again, I'm		
17	I've heard that they don't put bottom ash		
18	out there. They excuse me. They don't		
19	put fly ash out there.		
20	Q. They don't put fly ash out there?		
21	A. To my knowledge, they they send		
22	the fly ash off-site. They don't leave it		
23	on-site. Its sent off-site.		
24	Q. And have they been have they been		

Page 183 1 doing that -- when did that start as far as you 2 are aware? 3 Α. I think that that is kind of a very 4 difficult question to answer, Mr. Russ. Ι 5 apologize. 6 Ο. You may not know. 7 Α. It goes back. I mean, you deal 8 with the data that you get from 1998 in 9 the ^^ENSE reports. Probably sometime 10 before that based on the process they were following at that time. So quite a 11 12 while. 13 But specifically, you know, 14 I'm not the plant operator or the owner. So 15 I don't think I know precisely. 16 So can you say with certainty that 0. 17 the material that was found in those boring 18 logs was purely bottom ash? Well, there's soil in it and there's 19 Α. 20 other things in it, too. It's fill normally. 21 So it's not characterized as bottom ash 22 normally. It's just a part of a matrix. 23 Q. Can you say with certainty that 24 the only kind of ash in those boring logs

Page 184

represervery 27

### 1 is bottom ash?

2	A. I think you have to define certainty.
3	I mean, is that like 100 percent? Because I
4	heard I heard something called likely a
5	differently the other day by Mr. Kunkel.
6	I think I would say that it's a reasonable
7	assumption that it's more likely a bottom
8	ash material than a fly ash and they don't
9	make, like, other CCRs at this site to my
10	knowledge.
11	Q. Right. Okay.
12	Waukegan. Is it your opinion
13	that some of the contamination at Waukegan is
14	coming from on-site historic uses of coal ash?
15	A. Is that the same kind of statement
16	in my deposition or report, Mr. Russ? I think
17	we're going over the same questions; is that
18	correct?
19	Q. Yes.
20	A. I think it's a fair understanding if
21	put in the proper context.
22	Q. Okay. Then I won't we don't have
23	the worry with your deposition then.
24	Actually, I think maybe we

February 2, 2018

```
Page 185
 1
     should.
              So if we turn to Page 58 of your
 2
     deposition --
 3
                 MS. NIJMAN: I'm sorry.
 4
       Objection. He answered the question.
 5
       Is this --
 6
                 MR. RUSS: I'm going to ask
 7
       another question, but I just want to
 8
       set it up.
 9
                 MS. NIJMAN: Thank you.
     BY MR. RUSS:
10
11
               Okay.
                      Now --
         Q.
12
               For clarification, I think when you
         Α.
     said other historic uses, there's also the
13
14
     upgradient issues at Waukegan.
15
               That's what I want to get into now,
         Q.
16
     yes.
17
                       So is your opinion at Waukegan
18
     that some of the boron sag on-site is coming
19
     from upgradient off-site sources?
20
         Α.
               Yes.
21
               Is it your opinion that some of the
         Q.
22
     boron is not coming from upgradient off-site
23
     sources?
24
         Α.
               Well, again we've looked at it from
```

February 2, 2018

Page 186 a very big perspective and then a small 1 2 perspective. 3 From the big perspective, 4 we see the impacts of the similar constituents of coal ash and the evidence of coal ash 5 west of the Midwest Gen property that is 6 7 migrating onto the site. That's why we have an ELUC, for example. And then --8 9 Q. Sorry to interrupt you. 10 Did you say there are off-site data or boron data? 11 12 There's barium and manganese -- you Α. know, there's barium, for example. 13 There's 14 boring logs and anecdotal that there's influence 15 from coal ash constituents. 16 Have you seen any off-site boron 0. 17 groundwater data? 18 Α. I don't recall. I think again I 19 specifically may not have done boron. 20 How about sulfate? Have you seen Q. 21 any off-site sulfate data? 22 Not that I recall. Α. 23 Q. Okay. So but you believe that some 24 of the boron that we have seen on-site was

Page 187 1 coming from off-site --2 Α. Yeah. 3 Ο. -- based on historic uses off-site? 4 That and the groundwater gradients Α. 5 and the fact that this boron is -- yes, and 6 it's coming from off-site. 7 Page 58 -- to the question of whether Q. 8 some of it is coming from on-site, on Page 58 --9 so I'm going to start with the question on 10 Line 5 on Page 59 actually. 11 Ms. Cassel asks, "Question: So, 12 to be clear, for your prior answer, you were 13 saying that some of the boron you're alleging 14 is coming from this off-site property, this 15 tannery, but not all of the boron that's found 16 in the monitoring wells at issue here is coming 17 from that tannery? 18 Is that accurate? 19 "Answer: That's accurate 20 considering there are other characteristics 21 of coal ash that aren't characteristic of a 22 tannery." 23 MS. NIJMAN: I'll object to 24 the entire prior page discussing the

		Page	188
1	precursor to all of that last point.		
2	MR. RUSS: I'm happy to read		
3	all of that in too.		
4	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We		
5	can read the whole thing in.		
6	MR. RUSS: Yes. Where would		
7	you like me to start, Page 57. It looks		
8	likes it would have to be page 57?		
9	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Lori,		
10	I will give you the deposition.		
11	MR. RUSS: I'm sorry. Ms. Nijman,		
12	I'm asking you where would you like me to		
13	start reading?		
14	MS. NIJMAN: Page 57, Line 8.		
15	MR. RUSS: Okay.		
16	BY MR. RUSS:		
17	Q. "Question: Do you remember		
18	regardless of the documents, your bases for		
19	stating that those particular constituents		
20	have migrated onto the Waukegan property?"		
21	"MS. NIJMAN: Object to the		
22	form of the question, basis is listed."		
23	"MS. CASSEL: Jennifer, I		
24	would object to the spoken objections.		

February 2, 2018

Page 189 1 If he understands the question, he can 2 answer. You've gotten your objection 3 on the record. I would appreciate if 4 you could let him answer." 5 "THE WITNESS: Could you please 6 ask it again. It's --" 7 "MS. CASSEL: Could you repeat 8 that question, please?" 9 The last question was read by 10 the reporter. 11 "MS. NIJMAN: Asked and answered. 12 In the record." 13 "THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, 14 basically people like focus on boron, as 15 an example, of an im- -- something that's 16 coming onto the property. And that's 17 boron on the upgradient side in a number 18 of wells west of the existing facility 19 that have boron and the groundwater 20 contours, in general, move west to east 21 toward the property. So I'm saying that 22 the boron -- some of the boron, not all 23 of it could be coming from the off-site 24 property."

Page 190 1 "MS. CASSEL: Where does the 2 remainder of the boron come from, in your 3 opinion?" 4 Objection, vague." "MS. NIJMAN: 5 "THE WITNESS: As I've stated 6 in the report, I believe there is some 7 historical uses at these as these properties 8 that have caused some old releases. And 9 based on the data that we have obtained 10 to date, when we look at the sampling, 11 that where it's leaching greater than 12 the groundwater quality criteria. 13 And so I don't have an 14 answer what, specifically, the historic 15 I'm saying I know what I sources are. 16 don't know in that I don't -- I know 17 that it's not coming from what we've 18 measured, but it must be coming from 19 somewhere else on-site that I've not 20 specifically identified." 21 "Question: So, to be clear, 22 for your prior answer, you were saying 23 that some of the boron you're alleging 24 is coming from this off-site property,

	Page 191
1	this tannery, but not all of the boron
2	that's found in the monitoring wells at
3	issue here is coming from that tannery.
4	Is that accurate?"
5	"Answer: That's accurate,
6	considering there are other characteristics
7	of coal ash that aren't characteristic of
8	a tannery."
9	MR. RUSS: I'll stop there if
10	that's okay.
11	MS. NIJMAN: That's fine.
12	BY MR. RUSS:
13	Q. And so rather than reading the rest
14	of this in, can you explain what you meant
15	when you said there are characteristics of
16	coal ash that aren't characteristic of a
17	tannery?
18	A. Well, when you look at the groundwater,
19	you know, you think that some other trace metals
20	or, you know, what would be kind of an idea
21	of that there are just things in a tannery
22	is going to be fairly limited.
23	You know, chrome and are also
24	in coal ash. So again, I'd have to look at

February 2, 2018

Page 192 1 the list, if you will. Again, it's something 2 I have not analyzed other than in general and 3 I know it's listed at the tannery that came 4 from at that area, what we found, and there are 5 differences. 6 Ο. Do you still have the opinion that 7 some of the boron in the monitor wells at 8 Waukegan was coming from an on-site source? 9 Yes, I believe so. I think that's Α. 10 clearly stated in my deposition. 11 Q. Okay. Thank you. 12 The reason I keep asking it 13 that way is because I think we've all looked 14 at a lot more information since the deposition 15 and I just want to make sure. 16 At Waukegan, did you have 17 any leach test data from ash outside of the 18 ash pond? 19 Α. No. 20 Are you familiar with the area that Q. 21 we have been talking about, the former slag 22 flash area immediately west of the ash ponds 23 at Waukegan? 24 Α. Are you talking about what some

February 2, 2018

Page 193 1 have called kind of a grassy area from the 2 west of the ponds to the west of the property 3 line? 4 To the boilers. Ο. 5 Α. I know of the area, yes. Do you have any knowledge of what is 6 Ο. 7 in there? 8 Α. No. I think I have shown that 9 nobody knows what's in there. 10 And so you don't have any data to 0. 11 characterize the leachability of any material 12 that might be stored there; is that right? 13 There's nothing -- there's no Α. 14 borings or samples from that area. So we 15 are looking at what's upgradient and what's 16 downgradient. 17 Okay. And I think I'm hoping to do Q. 18 that in a little bit. Maybe I can skip to that. 19 Yes, sure. We can get at that. 20 So before I do that, though, 21 without looking at a diagram, are you roughly 22 familiar with where the monitoring wells at 23 Waukegan are located? 24 Α. Yes, I am. I would like to have a

February 2, 2018

Page 194 1 map in front of me just so I don't --2 Ο. Sure. 3 Α. -- make a mistake. 4 Okay. So I believe -- I'm not sure Ο. 5 which exhibit, but we have a few of those 6 groundwater monitoring reports there. 7 Α. It might be here. I'll look here. 8 Q. Which exhibit is that, for the record? 9 I'm looking at 268-P Annual Quarterly Α. 10 Groundwater Monitoring Results for the Waukegan Generating Station. It might be in here. 11 Oh, 12 here we go. 13 Q. Okay. Just let me know when you have 14 that map in front of you. 15 Well, recognizing this map doesn't Α. include a lot of the non-monitored --16 17 non-quarterly monitored wells. 18 Ο. Right. Maybe we can look at the map 19 that has all of the wells. 20 Α. Can you help me with that? 21 Do you have Dr. Kunkel's binder on Q. 22 your desk? 23 Α. Is this it? 24 Q. No.

		Page	195
1	A. Is this it?		
2	Q. Yes.		
3	A. Go it.		
4	Q. Just for purposes of having a map in		
5	front of you, this is Exhibit No. 411,		
6	Complainants' Exhibit No. 411, site maps of		
7	Waukegan. Wells MW-8 and MW-9 are located		
8	in that former fly ash slag storage area;		
9	is that right?		
10	MS. NIJMAN: I'm sorry.		
11	MR. RUSS: I'm sorry.		
12	MS. NIJMAN: What page or what		
13	map are you looking at?		
14	MR. RUSS: Yes. These aren't		
15	numbered. This is		
16	BY THE WITNESS:		
17	A. The demonstrative?		
18	BY MR. RUSS:		
19	Q. Yes.		
20	A. After 410?		
21	Q. Approximately 20 pages.		
22	A. Twenty pages?		
23	Q. There are two maps of Waukegan on thos	e	
24	pages.		

February 2, 2018

Page 196 1 So are MW-8 and MW-9 in the 2 former fly ash flag storage area? That could be debatable because it 3 Α. 4 looks likes they are on the edges. 5 Okay. What you said earlier, you Ο. 6 look at upgradient and downgradient. The 7 concentrations of boron in Wells 1 through 4, 8 I'm talking about the ponds now, are lower 9 than they are in upgradient wells. I believe 10 you said that earlier today; is that right? 11 Α. Yes. 12 How did that happen to be the case Q. 13 if they're lower downgradient than they are 14 upgradient? 15 Α. Well, there are upgradient sources. Again, I mentioned earlier, when you kind of 16 17 look -- when you go farther away -- if there's 18 no additive, the concentrations will decrease. 19 Ο. If there's --Farther away from where it originated. 20 Α. 21 Is it always the case that there will Q. 22 be some attenuation as you move away from the 23 source? 24 Α. Well, the attenuation in this case

February 2, 2018

Page 197 will pretty much be an addition of infiltration 1 2 or groundwater that's mixing in decreasing 3 concentrations. 4 Ο. Is that always the case? Right. 5 Will there always be some 6 attenuation when you move from a source to 7 a downgradient well? 8 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Keep 9 your voice up, please? I have cars, 10 traffic, everything behind me. 11 MR. RUSS: Okay. 12 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank 13 you. BY MR. RUSS: 14 15 I'll ask the question again. Q. 16 Α. Thank you. 17 Is that always the case that there Q. 18 will be some attenuation in groundwater 19 concentrations as you move from a source to 20 a downgradient well? 21 MS. NIJMAN: Objection, vague, 22 hypothetical. 23 BY THE WITNESS: 24 Α. I think the word always is too

	Page 198
1	encompassing.
2	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Overruled.
3	You can answer.
4	Go ahead, Mr. Seymour. I'm sorry
5	for interrupting.
6	BY THE WITNESS:
7	A. I think I interrupted. I apologize.
8	This is not my profession as far as testifying.
9	The word always, to me, is a
10	bit broad and because groundwater hydrogeology
11	is very complicated and if you think you know
12	everything, for example, this is the high
13	concentration moving downgradient and it's
14	lower, I've seen the opposite happen and
15	you don't know why.
16	It's normally because you
17	don't have a monitoring well everywhere
18	where it might be the highest or the lowest.
19	So it's not you don't always see that in
20	real life.
21	BY MR. RUSS:
22	Q. But you did see it in this case
23	with this site?
24	A. From the west of the ash ponds to

Page 199 1 the east of the ash ponds, it decreases, 2 yes. 3 Q. Do you expect that it would have 4 decreased from those ELUC wells we were 5 talking about, Wells 10 through 14, moving 6 towards the lake? 7 Well, again it -- this is the Α. 8 compilations of our studies. It can and it 9 will and in general, if you can measure it 10 in all the locations. So you could make that accurate assessment because it isn't 11 12 always the case because you don't have the 13 data to show it because again, you only have 14 so much data to look at and if it doesn't, it 15 wouldn't surprise me. If it does, it would 16 be expected. 17 Okay. So staying with Wells 1 Q. 18 through 4 for a minute, do you remember 19 roughly what the concentrations of boron 20 was in those wells? 21 They were down around the -- I Α. 22 think they were less than the -- well, I can 23 look at it. 24 Yeah. That would be great. I don't Q.

February 2, 2018

Page 200 1 know what exhibit that is. 2 Α. I know it's very low. It my world, 3 it seemed very low by comparison, but we have 4 some graphs here at this January 22, 2015, 5 groundwater monitoring report. 6 Q. Okay. 7 Α. And just in general, I can tell you 8 what was going on at that time. 9 The boron levels for Monitor Wells 1 through 4 -- excuse me -- 2, 3 and 4 10 11 were down around the Illinois groundwater 12 standard. That standard would apply in the 13 GMZ zone, of course. 14 Is there a GMZ at Waukegan? Q. I'm sorry. There is -- there is not. 15 Α. Excuse me. 16 You're right. 17 Thank you. Q. There's ELUC. And in Monitor Well 18 Α. 19 1, it's above the standard, but the levels --20 remember the standard is two milligrams per 21 liter. 22 So it's around two? Q. 23 Α. The standard is two. 24 Q. Yeah.

	Page 201
1	A. And three of the wells are below
2	that standard and one is above Monitor Well 1.
3	Q. Hypothetically, if the concentrations
4	in those wells doubled over the course of a year
5	and the upgradient concentrations of boron
6	didn't change at all, what would that tell you
7	about the ash ponds?
8	A. I think that's a very general question,
9	Mr. Russ.
10	I think, in general, if you
11	examine a site, it could mean one of a couple
12	things. One is that something has moved
13	through that you had not previously depicted,
14	in other words, I would call it a slug. So
15	it could have been a higher concentration
16	upgradient and its passed below the ponds
17	here and then you finally detected it.
18	So it could be coming from
19	upgradient as a slug. I think that that is
20	one of the situations and as you had said,
21	if it is from a leaking pond, if that was
22	the case, you could see that, but I'm saying
23	there is more than one scenario where there
24	could have happened.

		Page	202
1	Q. All right. And for the record,		
2	that has not happened here. That's just a		
3	hypothetical, but in that case, if the ponds		
4	were leaking and that was happening, the		
5	downgradient concentration of boron would be		
6	lower and the upgradient concentration. It		
7	would have doubled from is that right,		
8	given your understanding of the upgradient		
9	concentrations?		
10	A. You know, I I this is very		
11	hypothetical. I really would have to		
12	you know, it's never that simple. You have		
13	to look at, say, the whole the whole		
14	problem, if you will, and you just can't		
15	look at it from Point A to Point B with one		
16	compound.		
17	You would have to look at		
18	the other data as well because again, these		
19	groundwater it does not behave as you		
20	should as you think it should.		
21	Q. Sure. The reason I'm asking about		
22	these is because you've used the gradient		
23	and the upgradient and the downgradient route		
24	to form a lot of your other opinions. So		

Page 203 1 I'm trying to flesh out how that works for 2 you. 3 Α. I understand. And when I formed my 4 other opinions, I had the ability to look at 5 all the data and make a conclusion. 6 Ο. Sure. 7 Α. And what you're presenting me with 8 is this hypothetical information. 9 Yeah. I guess the point I'm -- okay. Q. 10 Let me just ask this one more way and then maybe take a break for lunch. 11 12 Are you roughly familiar with the concentration of boron in MW-5? 13 14 Α. In general, we know that is of higher 15 concentration than downgradient. 16 In looking at the exhibit you just 0. 17 had in front of you, roughly what was it? 18 Α. Well, in 2015, Monitoring Well 5 looked to be between 30 and 40 --19 20 Q. Okay. 21 -- in general, milligrams per liter. Α. 22 So that's roughly 15 to 20 times Q. 23 higher than in the downgradient well; is that 24 right?

February 2, 2018

Page 204 1 Α. It is much higher, yes, in that 2 Again, this is as of actually the regard. 3 end of 2014, I believe. So it doesn't 4 represent all the data, but it's in 5 that range. 6 Ο. Using the data, how do you know 7 that the ponds aren't leaking? 8 Α. Well, if you think that the area 9 upgradient of the pond was higher, it's 10 lower downgradient. You're going to -again, looking at all the data that we've 11 12 looked at including the construction and 13 the operations and all those kinds of things, I would conclude that it would not be the 14 15 source. 16 Ο. How did --17 The pond would not be the source. Α. 18 Right. How would groundwater data Q. 19 form that opinion? 20 As I said, you know, we've had a Α. 21 chance to look at all the data and that 22 upgradient is higher and you would not expect 23 upgradient of the pond to get higher. You 24 would expect downgradient to be higher.

February 2, 2018

Page 205 1 If the ponds were leaking --Q. 2 Α. If they were leaking --3 -- the downgradient would be higher? Q. You would think so, but we don't --4 Α. 5 and again, when we look at that, again, in 6 reverse relationship from what you need to 7 prove it was a leaking pond plus all the other data. 8 9 Q. Right. Okay. Thank you. 10 MR. RUSS: That's actually 11 all I have on that particular point. 12 Do you want to take a 13 break for lunch? 14 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes. 15 Let's take a break for lunch. See you 16 back here at, geez, 1:50. Thank you. 17 (Whereupon, after a short 18 break was had, the following 19 proceedings were held 20 accordingly.) 21 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: A11 22 right. Good afternoon. We are back on 23 the record. We just took a lunch. It's 24 approximately 1:55.

February 2, 2018

Page 206 1 Before we continue with 2 Mr. Russ's cross of Mr. Seymour, we have 3 two members of the public who would like 4 to give a five-minute public comment each. 5 You can just step up to 6 the podium, if you will. State your name 7 for the record and you may proceed. 8 MS. SHANLEY-ROBERTS: My name is 9 Eileen Shanley-Roberts. 10 I am a resident of Waukegan, Illinois. I have lived there since 2007 and 11 12 I would like to thank you for taking the time 13 to hear my comments. 14 I'm the rector of Christ 15 Episcopal Church. I'm the mother of Emily 16 and Abigail and the aunt of Casey. I live, 17 work and am raising a family in downtown 18 Waukegan about a mile from Waukegan Harbor. 19 Our beautiful lake is one 20 of my favorite parts of our community. As a 21 resident and parent, I'm deeply concerned 22 about the effects of pollution on our community. 23 I am a boater. I have a 24 sailboat in the harbor. My parents, who are

		Page	207
1	in their 80s, kept their boat in the harbor		
2	for a number of years. All three of my		
3	girls, who range in age from 21 to 13, began		
4	sailing through Waukegan Junior Sail Program.		
5	Being on the water and the		
6	independence and confidence that comes from		
7	learning to drive a dingy offers some tremendous		
8	advantages for young women.		
9	Emily began sailing when she		
10	was 12. The other two started when they each		
11	turned six. Part of Waukegan sailing involves		
12	taking boats out on that big lake and going to		
13	the beach. Kids love to explore the shoreline.		
14	One of their favorite areas is near the power		
15	plant where the warm water discharges into the		
16	lake, which apparently has altered the ecology		
17	there and drawing more fish and birds to the		
18	site.		
19	Knowing that the corporation		
20	has dumped significant amounts of ash on its		
21	sites in insufficiently protected areas,		
22	including the shores of Waukegan where my		
23	family enjoys Lake Michigan, is so troubling.		
24	Coal ash contains a myriad		

	Page	208
of dangerous pollutants and I'm concerned		
that a corporation is allowed to dump its		
ash even temporarily on a site along Lake		
Michigan and then flush its wastewater from		
the wash ponds directly into the water where		
our community swims, sails and fishes.		
The coal ash is located in		
an area that naturally attracts human and		
other animals. Most people are not aware		
of the existence of the ash let alone what		
toxins coal ash contains. Fish and birds		
are certainly unaware of the risks.		
Although the ponds are lined,		
there continues to be evidence of pollutants		
that are commonly found in coal ash leaching		
into the groundwater across the Waukegan site.		
The health effects of exposure to these		
chemicals often do not appear until decades		
after the exposure.		
The Waukegan Municipal Swimming	ſ	
Beach is just south of the coal plant where		
families swim, paddle board and many fish as		
an important part of their diet. What are		
they ingesting? Are the present benefits of		
	of dangerous pollutants and I'm concerned that a corporation is allowed to dump its ash even temporarily on a site along Lake Michigan and then flush its wastewater from the wash ponds directly into the water where our community swims, sails and fishes. The coal ash is located in an area that naturally attracts human and other animals. Most people are not aware of the existence of the ash let alone what toxins coal ash contains. Fish and birds are certainly unaware of the risks. Although the ponds are lined, there continues to be evidence of pollutants that are commonly found in coal ash leaching into the groundwater across the Waukegan site. The health effects of exposure to these chemicals often do not appear until decades after the exposure. The Waukegan Municipal Swimming Beach is just south of the coal plant where families swim, paddle board and many fish as an important part of their diet. What are they ingesting? Are the present benefits of	Page of dangerous pollutants and I'm concerned that a corporation is allowed to dump its ash even temporarily on a site along Lake Michigan and then flush its wastewater from the wash ponds directly into the water where our community swims, sails and fishes. The coal ash is located in an area that naturally attracts human and other animals. Most people are not aware of the existence of the ash let alone what toxins coal ash contains. Fish and birds are certainly unaware of the risks. Although the ponds are lined, there continues to be evidence of pollutants that are commonly found in coal ash leaching into the groundwater across the Waukegan site. The health effects of exposure to these chemicals often do not appear until decades after the exposure. The Waukegan Municipal Swimming Beach is just south of the coal plant where families swim, paddle board and many fish as an important part of their diet. What are they ingesting? Are the present benefits of

209

	Page
gaining skill and confidence in a lifelong	
sport, enjoying the magic of one of our great	
lakes, and putting food on the tables worth	
the long term health risks?	
I still keep my boat in	
Waukegan Harbor. I still enjoy our beautiful	
lake that I can see from my windows and I	
visit the beach in the summer.	
My younger kids no longer	
sail through Waukegan Junior Sail. They sail	
in Lake Forest where I can see the smoke from	
the plant from safer distance and know that	
girls are not being exposed to toxins leaching	
into the lake.	
I live in Waukegan. My kids	
could ride their bikes to the harbor. I choose	
not to have them participate in a program	
minutes away from home and instead drive	
them to another community. The residents of	
Waukegan who are aware of the ash located in	
Waukegan choose to avoid our local lakefront	
because of its toxic legacy and go to other	
communities for outdoor recreation. We	
shouldn't need to do this.	
	<pre>gaining skill and confidence in a lifelong sport, enjoying the magic of one of our great lakes, and putting food on the tables worth the long term health risks?</pre>

		Page	210
1	Also, as a city, Waukegan		
2	has been saddled with the cost of cleanup		
3	from heavy industry. We've been stymied in		
4	our economic redevelopment efforts in part		
5	because of the fear of exposure to industrial		
6	toxins. Energy's failure to address the		
7	current coal ash scattered around the		
8	site and begin remediation of the abandoned		
9	coal ash disposal areas continues the		
10	pattern of industrial exploiting of		
11	impoverished communities endangering the		
12	health of its residents and walking away		
13	from the problem.		
14	The economic future of our		
15	hometown depends on our ability to transform		
16	our lakefront from the legacy of industrial		
17	pollution to a shoreline that attracts		
18	sustainable businesses, recreation and		
19	tourism.		
20	Creating sacrifice with		
21	high levels of coal ash pollution along our		
22	shoreline would make it hard for our community		
23	to eventually transition towards the future we		
24	need. The federal government is showing no		

February 2, 2018

Page 211 1 willingness to protect the people of my 2 community from corporate greed. 3 It is up to the state of 4 Illinois to hold NRG and other polluters 5 accountable for their actions and require 6 that they change the way they handle toxic 7 waste. Negative impact on the economic and 8 physical health of communities and people 9 is far more important than the profitability 10 of a major corporation. 11 Please increase your 12 oversight of these plants. Require the 13 safest handling of ash possible and make sure cleanup costs do not fall on us as 14 15 taxpayers. Thank you. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 16 Thank 17 you, Ms. Roberts. The Board will read 18 this. Thank you. 19 MS. ROBERTS: Thank you. 20 MS. FLORES: I'm Celeste Flores. 21 Good afternoon, your Honor. 22 Thank you for this opportunity to be speaking 23 I was born and raised in Lake County. today. 24 I care deeply about the protection of our

	Page 21:	2
1	earth and the community from environmental harm.	
2	I'm the Lake County outreach	
3	director with Faith In Place. Our office is	
4	just a mile from the Waukegan Harbor. I empower	
5	people of all faiths across Lake County to be	
6	leaders in taking care of the earth. I	
7	do this by providing resources to educate,	
8	connect and advocate for healthier	
9	communities.	
10	I fell in with love nature	
11	at a young age. Growing up, I enjoyed biking	
12	and walking in a Lake County forest preserve.	
13	Many residents, I had no idea there was a	
14	coal fire power plant or a coal ash storage	
15	spawn on-site. I learned this after I learned	
16	about the threats of coal pollution as I was	
17	doing my undergrad in Kentucky.	
18	When I returned home, I	
19	learned about the coal impacts and how it	
20	continues to impact my family's hometown	
21	of Waukegan. Waukegan has been a devastated	
22	legacy of pollution on what is now a working	
23	class Latino community on the shorelines of	
24	Lake Michigan with limited resources to	

		Page	213
1	access to health and care.		
2	As a community leader, I'm		
3	fearful for the affects of the coal and ash		
4	pollution in our communities and I know we		
5	deserve better. There have been and continue		
6	to be dedicated groups of community members		
7	and faithful leaders that clean the Waukegan		
8	beach and lakefront on a weekly basis.		
9	As community members, we		
10	are working to keep trash and other waste		
11	from our lake. A corporation should do the		
12	same. No corporation should be able to dump		
13	ash on or near our shores of Lake Michigan		
14	and walk away without cleaning up its mess.		
15	Waukegan residents have a		
16	right to swim, play and enjoy in Lake Michigan		
17	without worrying about exposure to pollution		
18	from NRG's coal ash dumping grounds next to		
19	the shore. We know that even with liners,		
20	coal ash contaminates, can leach into		
21	groundwater and adequate protection is not		
22	coming from the federal government.		
23	Waukegan residents need to		
24	be able to rely on the Pollution Control		

	Page 214
1	Board to hold corporations accountable when
2	corporation pollution has been dumping
3	without adequate protection.
4	As a community, we are ready
5	to no longer be dumping grounds for energy. The
6	time has come to set a new precedent
7	for Waukegan where corporations are held
8	accountable to clean up their pollution
9	and leave sites and healthier options for
10	the future we use. We cannot move forward
11	when corporations like NRG are able to
12	continue polluting our groundwater and
13	walkway without cleanup.
14	I'm asking that you think
15	about the future of Waukegan. The future
16	of Waukegan is with our students, bright
17	individuals that are proud to be from Waukegan
18	and have become so involved and they've
19	educated, connected and advocated for the
20	environment and for their community. They
21	don't want the future to be burdened by
22	industrial pollution or coal ash.
23	Me, Dillon, who is here with
24	me, and all of the students in the community,

	Page 215
1	are going to inherit the legacy that NRG leaves
2	us. I ask the Board to help make sure that this
3	legacy is one of health and the tax dollars
4	don't pay for it.
5	Thank you for your time. Thank
6	you.
7	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
8	you and thank you both. I promise the
9	Board will read your comments.
10	MR. WANNIER: Your Honor, I
11	just personally want to thank you for
12	making the time for this.
13	As we discussed, because
14	the case is probably going to be
15	finishing sooner, there were a couple
16	of other potential commenters from
17	other sites that are at issue that
18	weren't going to be able to make
19	it here personally, I just wanted to
20	request that maybe we could submit
21	written comments into the record?
22	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Oh,
23	yes, yes. As I stated earlier, I'll
24	set a briefing period for written

February 2, 2018

Page 216 1 comments. 2 MR. WANNIER: Thank you very 3 much. 4 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank 5 you. 6 All right. Let's go off 7 the record for a second. 8 (Whereupon, a discussion 9 was had off the record.) 10 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're 11 back on the record. 12 Mr. Russ, you can continue 13 with the cross of Mr. Seymour. BY MR. RUSS: 14 15 I would like to hand you something Q. 16 that you cited in your report, I believe. 17 Does this look familiar to 18 you? 19 Α. Yes, I think so. 20 MR. RUSS: Okay. I was hoping 21 we could project it on the screen, 22 Mr. Hearing Officer. Would that be okay? 23 So I could point to it with a laser 24 pointer?
February 2, 2018

Page 217 1 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Sure. 2 MS. NIJMAN: I -- it's not our 3 exhibit. I mean, I don't -- I don't know --4 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes. 5 If they don't agree -- I thought you had 6 your own, but it doesn't sound like 7 they're going to agree. So we'll 8 just have to do it the old fashion 9 way. MR. RUSS: Okay. 10 11 BY MR. RUSS: 12 Q. Okay. Before the break, I believe 13 you said -- I'll stand up so my voice carries better -- what you want to see is higher 14 15 concentrations downgradient than upgradient 16 when we were talking about the ash pond. 17 Do you remember that? 18 Α. Well, I -- I characterized it amongst 19 other things, right. 20 And in order to determine whether Q. 21 the ash ponds were a source of contamination? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Now, do you see the wells designated Q. 24 MW-5 and MW-7?

Page 218 1 Α. Yes. 2 Ο. Those -- looking at their boring 3 concentrations, those two wells have the 4 highest boring concentrations on-site; is 5 that right? Well, as of this time, they --6 Α. 7 they -- on this display that you have, 8 they appear to be the highest. 9 Q. Right. And if you wouldn't mind 10 also opening up -- it's right in front of you already -- Dr. Kunkel's demonstrative 11 12 Exhibit No. 411. I believe it's just a 13 couple of pages after those maps. That's 14 it right there on the left -- on the right. 15 This? Α. 16 He has a median concentration of 0. 17 boring and sulfate. 18 Do you see that? 19 This is from his report, do you Α. 20 say? 21 It's the demonstrative exhibit that Q. 22 we used in October. 23 I see it. I see it. Α. 24 Q. We may have used them this month too.

February 2, 2018

Page 219 1 Is that consistent in 2 of MW-5 and MW-7 having the highest terms 3 concentrations of boron? 4 Α. Five and seven? 5 Ο. Yes. 6 Α. On this list, they are the highest 7 concentrations on this page. 8 Yeah. And those two wells are Q. 9 immediately downgradient of the area we've 10 been talking about at the former fly ash 11 slag storage area; is that right? 12 Α. Yes. 13 What wells are immediately upgradient Ο. 14 of that area? 15 There's several, Monitor Wells 6, 8 Α. and 9, for example. 16 17 Six, 8 and 9, what are the boron Q. 18 concentrations in 6, 8 and 9? Six is 2.9, 8 is 24 and 9 is 6.3. 19 Α. 20 Those concentrations are lower than Q. 21 they are in MW-5 and MW-7; is that right? 22 Α. Correct. 23 So is it fair to say that something --Q. 24 as the groundwater moved from those upgradient

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 2/9/2018 February 2, 2018

Page 220 1 wells to the downgradient wells was adding 2 boron to the groundwater? 3 Α. Well, we -- we spoke a little bit 4 about this less specifically before the lunch 5 and my -- my belief, yes, you can see that 6 they are higher and that there could be 7 some contributions except that, you know, 8 between Monitor Wells 6, 8 and 9, you don't 9 really know if you are actually measuring 10 the higher concentration. In fact, at 8, it's 24. 11 12 The difference between 24 and 40, for example, 13 and a quarter can be substantial or it could be not substantial. So if there's another 14 15 well -- or at the time, you may see higher 16 concentrations at that upgradient site. 17 There are a number of other Q. 18 upgradient wells there, further upgradient. 19 Are there any wells of 20 higher concentrations than MW-5 and MW-7 21 on-site? 22 Α. Well, upgradient in this sense, 23 if I had the groundwater flow contour, I 24 think you'd find upgradient is kind of the

February 2, 2018

Page 221 1 General Boiler property and there's -- the 2 ELUC wells are not on that property. They 3 are on the property boundary. 4 Ο. Would you turn to -- well, let me 5 just pause for a second. 6 Are they upgradient of the 7 fly ash slag storage area? Are what? 8 Α. 9 The ELUC wells. Q. Not all of them. 10 Α. 11 Okay. Are there any -- are there Q. 12 any wells on-site with higher concentrations 13 than MW-5 and MW-7? On this display, there are -- they --14 Α. 15 those are the two highest. 16 So let's turn to sulfate in --Ο. 17 Α. Sorry. 18 What are -- MW-5 and MW-7 also has Q. 19 the highest sulfate concentrations on-site. 20 MS. NIJMAN: Object to time 21 period, vagueness. 22 MR. RUSS: On Page 45512, if 23 you're looking for it. 24

February 2, 2018

Page 222 1 BY THE WITNESS: 2 Α. This page? 3 BY MR. RUSS: 4 I'm sorry. I was asking about Ο. No. 5 the dating on Page 45512 and then I'll ask you 6 about Dr. Kunkel's report. 7 Α. I apologize. I couldn't even read the 8 Bates number. 9 Q. Yeah. It says it on the bottom here. 10 And the question is about sulfate? Α. 11 Q. Yeah. 12 The sulfate at MW-7 and MW-5 are Α. 13 the highest that I can see here and then we have others that are similar. They are -- you 14 15 know, they are similar, but not quite as high. 16 When you say "similar," what's the Q. 17 concentration of sulfate in MW-5? 18 Α. MW-5 is 640. 19 What's the concentration of sulfate Ο. 20 in MW-7? 21 Α. 690. 22 How about MW-8? Q. 23 370. I think that's where I was Α. 24 going with it. It's lower at 8, but at any

February 2, 2018

Page 223 1 point in time you may see fluctuations. 2 At this point in time, the sulfate Ο. 3 concentrations in MW-7 were twice as high as 4 they were in MW-8, roughly; is that right? 5 A little less than that. Α. 6 Q. And in Dr. Kunkel's report, the 7 median values being an average over long 8 periods of time, if you concede that median is a kind of average? 9 10 Α. It's not. 11 Well, that's -- let's just say it's Q. 12 a median. 13 Α. Okay. It is a median. 14 It's a median calculated from a Q. 15 time series. 16 What's the sulfate 17 concentration? 18 Α. Mr. Russ, I'm not sure that this 19 is a median. It says median, but I quess it's over the time period available when 20 21 this report was written, I presume. 22 Yeah. This is --Q. 23 Just reflect that a median is not Α. 24 an average, but go ahead.

February 2, 2018

Page 224 1 Well, okay. That's a magic point, but Q. 2 okay. 3 Α. No, it's a mathematical point. 4 Let's take a look -- a median is Ο. 5 not a mean. I'll give you that much, but what 6 is the median concentration of sulfate in 7 MW-7?8 In this map? Α. 9 Q. Dr. Kunkel's report. MW-7's sulfate is 690. It's the 10 Α. same as this well. 11 12 How about MW-8? Q. 370, which is the same as this well. 13 Α. 14 Q. So again, over a long period of time, 15 the median concentration at MW-7 is roughly 16 twice as high as it was in MW-8; is that right? 17 Α. A little less than that, yes. But 18 I still take issue with this table because I 19 don't know how he -- if he really calculated 20 median or the mean. 21 Well, if you'd like to turn the page --Q. 22 he has individual data for Well MW-7. I'm 23 sorry. Well, if you don't mind me flipping 24 through, I can't remember whether he listed

February 2, 2018

Page 225 1 this before or after his data charts. It might 2 be it's here. Α. 3 I don't need to look at this. 4 I think you might because what I want 0. 5 to show you --6 Α. Is it the time share --7 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You 8 really have a talk one at a time and back not towards the court reporter nor myself. 9 10 MR. RUSS: I'm sorry. 11 BY THE WITNESS: 12 I've got to look at the time series Α. because I know there's some issues with these 13 14 data as for inaccuracies. And so I don't know how much I can reply on these data. 15 BY MR. RUSS: 16 17 Well, let's assume for the record --Q. 18 you know, these -- you don't have to treat 19 these as accurate, but according to the data 20 that Dr. Kunkel presented, you can see the 21 values he used to calculate the mean, the 22 median, the maximum and minimum in that table; 23 is that right? 24 Α. I can't see the values that he used

February 2, 2018

Page 226 1 to make the calculation. I see the end point 2 of the calculation. 3 Q. I believe the values that he used 4 are above on the page that you're looking at. 5 Α. These are -- this is the result. 6 This is not the background data he used to 7 calculate the result. 8 Q. If you look --9 Α. No. 10 -- the page I was just trying to Ο. 11 turn you to, if you -- if you turn past the 12 charts --13 Α. But again --THE COURT REPORTER: 14 Wait, wait, 15 You have to let him finish the wait. 16 question. 17 MR. RUSS: Hearing Officer, 18 are you also looking at Exhibit 411? 19 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: No. 20 I'm looking at your map. I have yet to 21 go to 411. 22 Would you like me to 23 go to 411? I didn't know there was 24 a question yet.

February 2, 2018

Page 227 1 MR. RUSS: Not necessarily. 2 I just was trying to figure what we're 3 looking at so we're all on the same 4 page. 5 BY MR. RUSS: 6 If you turn to Exhibit 411 passed Q. 7 his time series plots for Waukegan, you will 8 find tables of data. 9 I understand there are tables there. Α. 10 I would like for you to look at them. Q. I -- why would you want me to look 11 Α. 12 Again, I've just said -at them. 13 Q. Sir, please look at them. 14 Α. The data are inaccurate. 15 I understand. Q. 16 Do you see a table of data 17 for MW-7? 18 Α. I see Tables 7 and 8, yes. 19 Ο. And you see a time series of data? 20 Α. I do. 21 And as far as the data that Q. 22 Dr. Kunkel had, what was the mean value for 23 MW-7 he calculated? 24 Α. He calculated for MW-7 a mean of --

February 2, 2018

Page 228 1 which, boron or sulfate? 2 Ο. Sulfate. 3 Α. He calculated a median of -- for 7 of 690. 4 5 I'm asking about the mean on the Ο. 6 page you were just looking at. 7 Α. It doesn't say which is the mean. 8 I'm sorry. 9 If you Q. You're right. I'm sorry. flip to the first well in that series, you'll 10 11 see where he -- how he's organized the data. 12 There, you can see at that -- I believe the --13 I don't have a copy of it in front of me, but 14 I believe the second value in his summary 15 statistics is a mean. He shows the mean, yes, as a second 16 Α. 17 value below the sulfate concentration for 8. 18 I see it. I see it, yes. 19 Ο. Okay. So what is the mean sulfate 20 value for MW-7? 21 It's 280 milligrams per liter. Excuse Α. 22 me. It's 380 milligrams per liter. 23 For MW-7? Q. 24 Α. I'm sorry. That's MW-8. MW-7 is 666.

February 2, 2018

Page 229 1 And MW-8? Q. It is 380. 2 Α. 3 Q. So the mean sulfate concentration for 4 MW-7 is higher than the mean concentration of 5 MW-8 over time? Over time, it is. 6 Α. 7 Are there any other wells upgradient Q. 8 of the former fly ash slag storage area? 9 Α. Well, 8 is. Six and 8, as I mentioned, 10 are upgradient. 11 Upgradient of the fly ash slag storage Q. 12 area have higher concentrations of sulfate then 13 MW-5 and MW-7? I don't -- I'd have to look at all the 14 Α. I don't recall. 15 data. 16 So the concentrations of both boron 0. 17 and sulfate increased moving from upgradient 18 to downgradient across the former fly ash slag 19 storage area; is that accurate? 20 It is for this data series that's Α. 21 shown. 22 Does that not suggest that the land Q. 23 between upgradient and downgradient wells is 24 contributing boron and sulfate to the

	Page 230
1	downgradient wells?
2	A. As I mentioned, along the upgradient
3	side well, first of all, along the upgradient
4	side, you have the two wells. You don't know if
5	you've captured the center of the mass, if
6	you will, the higher concentration. So what
7	you're saying is accurate, but it doesn't
8	necessarily mean you're adding boron to that
9	from that parcel.
10	Q. Is it possible that that parcel
11	is contributing boron and sulfate to the
12	groundwater?
13	A. Well, you said possible and that's
14	pretty is that more likely than not? I
15	don't know. I don't think I don't think
16	so. I mean, all I can say is I don't really
17	have enough to say more likely than not. I'd
18	say what I see is that it's higher at this point
19	in time and recognize that the Illinois standard
20	for sulfate is 400 and this is 690.
21	Q. Did the Illinois standard for sulfate
22	have any bearing on whether the concentration
23	increase from upgradient to downgradient?
24	A. No. I'm putting it into perspective

Page 231 1 for the reader. 2 So I want to move on to your matching Q. 3 analysis. 4 I would like you to turn to --5 I think we might have to flip back and forth 6 between your first report and your supplemental 7 report, which I think are both in your binder. 8 The supplemental report, if I 9 remember correctly, is exhibit -- I can't 10 remember the exact numbers right now. Exhibit 904, I think, is your supplemental report? 11 What are you asking me again? I'm 12 Α. 13 sorry. What? 904? 14 I'm just going to be asking Q. Yes. 15 you a couple questions about your supplemental 16 report and your original report. 17 Your supplemental report 18 is Exhibit 904; is that right? 19 Α. Yes. 20 And your original report is Exhibit Q. 21 903; is that right? 22 It's within there, yes. Α. 23 Q. Sorry. I just want to make sure I 24 have this right.

February 2, 2018

Page 232 1 Now, the supplemental report 2 replaced Tables 5-4 and 5-5 in your first 3 report; is that right? 4 It looks like, yes, that's what we've Α. 5 done. 6 Ο. Okay. And the updated tables that 7 you've been talking about this morning with 8 Ms. Nijman are an updated version of the same 9 table; is that right? Yes. With the new data with the 10 Α. different time series, I believe. 11 12 Q. Were the methods you used to generate the new tables the same as --13 14 Α. Excuse me. This is the -- the data 15 in the supplement is 2014. This is a corrected data table. 16 17 Q. Right. 18 Α. So it's not the data tables we had 19 been presented, the updated 2017. 20 Exactly. Thank you for clarifying. Q. 21 So the data in your 22 supplemental report from 2014, the data in 23 the demonstrative exhibits are 2016 to 2017? 24 Α. Correct.

February 2, 2018

Page 233 1 Were the methods you used to generate Ο. 2 what is shown here as Table 5-4 the same methods 3 that you used to generate the new Table 5-4? 4 Α. The method in the Exhibit 904 is the 5 same method that we used for the demonstratives. 6 Q. Okay. Thank you. 7 Now, the Tables 5-4 and 5-5, 8 the reason why I was mentioning your original 9 report here, they refer back to Tables 5-1 and 10 5-2 for the leachate data; is that right? 11 Α. For the comparison data, yes. 12 Okay. In your original report? Q. 13 Α. Yes. 14 Q. Okay. So we might have to go back 15 and forth between the two. 16 Now, if you had a leachate 17 value and a groundwater value that were 18 identical, that would be a match in your match 19 analysis, correct? Well, even if it wasn't necessarily 20 Α. 21 identical, if they are the same constituent, 22 we -- we'd call that a match. 23 But if -- if you had a boron Q. Yeah. 24 concentration of three in leachate milligrams

February 2, 2018

Page 234 1 per liter, a boron concentration of three 2 milligrams per liter in groundwater, that 3 would be a match, right? Yeah. I think that would be unusual. 4 Α. 5 It doesn't happen quite that simply, but it 6 would be a match. 7 Q. Okay. Thank you. 8 Now, on these tables for 9 each well, you derived a percentage that 10 you described as a percentage of observed constituents that are not consistent with 11 12 indicators with leachate from ash currently 13 stored in impoundment; is that right? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Did you intend for this matching Q. 16 analysis to support conclusions about ash 17 outside of the impoundment? 18 Α. Only to the sense that we can 19 understand what is in it, that could be. 20 Q. Okay. 21 Α. It's a good baseline to start. 22 Would it be fair to describe the Q. 23 observations in the numerator of these 24 percentages as a mismatch?

February 2, 2018

Page 235 1 For this, because it's inconsistent, Α. 2 we're showing that -- I guess, as I said early 3 today, it goes in a numerator if it is 4 inconsistent, a mismatch. 5 So a mismatch is a fair Ο. 6 characterization? 7 Α. I think that's okay. 8 Q. And to simplify a little, mismatches 9 in your approach count against the possibility 10 that groundwater has been contaminated by coal ash; is that right? 11 Yes, in the increase in the likelihood 12 Α. that it's not from the ash in the pond. 13 14 Okay. In your deposition, you were Q. 15 asked about benzene. 16 Do you remember this? 17 Α. I don't recall. 18 And we will turn to Page 79 of your Q. 19 deposition to refresh your memory. 20 Page 79, did you say? Α. 21 Q. Yes. 22 Α. I see it. 23 Q. Without going through and reading 24 the transcript into the record, if you could

February 2, 2018

Page 236 1 just look at that to refresh your memory, 2 I'll just ask you a question about it. 3 Would the presence of benzene 4 in the groundwater effect --5 Α. Do you want me to read this? 6 Q. Just to refresh your memory. 7 Α. I haven't finished yet. 8 Q. Oh, okay. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Okay I've read it. 9 Α. 10 Okay. Is benzene a constituent of Ο. coal ash? 11 12 No. I think the discussion here, Α. 13 though, doesn't define it. 14 That's okay. I'm just asking -- I'm Q. 15 just giving you that to refresh your memory and 16 I'm just asking you now. 17 So benzene is not a 18 constituent of coal ash. Would finding benzene 19 in groundwater affect your conclusions about 20 the presence or absence of coal ash? 21 Α. As long as -- I mean, to me, it's 22 almost data that you would not consider in 23 your analysis. 24 Okay. Thank you. Q.

		Page 237
1	So a non-indicator, something	
2	that's not in coal ash, does not say anything	
3	about the presence or absence of coal ash; is	
4	that fair to say?	
5	A. Say that again, please.	
6	Q. A non-indicator, something that's	
7	not a constituent that's not an indicator	
8	of coal ash, the presence or absence of that	
9	chemical in groundwater shouldn't have any	
10	bearing on your conclusion about the presence	
11	or absence of coal ash; is that right?	
12	A. That's kind of complicated. I'm	
13	sorry, Mr. Russ. One more time. I'll try	
14	to concentrate very carefully.	
15	Q. What you said about benzene, I	
16	believe, is it shouldn't have any it	
17	shouldn't be in the analysis?	
18	A. It would not be in the analysis.	
19	Q. And why is that?	
20	A. It's not an indicator of coal ash.	
21	Q. Okay. Right. And that's what I'm	
22	asking.	
23	So something that's not an	
24	indicator of coal ash shouldn't have any	

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 2/9/2018 February 2, 2018

Page 238 1 bearing on your determination of whether or 2 not there's coal ash in groundwater? I would think -- yes, I think that 3 Α. 4 would be correct. 5 Okay. Can you turn to Table 5-4 Ο. 6 in your supplement? You had it arranged by 7 So there's a Table 5-4 in Waukegan. site. 8 That site had the fewest wells so I think 9 it will be the easiest to look at. I see it. 10 Α. 11 Q. Some of these are highlighted in 12 blue, right? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Q. What does that signify? 15 Α. It was not matching. 16 And some of the cells are white Ο. 17 and some of the cells are green. Can you 18 just explain what the different colors mean? 19 The whites where they match and Α. 20 the green where they don't match. The data 21 are inconsistent in the green. 22 So what's the difference between Q. 23 green and blue? 24 Α. It was flagged, as you can see, in

February 2, 2018

Page 239 1 the ash. It was not found in the EPRI data. 2 I believe that's why it's flagged. 3 Q. Okay. Okay. And --4 It also may not have been analyzed in Α. 5 the EPRI data. I'd have to look. Okay. Let me -- I believe you have 6 Ο. 7 a legend for this table someplace. Do you 8 remember where that was? 9 I think it's at the end. Α. 10 Yep. Can you -- can you read for me Ο. 11 what the -- what you wrote that the blue cells 12 mean? Blue shading indicates the constituents 13 Α. 14 had not -- that is not an indicator of leachate from ash stored in the impoundments was detected 15 16 during at least one quarterly groundwater 17 monitoring event in 2014. 18 Q. Right. Okay. Thank you. 19 And then turning back to the 20 Waukegan table, all of the blue cells are in a 21 row for iron; is that right? 22 Α. Yes. 23 And for purposes of this table, Q. 24 iron is not a coal ash indicator, is it?

February 2, 2018

Page 240 1 Α. It isn't. 2 Iron can be naturally occurring; is Q. 3 that right? 4 It can be. And actually although Α. 5 it was not found in this analyses, it can come also from coal ash. 6 7 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You 8 have to speak up. 9 BY THE WITNESS: 10 Although iron was shaded blue here, Α. we do also note that -- and it was not found 11 in this EPRI data, we also find it in coal 12 13 ash. It is present. 14 BY MR. RUSS: 15 Okay. Now, for iron, you have an X Q. 16 for MW-2. You have an X for iron. 17 Does that mean you coded as a 18 mismatch? 19 Yes, I believe so. Α. 20 Even though you just said it was in Q. 21 coal ash? 22 I -- I agree. Α. 23 Q. Is that an error in your report? 24 Α. I'd have to think about it.

February 2, 2018

Page 241 1 Okay. For purposes of this table, Ο. 2 you counted the presence of non-indicator 3 as evidence against the possibility of 4 contamination; isn't that right? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Ο. And I believe you just said you 7 shouldn't do that? 8 Α. You're right. 9 Q. Okay. Thank you. 10 Table 5-5 for Waukegan again, 11 there are a lot of blue cells; is that right? 12 Yes, I did see. Α. 13 Ο. Those are all instances in which 14 you coded the presence of non-indicator as 15 a mismatch and counted it against the 16 possibility of contamination, is that 17 right? 18 Α. Yes. To be honest, I'm a little 19 This says that green and blue confused. 20 shading demonstrate observed constituents 21 that are not consistent with indicators of 22 leachate from ash stored in impoundments 23 and that's what I'm relying on. So these are non-indicators 24 Rights. Q.

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 2/9/2018 February 2, 2018

Page 242 of ash for purposes of this table that you 1 2 found in groundwater? 3 Α. It says not consistent with indicators 4 of leachate for ash stored in the impoundments. 5 Right. If you look in the column Ο. 6 labeled "Constituent is an indicator of 7 leachate," there are only three where the 8 answer is yes on Table 5-5; isn't that right? 9 Α. That's from the ash in the ponds. 10 Everything that's not marked yes, 0. I assume the is answer is no and it's not 11 12 an indicator? 13 Α. I'm sorry. Say that again, please. 14 This column purports to show Q. 15 indicators of coal ash -- leachate from coal 16 ash stored in the ponds; is that right? 17 Α. Yes. 18 And some are marked yes and some Ο. 19 that are blank? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Is it safe to call the blank row Q. 22 as non-indicators? 23 It was not found in the ash. Α. 24 Q. There's not --

February 2, 2018

Page 243 1 Α. It was not an indicator in this 2 situation. But in general, it could -- you 3 know, we find it in other places. 4 So all of these blue cells, though, 0. 5 are non-indicators that were found in 6 groundwater and you counted that against 7 the possibility of contamination; isn't 8 that right? 9 Well, because it wasn't found in Α. 10 the leachate, but it was found in the groundwater, so it did not match. It's not 11 12 consistent. 13 Q. Right. But I believe you said 14 earlier if you find a non-indicator in 15 groundwater, you shouldn't contribute that 16 to your analysis; is that right? 17 Α. I understand, yes. 18 So there's a series of errors in Ο. 19 this table? Mr. Russ, I -- I -- I would agree 20 Α. 21 that it looks that way. I -- as I said, I 22 am a little bit confused. I have to kind 23 of go back to the whole discussion in the 24 report. It may take a while.

February 2, 2018

Page 244 1 Okay. All right. Well, that's --Ο. 2 we'll move on for now. 3 Just one more question about 4 Table 5-5 actually. Are there any mismatches 5 in Table 5-5 other than those blue cells? They're all -- I think they're 6 Α. 7 blue, yes. There's lots of blue that are 8 labeled as mismatched. Let me see. One, 9 two, three, yes. 10 So if we were to take the 0. 11 non-indicators out of this table, you would 12 have a 100 percent match; is that right? 13 Α. Again, I would have to go back 14 and refresh my memory on how it was established. 15 Okay. Let me just walk through Q. 16 a few of these. You have three indicators 17 so it won't take too long. 18 You have barium, right? Barium 19 was found in leachate. How many of the wells 20 was barium found in? All of them? 21 Α. 22 All of them. Q. 23 How many boron? Boron was 24 found in leachate. How many wells was boron

February 2, 2018

Page 245 1 fond in? 2 Α. Let me -- I'm sorry. I might not 3 be on the right table. Waukegan. Okay. 4 How many of those wells was boron found Ο. 5 in? All of them. 6 Α. 7 How about sulfate? Ο. It was found on all of them. 8 Α. 9 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Could 10 you keep your voice up, Mr. Seymour? Thank 11 you. 12 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 13 BY MR. RUSS: 14 So the three indicators that you Q. 15 have in this table were found in all of the 16 wells at the Waukegan site? 17 Α. Yes. 18 So if we take the non-indicators Q. 19 out, that would be a 100 percent match, 20 wouldn't it? 21 Yes. In fact, they did -- in Α. 22 the analysis, the new percent is correct. 23 But again, I have to go back and refresh 24 my memory.

February 2, 2018

Page 246 1 Thank you. Q. Okay. 2 Now, is there arsenic in 3 coal ash? It has been found in coal ash. 4 Α. 5 Is arsenic in coal ash leachate? Ο. 6 Α. I believe so. In general, it has 7 been found. 8 How much arsenic was there in the Q. 9 leachate that was used for Table 5-5? You 10 might have to refer back to Table 5-1 of your 11 original report. 12 For which site? Α. 13 Ο. For the -- the leachate. 14 Α. Which --15 Q. Well, I believe --16 THE COURT REPORTER: Wait. 17 You've got to wait. One at a time. 18 BY THE WITNESS: 19 Α. For --20 BY MR. RUSS: 21 The leachate data has --Q. 22 -- Waukegan? Α. 23 The --Q. -- which table? 24 Α.

Page 247 1 The? Q. 2 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Come 3 on, gentlemen, please. You have to help 4 me and the court reporter. Speak one at 5 a time. 6 MR. RUSS: I'm just trying 7 to answer his question. 8 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: One at a time. 9 BY MR. RUSS: 10 11 You -- you have one set of leachate Q. 12 data that you used for all the sites in Table 13 5-5; is that right? 14 Α. Yes. 15 And that's found in -- the data are Q. 16 found in Table 5-1 of your original report? 17 Α. 5-1 is one set of data, I believe, and 5-2 is second set of data. I would have 18 19 to look. 20 And I'm -- I'm just reading off Q. 21 Table 5-5 where you said Table 5-1. 22 Α. Okay. Yes. 23 Okay. So in Table 5-1, what is Q. 24 the arsenic value for the leachate that you

	Page 248
1	used?
2	MS. NIJMAN: Do you have a
3	page number?
4	MR. RUSS: The tables aren't
5	I don't think the tables have page
6	numbers. Oh, they do. I'm sorry.
7	Table 5-1 is on Page well, it
8	says Page 1 of 1 at the bottom. So
9	I don't know how helpful that is.
10	THE WITNESS: There's no
11	Bates number but it's Table 5-1 in
12	my report.
13	BY MR. RUSS:
14	Q. How much arsenic is in the leachate?
15	A. There wasn't any site-related leachate.
16	Q. Now, you say there wasn't any, but
17	what is the number that you show in Table 5-1?
18	A. Less than .006 milligrams per liter.
19	Q. I'm sorry. I'm asking about arsenic.
20	A. I I apologize. Arsenic is less
21	than 0.050.
22	Q. Okay. So that's what you call
23	non-detect, right?
24	A. Yes.

February 2, 2018

Page 249 1 And that might mean that there's 0. 2 no arsenic. It might also mean that there's 3 0.049 milligrams per liter of arsenic, right? 4 Α. The test is geared to run Yeah. 5 at or below the drinking water standard in 6 Illinois. So if it's less than that number, 7 it could be present, but you would never 8 know. 9 Q. Right. It could be present at up to 49 micrograms per liter? 10 You'd never know if it was, like, 11 Α. 12 one or zero. 13 Right. But is that true to say that Q. 14 it could be as high as 49 micrograms per liter? 15 Yes, it could be. Α. 16 Can you tell me what concentrations Q. 17 were observed in groundwater in 2014? 18 To look at -- to do this, I 19 think you're going to have to look at Exhibit 20 268-P, which should be there in front of you. 21 At Waukegan, at MW-5, what 22 are arsenic concentrations in that well in 23 this period of time? 24 Α. They are low right around .01 to

February 2, 2018

Page 250 1 .009, .0013 milligrams per liter. 2 Okav. So is it safe to say that 0. 3 the groundwater had concentrations of arsenic 4 between roughly two and ten micrograms per 5 liter? 6 Α. Micrograms per liter or milligrams 7 per liter? 8 Q. Two and ten micrograms. 9 Α. Yes, micrograms per liter. 10 Thank you. Q. 11 So the leachate had something 12 less than 50 micrograms per liter, the 13 groundwater had something between two and ten 14 micrograms per liter, the leachate could have 15 the same amount of arsenic as the groundwater; 16 isn't that right? 17 Α. The leachate from the test? 18 Yes. Q. 19 The leachate, as you indicated, Α. 20 could have a concentration of less than the --21 than the -- what was detected, which again 22 is a test from the leachate just to give 23 us some kind of an idea what's there, right. We're 24 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:

February 2, 2018

Page 251 1 getting soft again, gentlemen. If you 2 could, raise your voices. Thank you. 3 MR. RUSS: Sorry. Maybe I 4 should stay standing. 5 BY MR. RUSS: 6 Ο. So the leachate could have between 7 two and ten micrograms or arsenic per liter? 8 Α. It could have concentrates that are 9 lower. 10 And the leachate in the Ο. Yes. 11 groundwater, using these tests and these 12 data, could have the exact same concentration of arsenic; isn't that correct? 13 14 Α. It's possible. 15 Uh-huh. And that would be a match? Q. 16 If they were present and we were Α. 17 confident that the leach data were accurate, 18 yes. 19 Ο. And you don't really know whether 20 these data are a mismatch or not because of 21 the relative difference in the detection 22 limits, right? 23 Well, we are looking at this data Α. 24 to see if it matches.

Page 252 1 Uh-huh. Ο. 2 And sometimes it will match and Α. 3 won't match and then we fall back to 4 the analysis that it's not in the ash in 5 accordance with the test procedure, which is at the groundwater protective standards 6 7 or slightly less. 8 Q. So the question I'm asking is since 9 the leachate in the groundwater could have 10 the same concentration of arsenic given these 11 numbers, you can't really say for sure it's 12 that it's a mismatch; is that right? 13 Α. Well, if you don't have the data, 14 you can't say it is a match either. 15 Right. You can't say that it's a Q. 16 match and you can't say that it's a mismatch. 17 I would call it unknown; is that fair? 18 Α. Okay. 19 Ο. Yet you coded it as a mismatch, I 20 believe and --21 Α. Yes, I understand that. And as 22 mentioned, I think I'm confused. I have to 23 go back and look at it. 24 So is that potentially an error in Q.
Page 253 1 your table? 2 Α. It's possible it's an error, yes. I have to look at it. I am confused. 3 4 And to generalize, I'd like to 0. 5 consider a hypothetical situation. You have 6 a sample of water with eight micrograms of 7 arsenic per liter and you subject it to the 8 leach test, you subject it to the groundwater 9 test, same sample of water, that would be a 10 match? If you analyzed it and found the 11 Α. same constituents, you mean? 12 13 Q. If you took the -- yeah. If you 14 took one sample of water that you knew had 15 eight micrograms per liter of arsenic and 16 subjected it to both tests, you would get 17 the same result and you would find the match, 18 theoretically, right? 19 Α. I'm sorry. Are you saying take the same water and test it to -- I'm sorry. 20 21 Please repeat it. 22 Say you took a gallon of water --Q. 23 Α. Yes. 24 -- with eight micrograms of arsenic Q.

Page 254 1 per liter, you took some of it and you tested 2 it with a leach test that was used for Table 3 5-1 and you took some of it and you tested it 4 with a groundwater test that was used by 5 Midwest Generation in 2014, that should be a match with the same sample of water, right? 6 7 Α. Well, the leach test adds the ash 8 material to it and then shakes it. So if 9 there's arsenic, you'd be adding to it. But 10 there's absolutely no arsenic, then you would have a similar concentration. 11 12 Okay. And the leach test would not Q. 13 be able to detect that amount of arsenic; is 14 that right? 15 Not necessarily, but I would have Α. to look at that detection levels that were 16 run at the time. 17 18 I think we just looked at the leach Ο. 19 test in Table 5-1 and it looked like it was --20 I think you said eight? Α. 21 I said eight micrograms. Q. 22 Then it would be above what Yes. Α. 23 the -- it would be detected in the groundwater 24 test and I would have to look --

February 2, 2018

Page 255 1 And not --Ο. 2 Α. Because you're converting from 3 milligrams to micrograms. It's slightly 4 confusing. 5 Ο. Okay. I'm sorry. So let's just -- I'll stick 6 7 with micrograms. So eight micrograms in the 8 sample you're testing, with the leach test 9 table, would you be able to detect that? I would have to look at the detection 10 Α. limits. 11 12 Q. Sure. Go ahead and look. The Yep. 13 leach test is in Table 5-1 of your report. 14 Α. It's 50 micrograms -- net micrograms, 15 which is greater than eight. 16 So that leach test would not be able Ο. 17 to detect the arsenic; is that right? 18 Α. That's correct. 19 Ο. The groundwater test would be able 20 to detect the arsenic; is that correct? 21 Α. Yes. 22 So the exact same sample of water, Q. 23 you would end up coding that as a mismatch 24 using your method; is that right?

February 2, 2018

Page 256 1 Yes, and it wouldn't. Α. 2 Ο. Is that an error in your method? 3 MS. NIJMAN: Objection, same 4 You are giving the impression error. 5 that there was -- well, I'm speaking. 6 Objection, misstates the testimony. 7 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, 8 overruled. He can answer if he is able. 9 BY THE WITNESS: I said what I said. 10 Α. It may be. BY MR. RUSS: 11 12 Okay. To generalize beyond arsenic, Q. 13 this kind of -- this kind of phenomenon, when 14 you detect a constituent in groundwater, but 15 not a leach test, even if groundwater and the 16 leachate has the same concentration, it's 17 possible whatever the groundwater test is, it's 18 more sensitive than the leach test; isn't that 19 right? 20 That's -- yes, it could be. Α. 21 Do you know how many of the results Q. 22 in your Table 5-5 might be affected by that 23 circumstance? 24 Α. I would have to add them, but you

February 2, 2018

Page 257 1 know that there would be quite a few. 2 Thanks. Ο. Okay. Presuming, in fact, I'm -- I'm a 3 Α. 4 little confused. If it's correct, there would 5 be errors in the table. 6 Okay. Now, in your deposition, you Q. 7 said that boron is a good indicator of coal 8 ash contamination; is that right? 9 In the deposition, I have probably Α. 10 said that it was, but it's one of many. And again, to be able to prove it's from an ash, 11 you have to have more than one constituent 12 13 to make that case. 14 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Keep 15 your voice up, please, Mr. Seymour. You are 16 trailing off again at the end. Thank you. 17 BY MR. RUSS: 18 Ο. And one of the reasons that boron, 19 in particular, is a good indicator of coal 20 ash, is -- that it's often found in areas 21 contaminated by coal ash; is that right? 22 Α. Studies show that it's in the 23 leachate and it's found in the groundwater 24 also in some sites.

February 2, 2018

Page 258 1 And another reason that boron is Ο. 2 a good indicator is because it's mobile in 3 the environment; is that right? 4 Α. It moves with the water. 5 Okay. Would you call it a Ο. conservative constituent in that way? 6 7 Α. If you think it's -- conservative 8 is a relative thing. I would say that if 9 it's mobile, then it's there and with others 10 that would support it. Then it would be -it may be conservative. 11 12 Q. Okay. Are there any other indicators of coal ash with similar 13 14 characteristics? 15 I know that sulfate is one. Α. That. 16 is generally accepted. It's fairly mobile. 17 Okay. So is it safe to say boron Q. 18 and sulfate are better coal ash indicators 19 than other constituents of coal ash? 20 Α. Not necessarily. Because again, it's all what you find. They may be there, 21 22 but there may be other things also. 23 Q. Okay. I want to go back to the 24 matching analysis. I'm sorry. My outline

9

		Page 25
1	is a little bit disjointed. These questions	1090 10
2	are going to sound similar, but it's a	
3	different set of tables and different issues	
4	so bear with me.	
5	If you look at Table 5-4	
6	of your supplemental report, in the Waukegan	
7	we'll stick with Waukegan to keep it simple,	
8	I want to talk about antimony.	
9	Based on this table	
10	A. I'm sorry. Let me find Waukegan.	
11	Q. Oh, sure. I'm sorry. It's the	
12	smallest of the four.	
13	A. I found it.	
14	Q. For purposes of this table, were you	
15	treating antimony as an indicator of coal ash	
16	leachate?	
17	A. Yes.	
18	Q. How much antimony was there in the	
19	leachate that EPRI tested? You might have	
20	to look at Table 5-2 of your original report.	
21	A. Antimony?	
22	Q. Yes.	
23	A. For an ^^antonina, we found a range ir	1
24	EPRI the data	

February 2, 2018

Page 260 1 Uh-huh. Q. 2 -- of .2 to .6 micrograms per liter. Α. 3 Q. Okay. So for shorthand, we can say 4 less than one microgram? 5 Α. Okay. 6 Ο. Is that fair? 7 Not nothing, but less than 8 one microgram? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Was the groundwater test used by 0. Midwest Generation in 2014 sensitive enough 11 to detect that amount of antimony? 12 13 I don't recall. I would have to look. Α. 14 You can look at 268-P. That should Q. 15 show you. 16 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 268-P, 17 as in Patrick? 18 MR. RUSS: P, as in Patrick. BY THE WITNESS: 19 20 The results for antimony looks to be Α. less than three micrograms per unit, I believe. 21 22 I'd have to check the units. It's less than 23 three micrograms per unit. 24

February 2, 2018

Page 261 1 BY MR. RUSS: 2 Okay. That's -- the detection limit Ο. 3 was three? 4 Α. Yes. 5 So was that test sensitive enough to Ο. 6 detect the concentrations you saw in every 7 leachate? 8 Α. That doesn't look to be. 9 Q. Okay. Now, Table 5-4 shows empty 10 green cells for antimony across the board. 11 Does that mean no antimony 12 was detected in Waukegan in 2014? 13 Α. Well, we are looking at -- I apologize. It's hard to flip back and forth. 14 15 No, I know. I'm sorry about that. Q. 16 Α. We are saying that there was no 17 antimony detected at those levels and that 18 it is an indicator in coal ash. 19 Ο. Okay. So since it was reported to 20 be less than three micrograms per liter, it's 21 possible that it had one or two micrograms per 22 liter; is that right? 23 But what you're doing is you're --Α. 24 you're taking the -- again the leachate and

February 2, 2018

Page 262 1 comparing it to groundwater. The leachate test is to see if it's there, not at what 2 3 connotation. So if it's found in the 4 5 leachate, it's -- it's there. Whatever concentration that the lab is using, if it's 6 7 not there, it would be inconsistent if it's 8 in the leachate and not in the ground? 9 Q. The concentration that you saw in the leachate, which was, I believe, between 10 0.2 and 0.6 micrograms per liter --11 12 Α. Yes. 13 -- if that exact same concentration Ο. 14 was in the groundwater, that should be a match 15 according to your earlier definition of a 16 match? 17 Α. Well, it actually is. You can see 18 the level and the EPRI data has a lower detection level. 19 20 Q. Right. 21 So it is finding a more conservative Α. 22 characterization of the data than what we've 23 used in that it includes more things than what 24 we've found. And so if you look at the

	Page 263
1	groundwater data, the groundwater data is at a
2	detection level that's different. But again,
3	it's the standard detection level for the water
4	in these wells.
5	Q. Right.
6	A. It's an accepted test by the state
7	of Illinois.
8	Q. I understand.
9	The question I'm asking is
10	it's possible that the groundwater had the
11	same concentration of antimony as leachate;
12	is that right?
13	A. It's irrelevant.
14	Q. I don't believe it
15	A. It's only relevant that it's there
16	in the leachate, not at what concentration.
17	Q. Could you answer the question, please?
18	A. Repeat the question.
19	Q. Is it possible that the groundwater
20	had the same amount antimony as the leachate?
21	A. Again, it could be, but it's really
22	irrelevant.
23	Q. Okay. If it did have the same
24	concentration as the leachate, that should

February 2, 2018

Page 264 1 be a match, right? 2 Α. If the -- say if the concentration 3 and where. 4 The groundwater in the leachate were Ο. 5 the same? Well, and the concentration in 6 Α. 7 the groundwater is at a different detection. 8 Q. I'm not asking --9 Α. You have to --10 I'm simply asking if the two 0. 11 concentrations were the same, that should 12 be a match, right? If you found antimony in groundwater 13 Α. 14 and you found antimony in leachate, it would be a match. 15 16 I'm asking if the same concentration Ο. 17 of antimony exists in both the leachate and the 18 groundwater, that should be a match? 19 If they are above the detection limit Α. and you detect them, that would be a match. 20 21 The exact same concentration would Q. 22 be not a match only as a function of the 23 defection limit, is that what you're saying? 24 Α. No. I am a saying that if you find

February 2, 2018

Page 265 1 it in the coal ash and you find it in the 2 groundwater, that would be a match. 3 Q. I guess what I'm asking you is 4 isn't it possible that you wouldn't find 5 it in the groundwater because the groundwater test was not as sensitive a test as the 6 7 leachate test? 8 I'm just going MS. NIJMAN: 9 to object as to asked and answered. 10 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ι don't think so. Overruled. 11 12 BY THE WITNESS: 13 Α. So the -- please, again. Repeat the 14 question, Mr. Russ. 15 BY MR. RUSS: 16 Let me go about this a different way. Ο. 17 Let's do the same kind of scenario. 18 You have a gallon of water 19 with antimony. It has 0.6 micrograms per 20 According to the EPRI leach test, liter. 21 you would detect it. 22 I would -- 0.6 micrograms per liter Α. 23 and in the leach test used by EPRI, I think, 24 yes, the -- the level was less than one.

February 2, 2018

Page 266 1 It was a range of 0.2 to 0.6. Q. Yeah. 2 You can check, but that's -- so at 0.6, you 3 would find it in the leach test, right? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Would you find it in the groundwater Ο. 6 test? 7 Α. Six micrograms? 8 Q. 0.6. 9 The groundwater detection level is Α. established at, I believe, we said ... 10 11 Q. Three. 12 Three? Α. 13 Ο. Yes. 14 Α. And so that -- but again, the 15 groundwater detection level is a state method. 16 I understand that. Ο. 17 Α. And that you can't measure below 18 that. 19 I'm simply asking Ο. I understand. 20 whether that groundwater test would defect 21 that amount of antimony? 22 Α. The groundwater test is at a higher 23 detection level. 24 Q. Would it detect that amount of

	Page 26
1	antimony?
2	A6?
3	Q. Yes.
4	A. It would not.
5	Q. So if the exact same sample of water
6	detected in the leachate, not in the
7	groundwater, should be a match, your result
8	your method counts it as a mismatch; is that
9	right?
10	A. Well, again, I think you're missing
11	what I'm trying to say as far as the groundwater
12	test is as low as the state standard test. You
13	won't know if it's there.
14	Q. That's right.
15	A. Okay.
16	Q. Thank you.
17	A. So you can see that you can find
18	it in the lower detection level in the leachate
19	tests. So if you feel comfortable more
20	comfortable it's there, but in the state test,
21	it's at a higher level. So yeah, again, it's
22	at the level the state accepts. So you don't
23	report or test it that low.
24	Q. So you don't know whether the antimony

February 2, 2018

Page 268 1 level in the groundwater is the same as it is 2 in the leachate, but it could be; is that right? 3 Α. Overall, in a laboratory analysis, 4 there's always something that could be there, 5 but you will never know. 6 Is it possible that the groundwater Ο. 7 in the leachate has the same concentration of 8 antimony? 9 Α. It's possible. 10 And it's, therefore, possible that Ο. 11 they match? If there -- again, I keep going 12 Α. back to as you know, this is an interesting 13 14 discussion on detection levels. If it's 15 above the state detection level, and it's --16 and obviously we found it in the EPRI leachate, 17 it would be a match. 18 So I just want to make it clear Q. 19 for the record. What you're saying, I think, 20 is that it could have the exact same 21 concentration. Your approach would count 22 that as mismatch and count it against the 23 possibility of contamination; is that right? 24 Α. Again, it's a theoretical argument

February 2, 2018

Page 269 1 because you won't know in the groundwater 2 sample if it's there. 3 Ο. Is it --If it's -- if it is there and we 4 Α. 5 could measure it, then it would be there and it would be a match, but again, you can't 6 test it below the detection level. 7 8 Q. I'm simply asking if it's possible 9 it could be a match. MS. NIJMAN: Asked and answered 10 11 now. 12 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes. 13 You know, I think Mr. Seymour has answered 14 it or at least qualified his answer. So 15 you can move on now. BY MR. RUSS: 16 17 Okay. So let me just ask a slightly Q. 18 different question now. Well, let me think 19 about this for a second. 20 Let me ask it this way. The 21 leachate has less than one microgram per liter 22 of antimony, correct? 23 The leachate detection level, we're Α. 24 saying, for now is one.

February 2, 2018

Page 270 1 I don't --Q. 2 Α. It's less than that actually. 3 Q. The leachate has less that is one 4 microgram per liter, right? 5 MR. HALLORAN: You have to keep 6 your voice up, Mr. Russ. 7 MR. RUSS: Okay. 8 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank 9 you. 10 BY THE WITNESS: 11 Α. Again, I think so. I would have to 12 look. 13 BY MR. RUSS: 14 Yes. And in order for that to be Ο. 15 detected in the groundwater, it would have 16 to be at least three times higher than the 17 leachate sample; is that right? 18 Α. Again, I'm -- it's -- it's -- the 19 leachate is like a separate test in a way. 20 It's -- so it's hard to equate. If you're 21 talking about laboratory analysis, if it's 22 three times less, it would have to be three 23 times. 24 Say that again. It would have

February 2, 2018

Page 271 1 to be three times less? 2 The leachate has less than one Ο. 3 microgram per liter of antimony. In order 4 for the groundwater test to detect that 5 amount of antimony -- I'm sorry -- in order 6 for -- you'd have to have three times the 7 amount of antimony you have in leachate for 8 the groundwater test to defect it; is that 9 right? 10 Correct, but you can't assume that Α. the leachate test is the groundwater. 11 It's not the same. It's again indicating that 12 it's there or not. 13 14 Q. So the only --Α. 15 You really can't -- I don't think, 16 Mr. Russ, you can use that as a comparison. 17 Why is that? Q. 18 Α. Well, you're saying that in the 19 leachate, which is .2 or .6, you're saying 20 .1 -- 1, and the groundwater is 3, so you --21 if you're saying the an- -- the concentration 22 of leachate in the lab sample would have to 23 be three times larger to detect in groundwater, 24 it's like making a non- -- it's a non-comparison

February 2, 2018

Page 272 1 to me. 2 Q. I'm sorry. I might have misspoken. 3 The groundwater would have 4 to have three times more antimony than the 5 leachate in order for it to be detected by 6 the groundwater test; is that right? 7 Α. The groundwater -- I'm very sorry. It's difficult to track. 8 9 The groundwater concentration 10 would have to be three times larger than --Than what we saw in leachate for it 11 Q. 12 to be detected by the groundwater test that 13 Midwest Generation was using in 2014? Again, I think they are independent. 14 Α. 15 The leachate test is to see if it's there. It's to see if it's there. Once we say it's 16 17 there, then the concentration is irrelevant in 18 the laboratory leachate. It's just that it is 19 there. 20 I don't think you're answering the Q. question. 21 22 Α. Maybe I'm not understanding. Yeah. 23 I'll try harder. 24 The leachate concentration is less Q.

Page 273 1 than one microgram per liter, correct? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. The groundwater would have to have 4 at least three times that before it was detected 5 by the groundwater test that Midwest Generation 6 was using in 2014 --7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. -- is that right? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Okay. Thank you. Q. 11 For the groundwater to have 12 three times more antimony than the leachate, 13 given what we've seen earlier that there's 14 some attenuation and it's unlikely to increase 15 from the source to a downgradient receptor 16 well, it's impossible for that leachate to 17 ever be detected in a downgradient groundwater 18 well using those tests; is that right? 19 MS. NIJMAN: Object to overbreadth 20 and ever. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm 21 22 sorry, Ms. Nijman? 23 MS. NIJMAN: Object to overbreadth 24 and the word ever.

	Page 274
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Can	
you rephrase, please?	
BY MR. RUSS:	
Q. If a source of coal ash like the	
one you sampled or the one that was sampled	
for the purposes of Table 5-2 in your report	
has less than one microgram per liter of	
antimony, would a downgradient well ever	
have enough antimony to be detected by the	
groundwater test that Midwest Generation	
was using in 2014 from that source?	
A. You know, it it boils down to	
fundamentals. Okay. I I think there's	
maybe a misunderstanding of the fundamentals	
detect how we used the data.	
In my view, when we take	
the data from the groundwater, which state	
the method of detection level, right? It's	
low. It's less than the groundwater standard.	
I'm talking about the method of detection	
level in the laboratory. And even though the	
laboratory test that was used by the EPRI	
data to test the leachate, the detection	
levels aren't an important part.	
	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Can you rephrase, please? BY MR. RUSS: Q. If a source of coal ash like the one you sampled or the one that was sampled for the purposes of Table 5-2 in your report has less than one microgram per liter of antimony, would a downgradient well ever have enough antimony to be detected by the groundwater test that Midwest Generation was using in 2014 from that source? A. You know, it it boils down to fundamentals. Okay. I I think there's maybe a misunderstanding of the fundamentals detect how we used the data. In my view, when we take the data from the groundwater, which state the method of detection level, right? It's low. It's less than the groundwater standard. I'm talking about the method of detection level in the laboratory. And even though the laboratory test that was used by the EPRI data to test the leachate, the detection levels aren't an important part.

February 2, 2018

Page 275 1 It's just whether it is there. 2 And so it doesn't matter from Point A to B in 3 the groundwater. It's just whether or not it 4 is present. It's not -- concentration is not 5 the point. If you don't -- and if you have 6 groundwater less than the EPRI -- less than 7 the method test, you're not going to -- you 8 shouldn't -- you shouldn't detect it anywhere 9 I mean, you know, downgradient if, in else. 10 fact, it increases downgradient, as we talked 11 about theoretically. 12 Thank you. And that's exactly what Q. 13 I was trying to elicit. 14 So you shouldn't see it at 15 a concentration that's greater than it is in 16 the leachate in a downgradient well? 17 Α. Again, it has nothing to do with 18 the concentration of leachate. If it migrates 19 and it is diluted as it moves, then it would be less than the detection level that we use 20 in groundwater. It's still higher than this 21 22 theoretical concentration that you're talking 23 about. 24 So you wouldn't expect to see it in Q.

February 2, 2018

Page 276 1 a downgradient well and yet when you found it 2 in leachate and not in a downgradient well, 3 which is what you're saying you would expect, 4 you counted that as a mismatch; is that right? 5 It doesn't matter upgradient or Α. downgradient, if it's there, it's not the 6 7 concentration if it's detected if the detection level is the same in both of these wells. 8 9 But the detection level is not the Ο. 10 same, I think you've testified to? The concentration in the groundwater --11 Α. 12 excuse me. 13 The detection levels in the 14 groundwater, I thought, are the same in the 15 laboratory. I mean, we looked at one in the 16 lab, right? I believe it was one result --17 one detection level we looked at for the 18 groundwater. 19 Ο. You can look at the summary tables 20 for the groundwater data in that report and 21 you will see that it's consistently reported 22 at less than 0.003 milligrams per liter? 23 Just three, right, three micrograms. Α. 24 Q. And you wouldn't detect the leachate

Page 277 1 with that groundwater test is what we've 2 established. 3 Α. But it -- you cannot equate what's 4 in the leachate as being put in the groundwater. 5 Okay? It's just what is detected in the 6 leachate that's important, not the absolute 7 concentration. 8 Q. So are you suggesting then that 9 the groundwater might have much more of a constituent than the leachate? 10 MS. NIJMAN: Objection, 11 12 mischaracterizes his testimony. 13 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm 14 sorry? 15 MS. NIJMAN: Objection, 16 mischaracterizes his testimony. 17 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: He 18 can answer if he is able. 19 BY THE WITNESS: 20 Whether -- if it -- if it's three Α. times higher than what's -- what we detect 21 22 in the lab, it's irrelevant. It's either 23 detected in the groundwater at those detection 24 levels or not. If it's less than that, it's

February 2, 2018

Page 278 1 considered as a non-detect. So even though 2 it could be three times, it's irrelevant. 3 BY MR. RUSS: 4 Ο. I'm going to move on for now. Okay. 5 This matching analysis in 6 Tables 5-4 and 5-5, have you ever used this 7 before. 8 Α. I do groundwater comparisons that 9 match before and it's a common tool and we 10 use it in these comparisons at all my sites. 11 Have you ever used this particular Q. 12 quantitative method? 13 Again, this is a method that looks Α. 14 at the numbers and accumulates a percentage 15 and presents a percentage. I have not used 16 that presentation before. 17 Okay. Can you name anyone else who Q. 18 has done it this way before? 19 MS. NIJMAN: I'm sorry. Vaque. 20 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Can 21 you rephrase, please? 22 MR. RUSS: Okay. Sure. Yeah. 23 BY MR. RUSS: 24 Are you aware of anyone else using Q.

February 2, 2018

Page 279 1 this particular quantitative method before? 2 MS. NIJMAN: Vague. 3 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: He can answer if he is able. 4 5 BY THE WITNESS: 6 Α. I mean, it implies a very broad 7 understanding of what all the industry does. So I think it's a little bit -- I would 8 9 answer no, but I think it's -- there's a lot of ideas out there and I don't know if 10 I could know. 11 12 BY MR. RUSS: 13 Q. And are you aware of this particular 14 quantitative method where you compare a source 15 characteristic to groundwater data, calculate 16 a percentage of matching that has ever been 17 published in a journal or academic publication? 18 Α. I don't know. 19 I'm going to MS. NIJMAN: 20 object to the form of the question 21 as mischaracterizing. He said a 22 percentage only. 23 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. 24 Mr. Russ?

	Page 280
1	MR. RUSS: I can reask the
2	question.
3	BY MR. RUSS:
4	Q. Are you aware of anyone are you
5	has this particular quantitative method ever
6	been published in any journal or academic
7	publication?
8	A. It's a similar question that you
9	asked before, if I knew of anybody who had
10	done it. There's lots of publications.
11	I've not read all the publications. So I
12	don't know if I even if I say I don't
13	know, that doesn't mean it hasn't been used.
14	Q. Are you aware of any?
15	A. As I said, I don't know. But it's
16	a little unfair because there's lots of
17	journals and I've not read all the journals.
18	Q. I'm just asking if you're aware
19	of any publications
20	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I
21	think he said no.
22	MR. RUSS: I'll move on.
23	BY MR. RUSS:
24	Q. Okay. Let's talk about your temporal

Page 281 1 trend testing results, which I believe is 2 Exhibit 906. 3 Α. Yes. 4 Are these the same methods that you 0. 5 used to derive to your trend results that 6 were in the demonstrative exhibits we were 7 looking at earlier today? 8 Α. The method is the same, but the database is different. 9 10 All right. Without getting --Ο. 11 well, actually how did you calculate the significance of trend line? 12 13 The significance in the trend line Α. is in the slope and internally there is a 14 15 calculation of whether it is statistically valid, if you will. And that's why if it's 16 17 above a certain slope, and I can't remember 18 the percentage, but if it's above a certain 19 slope, then you can say it's increasing or 20 decreasing. But if it's less than that, you 21 can't tell. I don't recall the sensitivity, 22 if you will. 23 Q. Where did you discover that method 24 of testing the significance of the trend line?

		Page	282
1	A. Well, it's the the calculation		
2	is done within Excel and really, you know, I		
3	had I had input into Excel and ran the		
4	calculation. I don't recall how the		
5	significance was tested.		
6	Q. Did Excel calculate the significance		
7	for you?		
8	A. I don't recall.		
9	Q. Did you derive this method of		
10	determining the statistical significance		
11	of a trend test yourself?		
12	A. It's a test that I had one of my		
13	engineers input for me and he reviewed it,		
14	but I don't that's why I don't recall		
15	sensitivity of the test.		
16	Q. Okay.		
17	A. I do know as I said, we concluded		
18	that if it's above certain slope, it was		
19	you know, again we concluded it was more		
20	statistically valid as either increasing or		
21	decreasing if it's less than a certain slope.		
22	If it was within a certain sensitivity and		
23	you couldn't tell, you couldn't tell.		
24	Q. Okay. If you I'm sorry to do		

		Page	283
1	this. I'm not sure exactly how to find what		
2	I'm looking for now, but the trend test		
3	results you had for Powerton in your		
4	demonstrative this morning was hard for me		
5	to read the page numbers. So I don't really		
6	know where they are. I could try to make out		
7	the page number to get there. It looks like		
8	it might be 42 or 43. I'm sorry.		
9	A. The summary, I think, is on Page 43.		
10	Q. Yeah. That's the one I'm interested		
11	in.		
12	So on the summary table for		
13	boron, how many wells showed s decrease in		
14	trend?		
15	A. For boron, six wells showed decrease.		
16	Q. Out of how many total wells?		
17	A. I believe there's 16.		
18	Q. So most wells do not show a decline		
19	in boron over time; is that fair?		
20	A. Well, you're looking at increasing,		
21	decreasing and no conclusion.		
22	Q. Right.		
23	A. And so the question is how many are	•	
24	Q. Most of the wells are not decreasing;		

February 2, 2018

Page 284 1 is that right? 2 Α. For boron --3 MS. NIJMAN: Objection as to 4 The chart says what it says. vaque. 5 BY THE WITNESS: There are six wells out of 16 and --6 Α. 7 THE COURT REPORTER: Wait, sir. 8 You have to let the hearing officer make 9 his determination. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 10 Could 11 you rephrase, Mr. Russ, to make it a little 12 clearer? Thanks. 13 MR. RUSS: Sure. BY MR RUSS: 14 15 For boron, you have increasing, Q. 16 decreasing and no conclusion, right? 17 Α. Yes. 18 There are six wells that are Q. 19 decreasing, right? 20 Α. Yes. 21 There are 16 wells total? Q. 22 Α. Yes. 23 So there are ten wells that are not Q. 24 decreasing; is that right?

February 2, 2018

Page 285 1 They are not decreasing or there is Α. 2 no conclusion. 3 Q. They're not --You are correct. Six wells are not --4 Α. 5 ten wells are not decreasing. 6 Ο. So most of the wells are not 7 decreasing; is that right? 8 Α. More than half. 9 Q. And for sulfate, how many wells are 10 decreasing? It says no wells, so no wells. 11 Α. 12 Q. Okay. None of the wells at Powerton 13 show decreasing in sulfate trend; is that right? 14 Α. I'm sorry? 15 None of the wells at Powerton show a Q. 16 decreasing sulfate concentration? 17 Α. Or there is no conclusion, yes. 18 In general, for Powerton, Waukegan Q. 19 and Will County, most wells do not show a 20 decrease; is that right? That's very broad. Show a decrease 21 Α. 22 in what? 23 Q. So let's -- I'll do it one-by-one. 24 So for Powerton, you have a

L

	Page 286
1	bullet below the table we're looking at here.
2	It says that overall, it appears the groundwater
3	concentrations are neither increasing nor
4	decreasing; is that right?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. A similar statement appears for
7	Waukegan and Will County, to the best of your
8	recollection?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. You were asked whether you could
11	describe these trends as "not getting worse."
12	Do you remember that?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Would it also be fair to say that
15	the data are not getting better?
16	A. Well, when you look at all of the
17	data, there's a lot of nonconclusion data.
18	And so that over time, if may prove one way
19	or the other whether it's increasing or
20	decreasing.
21	I'm sorry. Using this data
22	set in its total, not again, getting lines
23	that you have, you could say there are wells
24	here that are not decreasing and there are

February 2, 2018

Page 287 1 some that are not -- there's no conclusion -conclusions and there's some that are 2 3 increasing. 4 So in the overall data, I 5 mean, you can't take one line item independently 6 to say, oh, look, all those wells are not --7 Q. Right. 8 Α. -- down. You have to look at all of the data. 9 10 I understand. So I'm just wondering Ο. 11 because it -- you just said for Powerton, 12 Waukegan and Will County, your conclusion was 13 that groundwater concentrations were neither 14 increasing nor decreasing. 15 Earlier today, you were 16 asked to generalize whether it was fair 17 to say that the data are not getting worse 18 and you said yes. 19 That's an interesting observation. Α. 20 Thank you. It's also not getting -- it's not 21 getting either -- it's not increasing or 22 decreasing or worse or better. 23 Q. Okay. Thank you. 24 Now, in Exhibit 902, which

February 2, 2018

Page 288 1 I think we're in -- oh, I'm sorry. 902 is 2 the ASTM test. Let's look at Exhibit 902, 3 Section 5. 902. 4 Α. 5 It's Bates Page 5026 -- sorry -- 50260. Ο. 50260? 6 Α. 7 Q. Yes. This section -- did you say that 8 you helped to write this? 9 It was written before I helped to Α. revise it. 10 11 Q. Okay. Thanks. 12 This section recommends 13 using certain screening procedures or analysis 14 techniques when using ash for beneficial use; 15 is that right? Allow me to look at it for a 16 Α. 17 little bit. I haven't read it in a while. 18 Q. Sure. Feel free. 19 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Let's 20 take a break. We'll be back in 15. Thank 21 you. 22 (Whereupon, after a short 23 break was had, the following 24 proceedings were held
February 2, 2018

Page 289 1 accordingly.) 2 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We 3 are back on the record at approximately 4 3:30. We have Mr. Seymour still on the 5 stand. We have Mr. Abel Russ crossing him. 6 I might add our chairperson 7 was in the room earlier, I think she's 8 coming back, Katie Papadimitriu, and she 9 asked if everybody could speak up because 10 they are really having a hard time hearing. 11 MR. RUSS: Okay. 12 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ι 13 apologized about the acoustics. Anyway 14 just keep that in mind. Thank you. 15 BY MR. RUSS: 16 Ο. Mr. Seymour, we were looking at 17 Exhibit 903 -- 902 -- I'm sorry -- and Bates 18 50260. 19 Section 5 here recommends 20 screening procedures or analysis techniques 21 for using CCP as beneficial fill; is that 22 right? 23 Yeah. It's got -- I'll call it Α. 24 process, a step-wide process to evaluate

Page 290 1 materials, yes. 2 Is the environmental flow chart on Ο. 3 the next page part of that process? 4 Α. Yes, it is. 5 Okay. Regarding the ash found Ο. 6 outside of the ash ponds at Joliet, have 7 you seen any evidence that the ash was 8 placed using these screening procedures? 9 Α. This procedure became much after 10 these were replaced. They may have had some idea, but I don't really know. 11 12 Q. Okay. And I guess that goes for 13 all the sites. These procedures are more 14 recent than the placement of ash at the 15 sites? 16 Α. Yes. This was originally approved 17 previously. I don't recall the original date. 18 It was more than ten years ago. So I don't 19 recall the original date. So it's been around, 20 but probably not as long as this ash fill was 21 placed. 22 So it's clear for the record, Q. 23 have you seen any documentation of the 24 decision-making process replacing the ash

February 2, 2018

Page 291 1 at the four sites -- this -- this historic 2 ash areas we're talking about? 3 MS. NIJMAN: Objection, to 4 the vague as to --5 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes. 6 Can we rephrase. I'm sorry. 7 BY MR. RUSS: 8 Q. I'll go site-by-site. 9 At Joliet, have you seen 10 any documentation documenting the 11 decision-making process for placing the ash 12 in any of the historic ash areas of Joliet? 13 Α. I have not seen --14 MS. NIJMAN: Objection, vague, 15 historic ash areas. Which? Which ones 16 are we talking about? 17 MR. RUSS: I could ask about 18 any of them. I could say have you seen 19 documentation for any? I'll do it that 20 way. 21 MS. NIJMAN: Yes, but -- I'm 22 sorry. May I ask? 23 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Go 24 ahead.

February 2, 2018

Page 292 1 MS. NIJMAN: My concern is that 2 Mr. Seymour has also testified as to --3 and Dr. Kunkel has testified as to wells 4 with data, wells with ash, area berms with 5 ash. Are you talking about those or 6 are you talking about the northeast 7 area or what are you talking about? 8 MR. RUSS: I could just say 9 outside of the ponds for the whole 10 That might make it easier. site. 11 MS. NIJMAN: That would be 12 a very broad question. 13 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: That still will be a broad question. Can you 14 15 kind of zero it in? I understand what 16 Ms. Nijman is getting at. 17 MR. RUSS: Okay. Well, I'll go location-by-location. 18 19 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. 20 BY MR. RUSS: 21 At Joliet, have you seen any Q. 22 documentation documenting the decision-making 23 process for placing ash in northeast ash 24 landfill?

February 2, 2018

Page 293 1 In that alleged former ash landfill, Α. I have not seen documentation. 2 3 Ο. How about the southwest ash landfill? Well, that's the fill area that was 4 Α. 5 sampled by KPRG. I don't recall how that was --6 how they decided to place it there. 7 And are you not aware of any other Q. 8 discreet fill areas at Joliet, are you? 9 Α. It's not been identified. 10 Right. At Powerton, have you seen Ο. 11 any documentation related to the decision-making 12 process behind placing fill in the area of the 13 ponds outside of the ponds, if you know what I 14 mean? 15 I have not seen any documentation. Α. 16 In Waukegan, have you seen any Q. 17 documentation at all relating to that former 18 slag fly ash disposal area? 19 Well, they called it a former, as Α. 20 you may recall, but other than the ENSR document 21 that says it was a former slag fill area or 22 something like that, I don't recall seeing any 23 documentation. 24 Just for the record, I was asking Q.

February 2, 2018

Page 294 1 about the southwest area, Joliet 29, and 2 you mentioned that area had been sampled by 3 KPRG. 4 Just so we're all clear on 5 the record, do you remember where the area 6 that KPRG sampled at Joliet was? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. Where was that? 9 I could find the map maybe. It's Α. 10 southwest of the pond area, if I remember that right. 11 12 Q. Okay. And --13 MS. NIJMAN: You can look at 14 your --15 BY MR. RUSS: 16 You might want to look at the map. Ο. 17 MS. NIJMAN: In your Exhibit 18 901, the map is there, Page 23. 19 BY THE WITNESS: 20 I've got it. It's the area, yes, Α. 21 southwest of the pond area for Joliet. It 22 says former ash placement area sampled in 23 2005. 24

Page 295 1 BY MR. RUSS: 2 Okay. And are you aware of any Ο. 3 other ash placement areas further southwest 4 of that Joliet site? 5 On this map, it says we're in the Α. 6 very southwest corner of the site. It says 7 alleged former ash placement area. 8 Q. Have you seen any documentation at all 9 related to that area? 10 Α. I have not. 11 And at Will County, have you seen Q. 12 any documentation related to the decision-making 13 process for placing ash outside of the ponds at 14 Will County? 15 MS. NIJMAN: Could you maybe 16 reference the maps or point to what you 17 are talking about? 18 BY MR. RUSS: 19 Ο. Are you aware of ash in the boring 20 logs at Will County? 21 Α. Yes, I've seen the boring logs and 22 there's ash in some of the borings. 23 Q. Have you seen any documentation of 24 the decision-making process behind placing ash

		Page	296
1	there?		
2	A. I don't recall seeing it.		
3	Q. Okay. Thank you. That's it for that		
4	line of questioning.		
5	So you said that the risk of		
6	contamination from inactive landfills is		
7	less than from active impoundments because		
8	the inactive landfills don't have a driving		
9	head of surface water; is that right?		
10	A. That's one of the elements that		
11	has been looked at.		
12	Q. I think you also said that groundwater		
13	can create a head?		
14	A. Excuse me. Groundwater it's a		
15	different direction, if you will. It flows		
16	horizontally from a higher head or higher		
17	elevation to a lower elevation. So that		
18	head moves the water laterally. That's the		
19	head and if that doesn't exist, it wouldn't		
20	if there's no ash, it wouldn't put any head		
21	on it.		
22	Q. Right. So if you have any		
23	substance it could be ash or any substance		
24	beneath the water table, is it subjected to		

February 2, 2018

Page 297 1 a hydraulic head? 2 It would be a horizontal difference Α. 3 of pressure, yes. 4 Q. Okay. Thank you. 5 Exhibit 510, I believe, this 6 was in Maria Race's exhibit or is that Rebecca 7 Maddox? Not Race? Maddox or Gnat? 8 MS. NIJMAN: Maddox. BY MR. RUSS: 9 10 Go to Exhibit 510. Ο. I have it. 11 Α. 12 Q. Okay. Looking at Page 34311 --13 MS. NIJMAN: Just one second. 14 BY MR. RUSS: 15 I know this is just a title page, Q. 16 but 34311, begins with Attachment B-1; is 17 that right? 18 Α. Yes. It says borrow source samples. 19 Ο. Do you know where these borrow 20 source samples -- what the borrow source was? I don't recall. Let me look to see 21 Α. 22 if there is anything in here. This is the 23 construction documentation transmittal for 24 south ash pond two liner replacement from NRT

February 2, 2018

Page 298 1 to Midwest Generation, July 18, 2014. 2 Ο. Uh-huh. And I don't recall. It may be in 3 Α. 4 here, Mr. Russ, but I -- I don't recall where 5 they might have got these borrow source samples 6 from. 7 0. I don't either. Sorry. We're on the 8 same page. 9 Moving on, you said you've installed HDPE liners for hazardous waste 10 landfills; is that right? 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 In those situations, do you install Ο. 14 the HDPE on bare soil? 15 The regulations for hazardous and Α. 16 solid waste are similar in that they -- they 17 have a 60 HDPE cited in the regulation as a 18 liner. The flexible membrane liner is common 19 in RCRA. We've just been calling it a 20 geomembrane. It's HDPE. It's the same thing. 21 They put that on a -- what's required as a 22 two-foot thick compacted clay soil barrier layer 23 liner with a maximum xxx of no more than one 24 times ten to the minus seven centimeters per

		Page	299
1	second.		
2	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.		
3	If we could speak up, like I suggested before,		
4	we have a chairperson. She would like to		
5	be able to hear. You keep sliding down.		
6	Thank you.		
7	MR. RUSS: I'll stand so I can		
8	project a little bit better.		
9	BY MR. RUSS:		
10	Q. So when you've installed an HDPE		
11	liners for hazardous waste landfill, you've		
12	installed them on that compacted soil two-foot		
13	liner that you've just described?		
14	A. I've used that on hazardous and		
15	solid and I've also put it as a cover for		
16	solid waste at Superfund sites where the		
17	bottom two-foot layer was not required.		
18	Q. You put the HDPE or the clay as the		
19	cover?		
20	A. Thank you. It's the HDPE that was		
21	placed without the two-foot clay.		
22	Q. Oh, I see. As a cover?		
23	A. As a cover.		
24	Q. Have you ever installed a hazardous		

February 2, 2018

Page 300 1 waste landfill below the water table? 2 The one that I did -- two that I did Α. 3 did not go below the water table. 4 Do you recall how high above the water 0. 5 table they were? 6 Α. I don't recall. It was awhile ago. 7 We talked about you did a risk Q. 8 analysis in your report, right? 9 We did to assess the water surrounding Α. the site. 10 11 Q. And you mentioned receptors? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Can you say what a receptor is? Ο. 14 Α. Well, the water quality criteria and 15 the water quality standards relate to the 16 animals and benthic organisms so on that live 17 in the water and also form an exposure to 18 people as in bathing, for example. 19 Q. Okay. 20 Recreational use. Α. 21 Q. Okay. Does the presence or absence 22 of receptors affect the degree to which a 23 potential source of contamination leaches 24 into the groundwater?

February 2, 2018

Page 301 1 Α. The groundwater infiltration is 2 separate from the receptor. What we did, 3 as I mentioned, we looked at what was in 4 the groundwater as though it was in the surface 5 water. 6 Q. Right. Thank you. 7 Now, on Slide 25 of your 8 demonstrative, so Exhibit 902, 901, right, Slide 25? 9 10 Α. Yes. 11 The heading of this chart says, Q. 12 "Updated Temporal Trend Testing Groundwater 13 Concentrations for Site-Specific Indicators 14 of Ash in Ponds," right? 15 Α. Yes. 16 One of the constituents you have in Ο. 17 this table is manganese, right? 18 Α. Yes, I believe it is. 19 Ο. All right. Do you believe the 20 manganese is an indicator of --21 Α. Well, Mr. Russ, you pointed out 22 something helpful. I think it would have been 23 fairer to say what was found in groundwater, 24 but we didn't mean to imply that it was an

	F	age	302
1	indicator. So that is that's not correct.		
2	Q. Okay. So the manganese trend test		
3	results are not relevant to your conclusion;		
4	is that right?		
5	A. No. It is relevant because, as we		
6	talked about earlier, there is manganese		
7	there. We haven't identified specifically		
8	where it's from, but we're still assessing		
9	it because it's still there and we want to		
10	assess it.		
11	Q. Okay.		
12	A. And so this data looks at all the		
13	groundwater data and not whether there's a		
14	source or not.		
15	Q. Right. Your opinion is that the		
16	manganese that you've seen from these sites		
17	is not coming from the coal ash; is that		
18	right?		
19	MS. NIJMAN: Objection,		
20	misstates, mischaracterizes.		
21	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: He		
22	can answer if he is able.		
23	BY THE WITNESS:		
24	A. Manganese is one of the indicators		

		Page	303
1	that we have found in the ash. So again,		
2	it's like one of the we look at the other		
3	indicators and then we also as we said, we		
4	looked at the EPRI data to corroborate.		
5	BY MR RUSS:		
6	Q. And you just said you didn't find it		
7	in ash?		
8	A. It was not detected in the test that		
9	we ran.		
10	Q. Right. So did the trends in manganese		
11	then have any bearing on the degree to which		
12	coal ash continues to leach into the groundwater		
13	at these sites?		
14	A. As I said, there's presence of some		
15	ash constituents in the groundwater and if		
16	you're looking for if it's getting better		
17	or worse, so to speak, that's valid to look		
18	at. That's like any water, it's conservative		
19	looking at those water quality criteria.		
20	Q. Okay.		
21	A. Or water quality trends. Excuse me.		
22	Q. Just so it's clear on the record,		
23	for Powerton, the comparable table to what we		
24	were just looking at in Joliet would be on		

February 2, 2018

Page 304 1 Page -- oh, boy. 2 MS. NIJMAN: Page 43. 3 MR. RUSS: Forty-three. Thank 4 you very much. 5 BY MR. RUSS: 6 Q. Okay. How many of the wells at 7 Powerton show a decreasing trend in manganese? Five wells show a decreasing trend 8 Α. 9 in manganese. 10 How many are either increasing or --Ο. 11 neither increasing or decreasing? 12 For manganese? Α. 13 Ο. Uh-huh. 14 Α. Eight. 15 How many are increasing? Q. 16 Α. Three. 17 So of these total wells, 11 are not Q. 18 decreasing, is that fair to say? 19 Again, that's a mathematically fair Α. 20 statement with the caveat that no conclusion 21 is no conclusion. Statistically, you can't 22 tell. So maybe. 23 Q. Fair enough. 24 And Waukegan is Slide 55.

February 2, 2018

Page 305 1 Α. Okay. 2 Three wells are decreasing in Ο. 3 manganese; is that right? 4 Α. Yes. Three wells are decreasing. 5 Five wells are neither increasing Ο. 6 nor decreasing? 7 Α. Yes, with the same comment I had 8 before, you don't know if it's decreasing 9 or increasing. 10 Q. Right. One well is increasing? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. So six of the wells are not decreasing, 13 according to your statistical methods; is that 14 right? 15 Again, mathematically, that is correct, Α. but with five wells, there is no conclusion. 16 17 Q. Okay. 18 Α. They all may be very close to 19 decreasing. You just don't know. 20 Right. Then I just want to do the Q. same for Will County just to get it complete. 21 22 That's Slide 71, I believe. 23 Α. Okay. 24 Here, at least seven of the ten Q.

February 2, 2018

Page 306 1 wells for manganese show either increased or 2 no conclusion; is that right? That's correct. Four wells are 3 Α. increasing and three wells are decreasing 4 5 and three wells have no conclusion. 6 Ο. Okay. Thank you. 7 Slide 47 in your -- in the 8 same exhibit, it's very close to the end here. 9 Right. Okay. I believe you said on the record 10 that the average groundwater level was several 11 feet below the pond bottom; is that right? 12 Α. I believe so, yes. 13 And how many feet exactly is it Ο. 14 between the average groundwater elevation 15 and the pond bottom here? 16 Α. For the east pond, which is the one 17 closest to the lake, and the groundwater, which is a little lower, it is three and a half feet 18 19 below. 20 Okay. And the other? Q. 21 Α. For the west ash pond, the elevation 22 of the water -- groundwater is 583 feet and it 23 is two and a half feet below the bottom of the 24 pond.

Page 307 1 Okay. And for the record, we are Ο. 2 looking at a picture that might not be clear 3 on the record, but the pond bottom elevation 4 that you're referring to is the top of the 5 liner, correct? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Can you estimate the elevation of Q. 8 the HDPE layer at the bottom of the liner? 9 Α. It would be elevated -- it's an 10 18-inch thick layer below 585.5. That would make it 584.0 feet. 11 12 So for -- that would be one or two Q. 13 feet above the average groundwater elevation 14 depending on the pond? 15 Relative to the geomembrane of the Α. 16 pond to the groundwater elevation. 17 Q. Okay. Thank you. 18 Regarding the General Boiler 19 site at Waukegan, you were looking at an 20 exhibit -- an attachment to an exhibit that 21 I think you described as a citizen website. 22 Do you remember that? 23 Α. I believe so, yes. I remember that. 24 Q. That discussed potential ash fill

February 2, 2018

Page 308 1 area at the General Boiler site? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. And I think you said it's not the 4 same as having a consultants report? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Q. Can you explain why? 7 Α. Well, it's the internet. It's a 8 website. So it has the -- often general 9 information, but to me, it looked as though 10 they quoted something from a report. As we know, there were active studies in the '90s 11 12 looking at that area. 13 Ο. What would a consultant's report 14 have provided you that would have been an 15 improvement over what you were looking at? 16 Probably some field information Α. 17 that would classify it as flash. 18 Q. The extent of it, I would assume? 19 Often, yes, but not necessarily. Α. 20 They might have just seen an outline, for 21 example, and made a characterization from an 22 observation. 23 Q. Okay. So then I take it you have 24 not seen any consultant reports for the

Page 309 1 General Boiler site? 2 Α. I have seen the reports done by a combination of companies back in the '90s 3 4 and carrying forward, and with ongoing 5 monitoring of those areas. 6 Ο. Did any of those reports mention 7 the national landfill? 8 Α. They didn't call it out as a --9 I'm not sure if that citizen report called it an ash landfill or ash area. 10 I don't remember. 11 Q. Yes. 12 We did see evidence of slab and Α. cinders and a lot of old fill and those old 13 borings and we know that they're an old 14 15 facility, over 100 years old, and burning coal was very common. We also know, you 16 17 know General Boiler, same thing. If you 18 need heat, often coal was used. So we did see evidence of, I'll call it, some fill 19 20 that was mixed with other fill. 21 Okay. Let's turn to Slide 61 Q. 22 in the demonstrative exhibit. This is a 23 Will County diagram. 24 Α. Yes.

Page 310 1 What is the el vacation of the Ο. 2 bottom of this liner if you can estimate 3 that? 4 Α. The --5 Ο. I'm sorry. The bottom of the 6 Poz-o-Pac layer. The bottom of the Poz-o-Pac 7 layer would be three and a half feet below 8 the bottom of the pond, is that what you're 9 asking? I think so, yes. One, two, three 10 Α. 11 and a half, yes. 12 And so what elevation would that be? Q. 13 579.0. Α. 14 Okay. And that's at least a foot Q. 15 lower than the average groundwater elevations 16 for these ponds; is that right? 17 Α. 579, yes, it is. 18 Okay. This diagram doesn't show it, Q. 19 but I just want to make sure. 20 Is there a drainage layer in 21 these ponds? 22 Not to my knowledge. Α. 23 Q. And this diagram says that it 24 represents south ponds two and three. This

Page 311 1 is not a representation of ponds 1-North and 2 1-South, correct? 3 Α. We did put it at that way. I know 4 that they are Poz-o-Pac lined, but I didn't 5 put it on a diagram because they're inactive We did focus on that. 6 ponds. 7 Okay. Two more questions. Q. 8 You mentioned some updated 9 calculations about hydrostatic uplift at Will 10 County. 11 Do you remember that? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Are those calculations in the record Q. anywhere? 14 15 Α. No. 16 Q. Okay. 17 Α. I could review them for you if you 18 want me to state them for the record. 19 That's okay. I think what you Ο. No. 20 said earlier was good enough. 21 MR. RUSS: May I have a moment 22 to confer with counsel? 23 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Sure. 24 (Brief pause.)

February 2, 2018

Page 312 1 MR. RUSS: That's all I have. 2 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We are 3 back on the record. 4 Mr. Russ, that's all you 5 have? 6 That's all I have. MR. RUSS: 7 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: A11 8 right. You lied. You said 30 minutes. It's been 23 minutes. 9 10 Ms. Nijman, do you need 11 a minute? 12 MS. NIJMAN: I can get started. 13 I might take a minute at the end. 14 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. 15 ΕΧΑΜΙΝΑΤΙΟΝ REDIRECT 16 by Ms. Nijman 17 Q. Mr. Seymour, you suffered through 18 a long discussion about your comparison 19 tables and Mr. Russ had you comparing 20 detection levels with the groundwater with 21 the levels -- detection levels of the leachate 22 tests. 23 Do you recall that very long 24 testimony?

		Page 313
1	A. Yes, I do.	
2	Q. What is a quantification limit?	
3	A. It's essentially the laboratory	
4	equipment has a mechanical test level. It can	
5	test down to a certain limit.	
6	Q. How is a detect limit different than	
7	quantification limit?	
8	A. Method detection limit is higher	
9	than the quantification limit and what	
10	happens in the groundwater analysis is when	
11	the laboratory runs it at a method, and if	
12	they happen to see it at a concentration	
13	less than a method detection limit, and	
14	it's above the quantification limit, they	
15	can find it, but they don't have as accurate	
16	of an understanding of that chemical. So	
17	they flag it. They mark it as an estimated	
18	number.	
19	Because above the method	
20	detection limit, it's required to have certain	
21	accuracy. Below, it's less accurate.	
22	Q. And how many lower is the	
23	quantification limit than the detect limit?	
24	A. It can be around ten times lower.	

L

		Page	314
1	So, for example, if you have a detection		
2	limit of three, the quantification limit		
3	would be .3 or lower. It depends on the		
4	specific method.		
5	Q. And are we talking about micrograms		
6	per liter?		
7	A. Excuse me. Yes. That's micrograms		
8	per liter. Mr. Russ sort of switched units		
9	on me. We're used to milligrams per liter,		
10	but he was discussing it in micrograms per		
11	liter.		
12	Q. So the quantification limit is		
13	actually the capability of the equipment to		
14	detect lower		
15	A. Yes.		
16	Q levels of constituents?		
17	A. Yes. Sorry for interrupting.		
18	Q. Oh, that's all right.		
19	What is a J value or J flag?		
20	A. That's what I was mentioning as if		
21	it's an estimated number, they will flag it		
22	with a J. We heard Mr. Gnat talk about that		
23	the other day. He would look at the data when		
24	it comes in and he would look for qualifies and		

Page 315

J is one of the qualifiers that he would look for. Q. So the groundwater data that Mr. Russ was asking you about could actually detect at a level that was the quantification limit, a much lower level, correct? Α. Yes. Q. So if we were to see constituents in the groundwater, we would still see them, just with a J flag, correct? Α. Correct. Q. And we didn't see them on any of the groundwater tables, did we? That's correct. The data reported Α. is less than basically the method of detection levels. We just commonly call them the

17 detection limit.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

24

Q. So if a value is below the detection
limit and there's no J flag, what does that
mean?
A. It means that it's present, but you

22 don't have a good understanding of the accuracy 23 of the number. It's an estimated number.

Q. And what if there's no J flag?

February 2, 2018

Page 316 1 Α. That means it's even less than the 2 quantification limit. So if there's no J flag, 3 it's less than the quantification limit. 4 Ο. All the data -- the groundwater 5 data Mr. Russ was asking you about below 6 the detection limit that has no J flag 7 would actually be the quantification limit? 8 Α. Yes, it would be. As we normally 9 do in the practice, you report it at the method 10 detect limit because that's the standard way of reporting, but as you said, if it would have 11 12 been found in Mr. Gnat's data, it would have 13 been flagged as a J and you would see. It would 14 not say less than a number. It would just have 15 the number with a J after it. 16 Starting out earlier this afternoon Ο. 17 when Mr. Russ was talking about your curriculum 18 vitae, you have -- I think you said in your 19 resume, your CV, 40 plus years of experience? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. And do you list every project you've 22 ever worked on in your CV? 23 Α. No. 24 Q. That would be a long CV, wouldn't it?

February 2, 2018

Page 317 1 Yes. And even what I put in my CV was Α. 2 very abbreviated. As you could see, one of the 3 projects I talked about was a very large project 4 and I just put a very short description in 5 the CV. 6 Ο. We had some discussion about Joliet. 7 Generally, what is your view of the groundwater 8 impacts at Joliet? 9 Α. Well --10 MR. RUSS: Objection. 11 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: He may 12 answer if he is able. 13 BY THE WITNESS: 14 I would like to clarify it because Α. 15 my earlier answer was a little bit -- maybe too basic. 16 17 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You 18 can answer it, sir. 19 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 20 BY THE WITNESS: 21 One of the wells does have some Α. 22 levels over the Illinois groundwater standards, 23 but all the other wells pretty much -- they're 24 pretty much below the groundwater standards.

February 2, 2018

Page 318 1 It's a pretty -- it's a less impacted site of 2 all the four. 3 BY MS. NIJMAN: 4 Now, you also talked with Mr. Russ Ο. 5 about the Powerton site and you were talking 6 about correlating data -- the ash data. Let 7 me ask you about the Powerton old former ash 8 There is a landfill area that's been area. 9 identified in the record on Powerton called 10 the old former ash area. 11 Do you remember that? 12 Α. Yes. 13 And are you aware of groundwater Ο. 14 around that entire old landfill area? 15 There's a groundwater water Α. Yes. 16 monitoring network around the whole area. 17 It starts one Monitor Well 1 on the east side 18 and I think as Rich Gnat characterized the 19 shape of that area, it's like an ice cream 20 To the north is the large side of the cone. 21 ice cream itself is the cone. So on the right 22 side of the cone is Monitor Well 1 progressing 23 counterclockwise with Monitor Wells 2, 3, 4 and 5. 24

		Page 319
1	Q. And I think you stated all of the	
2	results of those groundwater monitoring wells	
3	are below the Class 1 standards?	
4	A. Yes, yes.	
5	Q. Do you know for certainty what ash	
6	is in that area?	
7	A. It's just been characterized as	
8	an old former ash area and I don't have any	
9	knowledge of what kind of ash is there or	
10	how old it is.	
11	Q. And yet we see nothing in the	
12	groundwater above Class 1 standards?	
13	A. Correct.	
14	Q. How did that form your opinion as	
15	to old ash areas generally?	
16	A. Well, it tells me that, you know,	
17	we don't have a lot of information on the	
18	chemistry of that area, but we know what's	
19	getting away from that area is under the	
20	groundwater quality standard.	
21	That's just another data	
22	point that I used when you look at the other	
23	areas of the site that may have ash in the	
24	fill as to what the potential is for that	

February 2, 2018

Page 320 1 ash in the field to impact groundwater. 2 And when you generally spoke about Ο. 3 historic -- the word historic, can you just 4 clarify? 5 Were you referring, as you 6 said, I believe, several times in your 7 deposition to the longstanding 50 to 70 to 8 80-year uses of these properties? 9 Α. Yes. As I mentioned earlier, the 10 historic areas are the ones that we've identified in borings and in observations 11 12 that are very old and then can be very old and then there's a set of classification 13 14 that I use as historic uses that have not 15 been specifically identified. 16 That is because of the age 17 of all the facilities. There are things that 18 we -- that we're unaware that could have 19 happened, but obviously, as I said, there are 20 impacts to groundwater. 21 I would like to refer you back to Q. 22 the document that Mr. Russ was showing you 23 in Kunkel Exhibit 411 and it was the Waukegan 24 chart for MW-7 and MW-8, the data used for

February 2, 2018

Page 321 1 hearing charts and tables. 2 Α. I'm sorry. 3 Q. I'm halfway through, I guess. 4 Α. This is for Waukegan. This was for 5 Monitor Wells 7 and 8. 6 Ο. Yes. For Monitor Well 8, I noticed 7 that the data ends at year 2015. 8 Do you see that? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Do you have any idea why Dr. Kunkel Ο. 11 left out two years data from his tabulation of 12 median? 13 Α. I really don't know. And as I 14 mentioned when I was pointed to this data, 15 I said I cannot speak to the accuracy of 16 this dated because it was pointed out to 17 Mr. Kunkel that there are errors in these 18 data. 19 MR. RUSS: Objection. That's 20 not what the record shows. Dr. Kunkel 21 was shown errors in a separate part of 22 the --23 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ι 24 don't know. The Board will take note.

February 2, 2018

Page 322 1 Overruled at this point. 2 BY THE WITNESS: 3 Α. To answer the question, I don't know 4 why the data are missing. 5 BY MS. NIJMAN: 6 Ο. You talked about with Mr. Russ the 7 comparison data that you did comparing 8 groundwater constituents, constituents that 9 you find in the groundwater, and comparing 10 them with constituents you find in the leachate from the ash test. 11 12 Do you remember that discussion? 13 14 Α. Yes, I certainly do. 15 And is comparing constituents Q. 16 of groundwater to a potential source area, 17 is that axiomatic in your world? 18 Is that what everybody does? 19 We do comparisons of the data. Α. Yes. 20 In fact, Dr. Kunkel compared the data? Q. 21 In effect, he compared the EPRI data Α. 22 to groundwater data. 23 Q. And when you said you weren't aware 24 of journals that published it, were you talking

Page 323 1 about how you presented it as a matrix 2 percentages? 3 Α. The way I -- yes. I -- I just 4 presented it in percentages and my knowledge, 5 of course, would be that there are plenty of 6 ways to present data. I have not seen a 7 percentage as I have shown in my report. 8 Q. Just a few minutes ago, Mr. Russ went through each of the facilities and the --9 10 whether you had seen documentation about the CCP ASTM standard. 11 12 Just to confirm, all the areas that he identified, Joliet northeast ash area, 13 14 the southwest area, the former slag area at 15 Waukegan, to your knowledge, when was that ash 16 placed? 17 Α. Well, it was certainly before 1998, 18 when ENSR documented it in those reports. I 19 don't know how much farther back than that, but it was definitely way before at that. 20 21 Mr. Russ also asked you about HDPE Q. 22 liners for hazardous waste landfills. Coal 23 ash is not hazardous waste, is it? 24 Α. It is not.

February 2, 2018

Page 324 1 In fact, as you testified, it's often Q. 2 beneficially reused? 3 Α. Yes, it is. 4 There was also some discussion about Ο. 5 the fact that you included manganese in some 6 of your charts for risk analysis. 7 Now, Dr. Kunkel viewed 8 manganese as an indicator in his report, 9 correct? Yes, he did. 10 Α. 11 Was that one of the bases for you Q. 12 looking at it? 13 Α. Well, it's there. Again, it is 14 there. So we looked at it for our trends and we looked at it for the potential for 15 surface water indicators because, as I said, 16 17 there are other historic uses that it could 18 be coming from. So we considered it in our 19 analyses. 20 Now, at Will County, you looked at Q. 21 the bottom of the Poz-o-Pac elevation with 22 To your knowledge -- based on your Mr. Russ. 23 review of the information in this case, what 24 was the reason for installing 36 inches of
Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 2/9/2018 February 2, 2018

Page 325 1 Poz-o-Pac at the Will County ponds -- all four 2 of the Will County ponds back when the ponds 3 were installed? 4 I don't recall the purpose of 36 Α. 5 inches. 6 Q. Would it be to help protect against 7 that groundwater elevation? 8 Α. Well, you know, when they built the 9 ponds, they knew the groundwater was fairly 10 close. So you could make an engineering assumption that they had some idea that they 11 12 had to deal with it and they put the extra 13 weight to help counterbalance the force of 14 water -- force of groundwater. 15 Would that be part of the design of Q. 16 the pond that you and I were speaking about 17 earlier? 18 MR. RUSS: Can I object to 19 the leading nature of the guestions? 20 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes. 21 You're\* starting to lead again, Ms. Nijman. 22 Thank you. 23 BY MS. NIJMAN: 24 Q. How does that relate to the discussion

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 2/9/2018 February 2, 2018

Page 326 1 we were having about designing a pond for the 2 circumstances the pond is in? 3 Α. It's directly applicable in that, 4 as I mentioned, as engineers, you know, there 5 are things that aren't in the regulations 6 that you have to consider anyway. Hydrostatic 7 uplift is one of them. And so if you have 8 bedrock very near the ground surface, as 9 they did, and a very limited ability to excavate 10 down, they wanted to get the maximum excavation so I can very well see a thicker Poz-o-Pac to 11 12 resist those groundwater forces. 13 MS. NIJMAN: Thank you. That's 14 all I have. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 15 Thank 16 you, Ms. Nijman. 17 Mr. Russ? 18 MR. RUSS: Just one or two 19 questions. R E C R O S S - E X A M I N A T I O N 20 21 by Mr. Russ 22 Q. If you look at Exhibit 268-P, please, 23 Mr. Seymour? It's right there on top of the stack. 24

February 2, 2018

Page 327 1 Α. Okay. 2 Ms. Nijman was asking you about J Q. 3 values, I believe. 4 Α. Yes. 5 Could you find an example of a J Ο. 6 value in this report? 7 Α. There is a fair amount of data. Т 8 went through the first result and I didn't see 9 I did not find any. any. 10 There's no J values in the report at Ο. 11 all? 12 And for the record, this is 13 the Waukegan fourth quarter 2014 groundwater 14 monitoring report, is that right? 15 Yes, January 22, 2015, yes. Α. 16 There are no J values here. 0. 17 Can you look for the method 18 detection level for antimony? 19 I don't see antimony on this chart. Α. 20 Let me help you. Look at Page 10 Q. 21 of the original source document. There's not 22 a Bates page, but --23 Α. Oh, I see it, yes. 24 Q. What is the method detection limit

## Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 2/9/2018

February 2, 2018

Page 328 1 for antimony? 2 It would be .003. Α. 3 Q. And the reporting limit is also 0.003 here? 4 5 Α. Yes. 6 Ο. Can you explain again what a J 7 value is? It's an estimated number between 8 Α. 9 the method detection limit and the ability of the machine for detecting it. 10 The method detection limit and the 11 Q. reporting limit are the same here, right? 12 13 Α. I see that. 14 So what would -- how would you Ο. find the J value in this case? 15 16 Α. I would assume if they found it, 17 they would have shown it on this table, but 18 it's not obviously. 19 Ο. Okay. No J values for antimony in 20 2014 in Waukegan? 21 Α. Correct. 22 How about in 2017, if you look at Q. 23 269-P, I don't think you have that there, 24 but I can find you a copy.

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 2/9/2018 February 2, 2018

		Page	329
1	Exhibit 269-P, are there		
2	any J values or antimony in this report?		
3	A. I don't see any.		
4	Q. Are there any J values for anything		
5	in this report?		
6	A. There aren't.		
7	Q. And what would the what would		
8	the the J value represents the difference		
9	between the method detection limit and what?		
10	A. The quantitation limit.		
11	Q. Can you the quantitation limit		
12	shown in this report?		
13	A. I don't see it in the chart and just		
14	the few pages we looked at.		
15	Q. Right. So it doesn't appear that		
16	these reports actually provide quantitation		
17	limits or J values; is that right?		
18	A. They don't provide		
19	MS. NIJMAN: Objection to the		
20	overbreadth. We're looking at one document		
21	of how many reports do we have?		
22	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Rephrase,		
23	Mr. Russ.		
24			

February 2, 2018

Page 330 1 BY MR. RUSS: 2 Well. I'm just asking about this Q. 3 one document. It didn't have any quantitation 4 limits or J values; is that right? 5 Α. I didn't see any. 6 Q. And so is that because they don't 7 exist or is that something this report just 8 doesn't produce? 9 I don't know. I can't tell. Α. 10 MR. RUSS: Okay. One moment, 11 please. 12 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Go 13 off the record. 14 (Whereupon, a discussion 15 was had off the record.) 16 MR. RUSS: Nothing further. 17 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. 18 Thank you, Mr. Russ. 19 Ms. Nijman, any re-redirect? 20 RE-REDIRECT EXAMINATION 21 by Ms. Nijman 22 There's a quantification level and Q. 23 detection level for equipment; is that correct? 24 Α. Yes.

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 2/9/2018

February 2, 2018

Page 331 1 Just because it's not in this report Ο. 2 doesn't mean it didn't exist? 3 That's correct. Α. MS. NIJMAN: That's all I have. 4 5 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank 6 you. 7 Thank you, Mr. Seymour. You 8 may step down. I appreciate it. 9 Ms. Nijman, anything 10 further? Do you rest your case-in-chief? 11 MS. NIJMAN: We rest our 12 case-in-chief. Thank you. 13 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. Bugel, 14 any rebuttal? 15 MS. BUGEL: We do not have any 16 rebuttal? 17 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: A11 18 right. Thank you. I think at this point 19 we will go off go the record for a moment. 20 (Whereupon, a discussion 21 was had off the record.) 22 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're 23 back on the record. 24 We were just talking about

## Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 2/9/2018 February 2, 2018

		Page	332
1	dates and I have asked the parties and they		
2	have agreed, they are going to file their		
3	respective master list of exhibits and how		
4	they were taken by February 13th. The		
5	complainants are to file their written objection		
6	to respondent's Exhibit 662 by February 5th.		
7	Midwest response is due by February 9th. We		
8	are going to discuss the briefing schedule		
9	during a conference call on February 7th at		
10	2:00 p.m. I have already I put down public		
11	comment is due on February 28, 2018.		
12	All right. I think that's		
13	it. I thank you for abiding by all my		
14	admonishments and it's been a great week.		
15	Thank you so much everyone.		
16	(Whereupon, no further		
17	proceedings were had in		
18	the above-entitled cause.)		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
	L		

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 2/9/2018

February 2, 2018

Page 333 STATE OF ILLINOIS 1 ) 2 SS. ) COUNTY OF C O O K 3 ) 4 5 6 I, LORI ANN ASAUSKAS, CSR, RPR, 7 do hereby state that I am a court reporter doing business in the City of Chicago, County of Cook, 8 9 and State of Illinois; that I reported by means 10 of machine shorthand the proceedings held in the 11 foregoing cause, and that the foregoing is a 12 true and correct transcript of my shorthand 13 notes so taken as aforesaid. 14 15 16 17 Lori Ann Asauskas, CSR, RPR. 18 Notary Public, Cook County, Illinois 19 20 21 22 23 24

A	accumulate 54:1	adjacent 163:8	air 54:1,5	233:19 234:16
<b>A.D</b> 1:17	accumulated 54:5	administrative	allege 166:4 173:16	236:23 237:17,18
<b>a.m</b> 1:17	accumulates	50:18 81:14 108:2	<b>alleged</b> 22:23 24:6	243:16 245:22
abandoned 103:11	278:14	<b>admit</b> 128:8	45:4 119:23	252:4 258:24
210:8	accuracy 313:21	admitted 4:15 18:2	161:19 163:15	268:3 270:21
abbreviated 317:2	315:22 321:15	128:23 129:2	293:1 295:7	278:5 288:13
Abel 2:18 5:23	accurate 33:16	admonishments	alleging 187:13	289:20 300:8
289:5	54:13 69:11 81:24	332:14	190:23	313:10 324:6
abiding 332:13	90:20 91:16	advantages 207:8	allocation 132:13	analytes 121:18
<b>Abigail</b> 206:16	108:10 117:21	advisor 6:7	<b>allow</b> 125:2 288:16	<b>analyze</b> 104:11
ability 203:4	173:5 187:18,19	advocate 212:8	allowable 152:9	123:12,13 169:5
210:15 326:9	191:4,5 199:11	advocated 214:19	allowances 43:21	analyzed 21:23
328:9	225:19 229:19	<b>aerial</b> 100:21	154:21,22	135:19 139:1
<b>able</b> 37:4 62:11	230:7 251:17	affect 10:15 236:19	<b>allowed</b> 43:21,23	167:13 168:13
152:19 154:9	313:15,21	300:22	62:13,14 151:4	172:1,3 177:3
158:9 163:5	accurately 8:3 21:3	affective 64:3	152:5 208:2	192:2 239:4
177:18 179:22	93:12 121:2	aforesaid 333:13	<b>allows</b> 46:24	253:11
213:12,24 214:11	achieve 144:5,8	afternoon 60:18	altered 207:16	and/or 99:9
215:18 254:13	147:1	205:22 211:21	alternate 146:24	anecdotal 99:24
255:9,16,19 256:8	acid 132:6	316:16	147:7	186:14
257:11 277:18	acoustics 289:13	<b>age</b> 207:3 212:11	alternates 147:2	<b>angle</b> 23:10,12
279:4 299:5	acted 80:2	320:16	<b>amount</b> 123:12	animals 208:9
302:22 317:12	action 99:9	aggregate 148:12	169:23 250:15	300:16
above-entitled	actions 47:12 79:17	<b>ago</b> 35:18 53:15	254:13 260:12	<b>Ann</b> 1:13 333:6,17
332:18	105:24 125:12	114:23 290:18	263:20 266:21,24	Annual 194:9
<b>absence</b> 236:20	211:5	300:6 323:8	271:5,7 327:7	answer 138:4,14,18
237:3,8,11 300:21	active 108:12,16,19	<b>agree</b> 7:23 8:9,20	<b>amounts</b> 207:20	138:24 139:8
absolute 277:6	162:8 296:7	10:12 11:14 12:1	<b>an-</b> 271:21	142:22 145:6,8,23
absolutely 56:7	308:11	12:13 13:9,17	Anad 6:6	146:2,14 151:9,17
106:16 254:10	actual 89:23 137:18	23:4 31:10 37:22	analyses 87:20	151:21 152:19
absorbed 152:22	ad 125:19	44:4 51:14 61:23	98:21 169:10,22	154:9 156:16
152:23	add 256:24 289:6	63:10 67:6,10,24	240:5 324:19	158:9,11 159:2,5
academic 279:17	adding 220:1 230:8	73:8 81:23 90:20	analysis 25:12,19	159:10,23 162:6
280:6	254:9	91:8,10 100:1	26:9,10 28:20	166:6,11,14
acceptable 154:16	addition 38:23 91:3	118:14,14 145:10	29:17 42:19 50:21	167:11 168:3,5
154:24	125:1 197:1	146:21 156:24	55:15 69:13 75:9	172:18 173:19
accepted 10:4	additional 16:15,23	167:3 217:5,7	76:18 77:4 79:1	179:22 181:11
124:19 258:16	57:22	240:22 243:20	79:15 84:21 92:12	183:4 187:12,19
263:6	Additionally	agreed 18:12	95:10,23 105:8	189:2,4 190:14,22
accepts 267:22	120:19	126:19 332:2	107:23 112:17	191:5 198:3 242:8
access 213:1	additive 196:18	agreements 40:5	121:12 123:1,16	242:11 247:7
accident 66:10	address 210:6	agrees 90:7	124:14 126:9	256:8 263:17
116:4	adds 114:1 254:7	ahead 17:8 118:4	135:15 140:1,1	269:14 277:18
accountable 211:5	adequate 213:21	198:4 223:24	161:21 165:6	279:4,9 302:22
214:1,8	214:3	255:12 291:24	169:7 231:3	317:12,15,18

200.0		210 ( 0 10 10	20.00.40.10.11.14	
322:3	applicable 155:12	319:6,8,18,19	38:20 49:10,11,14	179:3,10,12,13,14
answered 74:10	156:8 326:3	322:16 323:13,14	49:14,21 50:24	180:10,13 181:9,9
156:14,18,22	application 28:13	323:14	51:4,4,8 52:1,4,5	181:10,12,13,14
157:24 158:7	apply 57:18 125:4	areas 20:24 21:2,4	52:6,7,8,9,10 53:7	182:4,14,15,17,19
166:18 185:4	153:22 200:12	21:8,13 22:15	53:8,9,16,17,23	182:20,22 183:18
189:11 265:9	appreciate 133:21	44:21 45:3,3,4,11	54:21,21 56:23	183:21,24 184:1,8
269:10,13	139:7 189:3 331:8	50:16 51:8 71:16	57:12 65:13,14,23	184:8,14 186:5,5
answering 272:20	approach 25:6	71:19 78:19 80:10	70:1,12,18 71:1,4	186:15 187:21
answers 167:2	116:3 127:14	81:12 107:23	71:7,8,12,16,21	191:7,16,24
anthropogenic	235:9 268:21	112:4 126:5,11	72:19,21,23 73:1	192:17,18,22
34:8 35:2 95:6	appropriate 26:11	163:6 165:5	73:3 80:6 82:9,9	195:8 196:2
<b>antimony</b> 259:8,15	38:22,24 55:23	171:13 172:11	82:12,15,17,23	198:24 199:1
259:18,21 260:12	approved 290:16	207:14,21 210:9	83:2 84:1 86:19	201:7 207:20,24
260:20 261:10,11	<b>approx-</b> 70:19	257:20 291:2,12	86:21 87:20 88:1	208:3,7,10,11,15
261:17 263:11,20	approximately	291:15 293:8	88:17,18,20 89:20	209:20 210:7,9,21
264:13,14,17	12:8 53:15 107:1	295:3 309:5	89:21,24 93:1	211:13 212:14
265:19 266:21	129:15 195:21	319:15,23 320:10	94:24,24 95:3,12	213:3,13,18,20
267:1,24 268:8	205:24 289:3	323:12	95:15 99:1,2,9	214:22 217:16,21
269:22 271:3,5,7	area 22:7,23,24	argument 268:24	100:2 107:21	219:10 221:7
272:4 273:12	24:3,5,6,8,15 45:8	<b>arm</b> 143:8	109:5,13,17	229:8,11,18
274:8,9 327:18,19	47:5 50:17 68:17	arranged 238:6	115:16,19 118:20	234:12,16 235:11
328:1,19 329:2	72:1 73:22,23,24	arrive 132:10	118:21 119:4,6,12	235:13 236:11,18
antonina 259:23	74:12,13 76:8	arrows 75:19,24	119:18,23 120:12	236:20 237:2,3,8
anybody 280:9	99:1,2,9,11 100:2	94:1	126:6 131:9,19	237:11,20,24
anymore 47:23	101:5,8 103:10	arsenic 98:24 246:2	133:3,3,8 134:7	238:2 239:1,15,24
80:18	111:19 114:3	246:5,8 247:24	135:3,5,6,8	240:6,13,21
<b>anyway</b> 56:17	119:22,23 120:2,5	248:14,19,20	136:21 137:3	241:22 242:1,4,9
114:14 289:13	120:9 124:22	249:2,3,22 250:3	138:20,24 139:22	242:15,16,23
326:6	127:11 152:6	250:15 251:7,13	141:9 142:7 143:6	246:3,4,5 252:4
apologize 93:4	161:4,4,11 162:15	252:10 253:7,15	143:13,15,20	254:7 257:8,11,20
97:12 146:14	163:6,8 164:11	253:24 254:9,10	146:13 148:10	257:21 258:13,18
166:18 183:5	172:4 177:1,17,19	254:13 255:17,20	153:23,23 154:12	258:19 259:15
198:7 222:7	177:20 178:7,11	256:12	155:18 158:15	261:18 265:1
248:20 261:13	178:16 179:1	articular 64:18	159:7,7,14 160:5	274:4 288:14
apologized 289:13	192:4,20,22 193:1	aruss@environm	160:17,20 161:12	290:5,6,7,14,20
apparently 207:16	193:5,14 195:8	2:17	162:16,20 163:9	290:24 291:2,11
appear 139:2 162:8	196:2 204:8 208:8	as-needed 53:11	163:10,14,15,21	291:12,15 292:4,5
167:14 168:13	219:9,11,14 221:7	Asauskas 1:13	164:13 165:3,8,8	292:23,23 293:1,3
208:18 218:8	229:8,12,19 292:4	333:6,17	165:15 166:16	293:18 294:22
329:15	292:7 293:4,12,18	<b>ash</b> 14:2,18,20	167:12 168:12	295:3,7,13,19,22
appearance 68:19	293:21 294:1,2,5	15:10,23 16:13	170:15,17,23,24	295:24 296:20,23
<b>Appeared</b> 2:24 3:7	294:10,20,21,22	20:6,7,7,12,24	171:1,6,11,11	297:24 301:14
appears 29:6	295:7,9 308:1,12	21:4,9,13,15,18	172:24 175:13,15	302:17 303:1,7,12
105:15 123:24	309:10 318:8,8,10	22:15,23 24:6	175:18,23 176:5	303:15 306:21
158:18 286:2,6	318:14,16,19	36:4,6,10,17,20	176:15 178:16,21	307:24 309:10,10
			· · · · · ·	

318:6,7,10 319:5	assumed 171:4	<b>B-1</b> 297:16	based 29:15 31:5	beautiful 206:19
319:8,9,15,23	assuming 86:3	<b>back</b> 11:4 30:14,15	39:15,22 45:11	209:6
320:1 322:11	143:6 153:19	39:1 40:3 46:6,23	47:10 53:11 66:11	bedrock 326:8
323:13,15,23	179:9,13	48:7 50:12 55:19	67:11 71:6 79:14	began 207:3,9
ash-related 46:11	assumption 184:7	62:2 87:16,17	90:2 95:18 102:23	beginning 17:24
<b>aside</b> 37:18	325:11	101:2 106:7,18,24	105:22 117:13	27:1 70:20
asked 138:3 156:14	assurance 55:24	107:18 109:22	120:15 125:9	<b>begins</b> 17:23
157:24 158:6	ASTM 288:2	117:11 129:14	142:13 157:16	145:18 297:16
159:6 189:11	323:11	144:13 145:12	162:4 183:10	<b>behalf</b> 2:24 3:7
235:15 265:9	attached 36:24	150:5 159:3,20	187:3 190:9 259:9	behave 202:19
269:10 280:9	attachment 297:16	164:9 168:11	324:22	<b>belief</b> 220:5
286:10 287:16	307:20	179:4 183:7	baseline 234:21	<b>believe</b> 7:9,12 8:6
289:9 323:21	attachments 32:9	205:16,22 216:11	<b>bases</b> 188:18	9:9 30:8 33:21
332:1	attended 90:15	225:8 231:5 233:9	324:11	36:13 37:8 40:4
asking 137:24	attenuation 196:22	233:14 239:19	<b>basic</b> 317:16	44:14 46:8 61:19
142:17 166:20	196:24 197:6,18	243:23 244:13	basically 19:13	63:23 65:1,5
167:22 176:2	273:14	245:23 246:10	22:9 24:13 27:12	80:10 87:1 90:9
188:12 192:12	attorney 5:19 6:7	252:3,23 258:23	113:21 189:14	90:14 99:18 100:6
202:21 214:14	attracts 208:8	261:14 268:13	315:15	108:16 117:12
222:4 228:5	210:17	288:20 289:3,8	<b>basin</b> 49:13 51:2	130:1 146:18
231:12,14 236:14	aunt 206:16	309:3 312:3	52:1,4,5,5,6,8,8,9	168:15 176:12
236:16 237:22	available 223:20	320:21 323:19	52:10 53:9 54:11	177:9,24 186:23
248:19 252:8	Avenue 2:15	325:2 331:23	56:23 57:12,14	190:6 192:9 194:4
263:9 264:8,10,16	average 19:22	background 31:1,4	58:15 65:13,14,14	196:9 204:3
265:3 266:19	56:19 59:4 85:3	31:16 32:4 33:1,4	65:15 70:12,13,18	216:16 217:12
269:8 280:18	112:21 223:7,9,24	33:5,6,17 34:3,4,9	71:1,872:2,18	218:12 226:3
293:24 310:9	306:10,14 307:13	35:1,6,10,16	1/0:13,18 1/1:1,5	228:12,14 232:11
315:4 316:5 327:2	310:15	41:21,21 /8:11	171:9	237:16 239:2,6
330:2	averaged /2:12	226:6	<b>basins</b> 51:13	240:19 241:6
<b>asks</b> 138:13 18/:11	averages 43:3	backgrounds 31:11	<b>basis</b> 38:1 53:17	243:13 246:6,15
assess 93:17,19	<b>avoid</b> 160:14	<b>раскир</b> 19:8,14	145:24 181:8	247:17 252:20
$300.9\ 302.10$	209:21	<b>bare</b> 298:14	188:22 215:8 Defec 22:24 24:1	260:21 262:10
assessing / 5.15	aware 145.12 147.9	<b>Darium</b> 14.21 15.12 20.1 00.22	Dates 33.24 34.1	203.14 200.10
302.8 assassment 9:10.19	133.14 183.2	13.13 29.1 88.23	97.17,22 151.20	2/0.10 201.1
10.12 A2.1A 56.21	208.9 209.20	09.15 94.15,20 05.2 102.4 9 14	152.0 222.0	203.17 297.3
10.13 43.14 30.21	2/0.24 2/9.15	95.2 105.4,0,14	240.11 200.3	301.10,19 303.22 306:0 12 207:22
36.12 06.1 103.13 124.10 125.10	200.4,14,10 293.7	105.10 104.0	209.1/ 32/.22 bothing 200:18	300.9,12 307.23
124.19 123.10	293.2,19 310.13	120.19,21 121.24	bach 84.7 207.12	520.0 527.5 holiovod 22:24
177.11 assassar 155.12	322.23 awhile 300.6	100.12,15 244.18 244.18 20	208.21 200.2	61.15
assessur 133.13 assigned 5.5	avine 500.0 aviomatic 377.17	277.10,20 harrier \$3.18 01.15	200.21 209.0	benchmarks 08.7/
assigned $3.3$	алюшанс <i>322.17</i>	143.73 177.1A	213.0 hear 250.∕	heneath 206.24
179.74 775.17	В	175.25 172.14 298.22	hearing 230.72	heneficial 288.14
242.11 271.10	<b>B</b> 4:14 202:15	hase 27.18 30.6	237.10 238.1	289·21
308.18 378.16	275:2	84·7	303.11	heneficially 21.24
500.10 520.10		51.7	505.11	Schehenny 21.2 T

	1			
22:13 324:2	241:11,19 243:4	186:16,19,24	306:23 307:3,8	<b>bypass</b> 52:5,8
benefits 208:24	244:5,7,7	187:5,13,15	310:2,5,6,8	54:21 65:14
<b>benthic</b> 300:16	<b>board</b> 1:1 2:2 5:4	189:14,17,19,22	324:21	
<b>benzene</b> 235:15	208:22 211:17	189:22 190:2,23	boundaries 93:7	C
236:3,10,17,18	214:1 215:2,9	191:1 192:7 196:7	boundary 172:18	C 2:1 3:1 7:5
237:15	261:10 321:24	199:19 200:9	221:3	129:20 312:15
Berlin 131:18,20	boat 207:1 209:5	201:5 202:5	<b>box</b> 47:4,6 101:1	326:20 330:20
berms 44:24 51:8	<b>boater</b> 206:23	203:13 219:3,17	127:16,17	333:3
292:4	<b>boats</b> 207:12	220:2 228:1	<b>boy</b> 304:1	calculate 225:21
best 19:12 83:13	<b>body</b> 9:23	229:16,24 230:8	Brad 5:2	226:7 279:15
286:7	Boiler 96:21 98:13	230:11 233:23	BRADLEY 1:12	281:11 282:6
<b>bet</b> 96:7	99:3 101:7,22,24	234:1 244:23,23	2:4	calculated 16:7
better 28:11 29:15	102:4,14 221:1	244:24 245:4	break 106:14,20	223:14 224:19
213:5 217:14	307:18 308:1	257:7,18 258:1,17	107:8 129:10	227:23,24 228:3
258:18 286:15	309:1,17	283:13,15,19	203:11 205:13,15	calculation 22:8,8
287:22 299:8	<b>boilers</b> 179:8 193:4	284:2,15	205:18 217:12	32:20 114:22
303:16	<b>boils</b> 274:12	borrow 297:18,19	288:20,23	115:7 226:1,2
beyond 256:12	<b>bonds</b> 147:22	297:20 298:5	Brief 311:24	281:15 282:1,4
bias 27:20,20	<b>boring</b> 35:24 36:24	<b>bottom</b> 14:20 16:8	briefing 4:10	calculations 114:17
<b>big</b> 67:21 123:24	37:5,13 45:6,7	19:19 29:5 30:12	215:24 332:8	114:20 311:9,13
155:10 186:1,3	162:20 170:2	49:14 50:24 54:4	briefly 54:18 64:22	calendar 18:13
207:12	177:3 180:7,11,14	55:1,6 56:4,9,18	72:4 81:6 82:4	California 2:21
bikes 209:16	181:15 182:2	56:24 57:22 58:4	84:3 92:11 107:12	call 22:6 23:10,12
biking 212:11	183:17,24 186:14	59:19 60:3,5 61:8	bright 214:16	25:6 35:6 51:7
<b>binder</b> 20:19 25:17	218:2,4,17 295:19	61:17 63:19 65:1	broad 198:10 279:6	52:11,17 60:21
29:24 33:20 39:3	295:21	66:23 72:23 73:1	285:21 292:12,14	66:6 87:4 104:14
105:16 124:15	<b>borings</b> 21:22 22:4	73:3 82:22 84:18	broader 35:6	104:18 122:21
130:1 194:21	36:8,15 37:7,10	84:22 85:5,17,20	brought 88:9	147:7 161:18
231:7	51:9 126:12 161:5	86:4,5,8 87:20	<b>brown</b> 110:23	164:4 172:17
<b>birds</b> 207:17	161:6 163:9	88:1,17,18,20,24	brushed 58:6	182:10 201:14
208:11	164:23 169:8,21	92:19 94:21	<b>Bugel</b> 2:6,9 5:21,21	233:22 242:21
<b>bit</b> 30:23 67:16,19	170:24 177:2,5	110:20 111:1,3,4	331:13,15	248:22 252:17
70:22 72:7 78:8	193:14 295:22	111:9,17,23 112:5	<b>build</b> 59:6,20 60:4	258:5 289:23
83:14 96:6 154:23	309:14 320:11	112:15,18,23	<b>built</b> 60:1 84:7	309:8,19 315:16
156:6 182:13	<b>born</b> 211:23	113:1,22 114:5	134:22 325:8	332:9
193:18 198:10	<b>boron</b> 14:21 15:13	115:11 119:1,22	<b>bullet</b> 126:8 286:1	called 7:3 22:23
220:3 243:22	27:4 29:2 30:8,15	119:23,24 137:17	<b>bullets</b> 123:24	45:3 68:4 72:17
259:1 279:8	30:17 32:3 39:4	137:20 147:19	burdened 214:21	108:14 111:9
288:17 299:8	39:11,12,22 40:1	165:7 170:15	<b>buried</b> 163:15	126:11 131:5
317:15	40:6,10,12,14	171:10,11 178:16	<b>burned</b> 53:12 82:14	182:5,10 184:4
<b>blank</b> 242:19,21	75:15 77:24 88:23	181:9,13,14 182:4	burning 14:24	193:1 293:19
<b>blue</b> 13:24 75:24	89:13 104:19,23	182:15,17 183:18	179:6 309:15	309:9 318:9
94:1 101:5 108:13	120:19,21 122:1,4	183:21 184:1,7	business 144:3	calling 20:24 24:5
238:12,23 239:11	139:10,12,16,19	222:9 248:8	333:8	154:22 298:19
239:13,20 240:10	185:18,22 186:11	299:17 306:11,15	businesses 210:18	<b>canal</b> 24:16

February 2, 2018

Page 338

aana <b>h 11:4</b> -214-12	100.9	ahangad 92-12	210.19	200.22 200.10 21
capadinity 314:13	190:8	cnanged 82:13	319:18 Chicago 1:1(-2:2	298:22 299:18,21
captured 230:5	<b>causing</b> 63:21	85:15 1/9:8	<b>Chicago</b> 1:16 2:3	<b>clean</b> 60:13 213:7
care 211:24 212:0	68:18 122:23 12(-14 152-9	cnanging 27:13	2:12 3:4 333:8	214:8
213:1	126:14 152:8	50:9 /4:22	<b>chioride</b> 37:21 38:3	<b>clean-out</b> 117:5
careful 66:4 8/:4	15/:11	Channahon 38:4	/8:15,23 15/:16	clean-outs 11/:1
114:6 1/1:20	caveat 304:20	characteristic	157:19,23 158:12	cleaned 52:19
1/5:16	CCA 40:5,7 80:1	136:21 137:3,5	chlorides 38:14	53:10 60:12 61:3
carefully 237:14	CCAs 108:2	138:19 165:15	choose 209:16,21	113:5 116:18
caring 106:3	ССВ 72:5	166:15 175:13	Chris 115:22	cleaning 52:5,9
carries 217:13	CCP 289:21 323:11	176:5 187:21	<b>Christ</b> 206:14	54:10,21 57:13
carry 153:18	CCR 34:20 61:17	191:7,16 279:15	chrome 191:23	65:14 213:14
carrying 309:4	78:8 120:5 123:11	characteristics	chromium 72:8	<b>cleanup</b> 66:7 99:10
cars 197:9	143:8	144:6 152:4	<b>Church</b> 206:15	154:24 210:2
case 21:16 25:10	CCRs 19:1 184:9	161:14 163:21	cinders 182:5,11	211:14 214:13
26:17 82:20 97:3	<b>CCV</b> 88:13,15	175:17,22 187:20	309:13	<b>clear</b> 33:18 45:2
115:5,6 120:13	<b>Celeste</b> 211:20	191:6,15 258:14	<b>circle</b> 21:12	59:4 65:11 100:14
127:18 139:21	<b>cells</b> 238:16,17	characterization	circumstance 117:9	103:6,13 104:3
144:14 196:12,21	239:11,20 241:11	171:12 235:6	256:23	105:18 135:13
196:24 197:4,17	243:4 244:5	262:22 308:21	circumstances	141:18 146:10
198:22 199:12	261:10	characterize	116:23 153:16	147:8 175:21
201:22 202:3	cement 148:12	175:16 177:1	326:2	187:12 190:21
215:14 257:13	cementitious 148:9	193:11	<b>cited</b> 216:16 298:17	268:18 290:22
324:23 328:15	<b>center</b> 1:3,3,15	characterized	citing 90:10	294:4 303:22
case-in-chief	2:10,15 5:6 76:2	169:13 183:21	citizen 100:6	307:2
331:10,12	230:5	217:18 318:18	307:21 309:9	clearer 284:12
cases 80:2 89:18	centimeters 143:19	319:7	Citizens 1:4 5:7	clearly 36:18
139:16,19 144:12	298:24	chart 28:22 30:16	city 210:1 333:8	192:10
155:20	certain 62:16	94:17,22 284:4	<b>civil</b> 130:10	clients 125:18
Casey 206:16	136:11 153:15	290:2 301:11	clarification 17:5	close 305:18 306:8
<b>cash</b> 44:1	169:22,23 281:17	320:24 327:19	150:6,17 185:12	325:10
Cassel 137:23	281:18 282:18,21	329:13	clarified 83:4	close-up 177:17
138:16 151:8,15	282:22 288:13	charts 18:9 27:4	135:10	closed 151:1
159:4,5,18 166:2	313:5,20	30:5,6 225:1	clarify 17:18 50:22	closely 93:2
187:11 188:23	certainly 45:24	226:12 321:1	317:14 320:4	closer 38:10 57:1,6
189:7 190:1	95:10 208:12	324:6	clarifying 232:20	closest 91:1 306:17
catch 141:5,19	322:14 323:17	chasing 46:21	class 30:18 40:15	Club 1:2 2:20 5:5
cause 57:2,9 71:21	certainty 128:5	<b>check</b> 114:7 260:22	44:7 80:7 89:7	5:19,22
80:19 113:3,7,19	183:16,23 184:2	266:2	94:18 212:23	coal 15:1 38:20
116:5 139:3 152:5	319:5	chemical 22:11	319:3,12	44:1 53:12 80:6
155:19 164:5	Certificate 4:11	34:5,10 155:16	classification	82:14 126:6 133:3
167:14 332:18	chairperson 289:6	237:9 313:16	320:13	133:8 136:21
333:11	299:4	chemicals 136:15	classify 308:17	137:3 138:19
caused 34:24 35:2	<b>chance</b> 204:21	153:5 208:18	<b>clay</b> 68:4 144:8	143:5,8,13,15
87:6 117:8 160:2	change 26:15 74:5	chemistry 25:22	146:7,15,24 147:6	153:23,23 154:12
160:3 173:21	164:8 201:6 211:6	131:10 155:9,14	147:10,19 148:2	155:18 158:15
		,	,	

159:14 160:5	130:10 133:20	31:13,16,21 33:7	5:22,24	275:15,18,22
162:5 163:18,20	309:3	206:20,22 208:6	complainants 1:5	276:7,11 277:7
163:20 164:13	combined 15:2	209:19 210:22	2:24 5:8 332:5	285:16 313:12
165:15 166:15	come 10:22 58:21	211:2 212:1,23	<b>Complainants'</b>	concentrations
172:24 175:13,15	63:18 86:15	213:2,6,9 214:4	195:6	29:7 30:8 40:2
175:22 176:5,15	101:20 162:21	214:20,24	<b>complete</b> 64:17,18	41:8 75:12,14,18
178:21 179:2,5,8	170:7 172:19	compacted 143:16	129:4 305:21	75:22 76:1 77:13
182:14 184:14	190:2 214:6 240:6	144:1,4 146:7,9	completed 167:2	78:5 92:3 103:20
186:5,5,15 187:21	247:2	146:11,19 147:4,9	compliance 40:5	121:11 124:1
191:7,16,24	comes 10:21 83:1	147:18 148:2	complicated 45:19	136:11 139:16
207:24 208:7,11	85:3 90:13 207:6	298:22 299:12	64:2 75:11 81:19	196:7,18 197:3,19
208:15,21 210:7,9	314:24	companies 140:7	127:8 155:8	199:19 201:3,5
210:21 212:14,14	comfortable 267:19	141:12 142:11	198:11 237:12	202:9 217:15
212:16,19 213:3	267:20	309:3	compliment 69:2	218:3,4 219:3,7
213:18,20 214:22	coming 59:14,19	company 98:13	complying 79:24	219:18,20 220:16
235:10 236:11,18	78:6 104:4 122:9	132:22 133:13,15	component 23:14	220:20 221:12,19
236:20 237:2,3,8	184:14 185:18,22	133:16,19	23:14	223:3 229:12,16
237:11,20,24	187:1,6,8,14,16	comparable 303:23	components 27:10	249:16,22 250:3
238:2 239:24	189:16,23 190:17	compare 14:11	102:13,18	261:6 264:11
240:6,12,21	190:18,24 191:3	28:11 29:18 49:21	compound 59:8	286:3 287:13
242:15,15 246:3,4	192:8 201:18	91:22 94:23 95:8	202:16	301:13
246:5 257:7,19,21	213:22 289:8	279:14	compounds 136:18	concern 57:3,9
258:13,18,19	302:17 324:18	compared 12:7	139:13	85:7 91:15 113:3
259:15 261:18	comment 4:5,6	19:2,5 28:6 30:24	concede 223:8	113:4 114:4,18
265:1 274:4	90:9 206:4 305:7	43:4 49:14,16	concentrate 41:11	292:1
302:17 303:12	332:11	56:5,24 69:24	237:14	concerned 9:1
309:16,18 323:22	commented 61:4	103:22 112:6	concentrates 251:8	63:20 65:8 114:10
coarser 82:23	94:2	322:20,21	concentration 22:7	206:21 208:1
coded 240:17	commenters	comparing 33:7	26:15,21 34:5,10	concerning 157:5
241:14 252:19	215:16	69:14 262:1	41:1,6,11 74:1,3	conclude 43:12
codified 25:4	comments 4:2	312:19 322:7,9,15	94:17,22 154:16	96:14 102:22
coding 255:23	206:13 215:9,21	comparison 14:2,8	198:13 201:15	165:16 204:14
collapse 63:21 65:1	216:1	14:15 15:7 41:14	202:5,6 203:13,15	concluded 22:9,10
colleagues 62:8	commitment 40:5	54:14 56:3 89:23	218:16 220:10	27:12 36:9 43:13
131:14	<b>common</b> 14:7,7	92:13,17 107:22	222:17,19 223:17	45:12 90:11 140:2
<b>color</b> 30:16	24:23 25:1 83:17	118:20 119:11	224:6,15 228:17	165:19 169:2
colors 238:18	144:3 182:6 2/8:9	176:10 200:3	229:3,4 230:6,22	282:17,19
column 15:9 52:15	298:18 309:16	233:11 271:16	233:24 234:1	concluding 139:4
52:18,21,21 53:1	commonly 104:11	312:18 322:7	250:20 251:12	167:15
53:6 82:15,24	104:18 208:15	comparisons 51:2	252:10 254:11	conclusion 12:11
109:17 110:5	315:16	278:8,10 322:19	256:16 262:6,9,13	16:11 20:3 26:18
242:5,14	communities	competent 63:15	263:11,16,24	29:6,11 36:12
<b>columns</b> 52:13	209:23 210:11	63:17	264:2,6,16,21	70:5 71:11 77:11
109:12	211:8 212:9 213:4	compilations 199:8	268:7,21 271:21	79:6,8 92:23,24
combination	community 31:5,5	complainant 5:20	272:9,17,24 275:4	103:17 105:12

114.21 110 1	aan <b>G</b> uwaa 112.5	076.01	aantain	47.5 55.00 05 10
114:21 119:1	confirmed 12:5	2/6:21	containment 152:6	4/:5 55:23 85:12
123:19 162:2,22	98:23	constant 85:14	<b>contains</b> 207:24	93:7 126:21
1/4:15 1/5:1	confused 36:21	constituent 15:9,19	208:11	127:16,17 213:24
203:5 237:10	241:19 243:22	26:4 123:6 155:6	contaminated	controls 50:18
283:21 284:16	252:22 253:3	233:21 236:10,18	127:9 235:10	81:14,15 93:13
285:2,17 287:1,12	257:4	237:7 242:6	257:21	108:2
302:3 304:20,21	confusing 88:6	256:14 257:12	contaminates	conversely 33:2
305:16 306:2,5	255:4	258:6 277:10	213:20	converting 255:2
conclusions 19:16	confusion 46:2	constituents 15:7	contamination	Cook 1:14 333:8,18
28:19 41:4 42:8	135:2	19:4,5 20:5,10,12	130:15 131:3	<b>copies</b> 137:14
69:18 77:7 92:17	connect 212:8	22:12 26:1 27:11	133:23 166:5	<b>copy</b> 228:13 328:24
118:23 162:18	connected 214:19	28:23 34:5,10	172:16 173:17	<b>corner</b> 295:6
234:16 236:19	connection 9:17	35:3 44:1,10	184:13 217:21	corporate 125:21
287:2	38:17	45:16 46:11 49:17	241:4,16 243:7	211:2
concrete 113:10	connotation 262:3	66:14 78:9 80:5	257:8 268:23	corporation 18:21
condition 58:21	consciousness 50:8	80:12 89:11,23	296:6 300:23	207:19 208:2
59:10 172:18	conservative 258:6	90:4 104:6 117:16	<b>context</b> 184:21	211:10 213:11,12
conditions 52:3	258:7,11 262:21	118:21,22 119:3,4	contingency 116:3	214:2
54:23 106:6 140:8	303:18	119:12 126:6	continuation	corporations 214:1
141:13 142:11	<b>consider</b> 85:8,16	133:8 136:12,14	166:23	214:7,11
148:18 154:11	100:17 149:14	150:15,16 152:14	continue 151:8	correct 18:11 24:7
173:21	153:3 154:17	152:22,22 153:6	167:19,23 206:1	31:1 37:4,7,10,16
<b>conduct</b> 105:8	236:22 253:5	176:4 186:4,15	213:5 214:12	39:7 43:18 52:23
121:12 169:21	326:6	188:19 234:11	216:12	76:9 79:13 80:7
conducted 14:2	considerate 106:3	239:13 241:20	<b>continued</b> 3:1 5:12	95:16 100:17
18:23 36:8 42:18	considered 35:1	253:12 258:19	continues 208:14	105:3,21 112:12
76:17 79:1,20	56:3 78:11 114:24	301:16 303:15	210:9 212:20	118:2 119:13,14
92:12 100:9	278:1 324:18	314:16 315:8	303:12	124:9 125:8 128:4
104:10	considering 187:20	322:8,8,10,15	<b>contour</b> 67:20 91:5	145:23 148:10,14
conducting 104:11	191:6	construct 62:12	91:9 118:12	149:1 161:1
132:10	considers 35:9	constructed 52:14	220:23	169:18 170:20
conductivity	consisted 165:2	109:14,19,20	contours 23:16	171:14 172:3
143:17 144:6	consistency 76:13	constructed/liners	91:13 189:20	173:4 184:18
146:12	119:15 179:6	52:20	contractor 115:24	219:22 232:24
<b>cone</b> 318:20,21,22	consistent 14:19	construction 52:22	contramap 67:18	233:19 238:4
<b>confer</b> 128:16	16:12 19:21 60:17	54:16 58:20 65:10	contribute 153:12	245:22 251:13
311:22	74:15 76:6,7	65:12,20 66:12	243:15	255:18,20 257:4
conference 332:9	89:21 94:13 95:4	70:7 82:10 117:14	contributing 139:3	269:22 271:10
confidence 32:18	100:4 120:22	204:12 297:23	157:22 167:14	273:1 285:4 302:1
32:19,22,23 207:6	137:5 160:10	consultant 308:24	168:13 171:18	305:15 306:3
209:1	219:1 234:11	consultant's 100:12	175:8 229:24	307:5 311:2 315:6
confident 251:17	241:21 242:3	308:13	230:11	315:10,11,14
confine 47:7	243:12	consultants 176:19	contributions	319:13 324:9
<b>confirm</b> 67:9 86:21	consistently 75:3	308:4	220:7	328:21 330:23
323:12	89:2,3 139:24	contained 98:24	<b>control</b> 1:1 2:2 5:4	331:3 333:12
				1

corrected 232:15	287:12 295:11,14	cross 54:11 83:24	16:6,12,19,20,24	232:14,16,18,21
Corrective 25:7	295:20 305:21	110:17 111:16	17:2,12,21,21,24	232:22 233:10,11
correctly 7:17	309:23 311:10	206:2 216:13	18:1,4,5,14 19:1,2	236:22 238:20
29:21 34:13 112:8	324:20 325:1,2	cross-examination	19:9,12,24,24	239:1,5 240:12
142:1 231:9	333:3,8,18	4:4,7 129:16	20:12 25:22 26:19	246:21 247:12,15
correlate 169:24	couple 12:22 31:17	crossing 289:5	26:22,23,24 27:1	247:17,18 251:12
170:3 171:2	42:11 50:15 80:4	crushed 55:11	27:10,24 30:12,24	251:17,20,23
correlated 169:10	89:2 114:22 132:4	111:13	31:12 32:5,21	252:13 259:24
170:21 171:13	152:11 178:13	crystal 135:12	41:16 42:6,6,11	262:18,22 263:1,1
179:24	201:11 215:15	<b>CSR</b> 1:13 333:6,17	43:1 44:13,14	274:15,17,23
correlating 179:9	218:13 231:15	current 102:2	45:12 47:11 49:12	276:20 279:15
318:6	course 23:7 40:8	155:7 167:1 168:6	49:13,16,18 51:3	286:15,17,17,21
correlation 169:17	48:11 85:20 90:10	174:13,23 210:7	51:6 66:12 69:14	287:4,9,17 292:4
corroborate 19:17	103:1 108:1 114:3	currently 44:15	69:15,20 71:3,9	302:12,13 303:4
303:4	115:2,21 148:19	52:9 108:15	71:17,18 74:5,15	314:23 315:3,14
corroboration	149:15 162:19	139:22 141:9	76:14,23 77:2	316:4,5,12 318:6
19:15	200:13 201:4	142:7 158:21	79:8,14 85:17	318:6 319:21
cost 132:13 210:2	323:5	234:12	88:21 91:18 92:22	320:24 321:7,11
costs 211:14	court 4:11 6:12	curriculum 316:17	93:1,1 94:13	321:14,18 322:4,7
<b>counsel</b> 311:22	23:22 64:9,12,15	<b>curved</b> 91:13	101:19 104:23	322:19,20,21,22
count 58:12 235:9	134:10 141:16,21	<b>cushion</b> 55:6,7,8,9	105:15,22 117:14	323:6 327:7
268:21,22	158:3 174:21	55:10,10 61:8	118:20,21 119:2	database 281:9
counted 241:2,15	180:20 225:9	84:10,13,14	119:13,16,17	date 18:11 19:11
243:6 276:4	226:14 246:16	110:13 111:5,7,11	123:12,14 125:9	22:10 52:20 53:1
counterbalance	247:4 284:7 333:7	113:16	130:14 131:2	83:19 109:13,15
113:14 325:13	cover 299:15,19,22	cushions 110:12	133:18 135:18,19	109:18,19 119:9
counterclockwise	299:23	<b>cut</b> 75:5 93:4	135:19,23 139:18	190:10 290:17,19
70:23 318:23	<b>covers</b> 17:23	100:19	140:2 157:9	dated 67:19 118:12
<b>counts</b> 267:8	crack 56:12,16	<b>cuts</b> 127:14	160:24 161:9,11	321:16
County 1:14	148:16,19,21	<b>CV</b> 130:1,13 131:1	161:13,14,15	dates 110:6 174:12
106:11 107:8,10	149:16	316:19,22,24	164:10 169:7	174:22 332:1
108:23 109:1,9,11	cracked 148:23	317:1,5	170:1,21,22	dating 222:5
110:1,16 112:15	149:10		171:10 176:16	day 1:16 5:12 184:5
115:15,19 117:14	<b>cracks</b> 56:13	$\frac{\mathbf{D}}{\mathbf{D} + 1.7.5.212.15}$	178:21 179:10,11	314:23
117:15,19,22	148:24	<b>D</b> 4:1 7:5 312:15	180:1 183:8	<b>de</b> 53:23
118:13,18 121:1	cream 318:19,21	330:20 D C 2 1 (	186:11,11,17,21	deal 18:10 60:23
121:11,15 123:2,7	create 296:13	<b>D.C</b> 2:16	190:9 192:17	183:7 325:12
123:22 124:12,17	created 31:11	Dahringer 98:14	193:10 199:13,14	dealing 173:22
125:10,13 126:5	Creating 210:20	damage 116:14	202:18 203:5	debatable 196:3
171:16,19,21	criteria 43:6	155:20	204:4,6,11,18,21	decades 23:8
172:23 173:16	190:12 300:14	dangerous 208:1	205:8 224:22	208:18
175:12 176:11,15	303:19	dark 15:20 110:23	225:1,14,15,19	December 18:14
178:22 180:8	critical 13:2	<b>uata</b> 9:8,9,10 12:6	226:6 227:8,14,16	decided 293:6
211:23 212:2,5,12	criticized 27:22	12:14 14:2,3,11	227:19,21 228:11	decision-making
285:19 286:7	criticizing 28:4	14:17,18 15:0,17	229:15,20 232:10	290:24 291:11

February 2, 2018

Page 342

202 22 202 11	200.00		207 10 200 14 15	265 16 260 10
292:22 293:11	309:22	describing 25:9	26/:18 268:14,15	265:16 269:18
295:12,24	demonstratives	89:22	269:7,23 274:18	281:9 296:15
decline 283:18	233:5	<b>description</b> /:24	2/4:20,23 2/5:20	313:0 Life (L. 102.2
decrease 196:18	dense 83:17	66:18 6/:/,10,1/	2/6:/,9,13,1/	differently 182:3
283:13,15 285:20	density 52:16	67:20 82:3 87:1,2	277:23 312:20,21	184:5
285:21	109:16	87:3 93:20 118:15	313:8,13,20 314:1	difficult 60:24
decreased 199:4	depending 82:13	147:17,18 317:4	315:15,17,18	183:4 272:8
decreases 199:1	307:14	descriptions 21:1	316:6 327:18,24	<b>Dillon</b> 214:23
decreasing 26:14	depends 210:15	deserve 213:5	328:9,11 329:9	diluted 275:19
26:20,20 27:13	314:3	design 56:1 60:16	330:23	dingy 207:7
29:7,9,14 30:12	depict 21:3	61:1 62:2,11	determination	direct 4:3 5:15 9:17
44:12 77:10,14	depicted 118:16	86:13 325:15	238:1 284:9	129:4
96:16,18 123:18	201:13	designated 217:23	determine 35:10	directing 107:3
124:2,5,6 197:2	depiction 13:14,14	designed 59:22	45:17 46:17	direction 11:9
281:20 282:21	13:15 81:24 90:20	60:12 62:6,8,23	130:15 131:3	13:13,18 26:14
283:21,24 284:16	117:21	63:23 64:1 84:9	217:20	118:11 296:15
284:19,24 285:1,5	deposeth 7:4	designing 60:18,19	determined 99:12	directly 208:5
285:7,10,13,16	deposition 51:22	326:1	178:12	326:3
286:4,20,24	137:13,16,18,19	designs 62:5,9	determining 34:3	director 212:3
287:14,22 304:7,8	137:23 144:13	desk 177:9 194:22	282:10	disagree 12:3 59:13
304:11,18 305:2,4	150:6,7 158:22	desulphurization	devastated 212:21	discharge 9:20
305:6,8,12,19	161:23 165:19	134:9,13 135:4,7	developed 100:23	53:22 83:4
306:4	166:1 173:2,7,9	detect 254:13 255:9	developed' 99:10	discharges 207:15
dedicated 213:6	181:3 184:16,23	255:17,20 256:14	developing 8:21	discontinuous
deeply 206:21	185:2 188:10	260:12 261:6	diagram 32:10,17	74:23
211:24	192:10,14 235:14	264:20 265:21	193:21 309:23	discounted 100:11
defect 266:20 271:8	235:19 257:6,9	266:24 271:4,23	310:18,23 311:5	100:15,16
defection 264:23	320:7	274:15 275:8	diet 208:23	discover 281:23
define 20:16 171:21	derive 281:5 282:9	276:24 277:21	difference 13:7	discreet 293:8
184:2 236:13	derived 234:9	313:6,23 314:14	56:20 220:12	discuss 73:15 77:16
defined 126:12	<b>Des</b> 9:15 10:9 24:16	315:4 316:10	238:22 251:21	112:16 117:20
definitely 323:20	<b>describe</b> 7:21 24:10	detected 38:14 44:2	297:2 329:8	118:10 332:8
definition 73:20	51:18 81:6 82:4	72:9 201:17	differences 192:5	discussed 70:11
262:15	83:13 84:3.20	239:15 250:21	different 14:19	71:23 91:6 95:21
degree 128:5 130:9	93:10 107:12	254:23 261:12,17	18:23 27:14 28:13	121:5 136:7
300:22 303:11	110:8.20 124:7	267:6 270:15	50:10 51:24 58:17	215:13 307:24
degrees 130:5.8	136:15 182:3	272:5.12 273:4.17	61:6 75:13 97:19	discussing 98:8
deicing 78.13	234.22 286.11	274.9 276.7 277.5	101.20 104.10	114.18 145.21
demonstrate	described 8.21	277.23 303.8	110.9 111.17	150.20 187.24
241.20	59.13 66.3 83.8	detecting 328.10	112.20 120.18	314.10
demonstrated 46.1	90.19 108.9	detection 251.21	122.17 180.15	discussion 7.8
demonstrative	180.14 182.1	254.16 255.10	181.14 23 182.2	85.10 99.24 100.2
195.17 218.11 21	234.10 299.13	261.2 262.19	182.13 232.11	119.22 129.7
232.23 281.6	307.21	263.2 3 264.7 19	238.18 259.3 3	137.10 157.2
283.4 301.8	describes 109.13	266.9 15 23	263.2.264.7	216.8 236.12
_00.1001.0			200.2201.7	210.0 200.12

	1			I
243:23 268:14	333:7	207:7 209:18	85:1 177:24 178:9	307:16 310:12
312:18 317:6	dollars 215:3	driven 125:19	189:20 199:1	324:21 325:7
322:13 324:4	doubled 201:4	drivers 164:5	306:16 318:17	elevations 7:16
325:24 330:14	202:7	driving 296:8	ecology 207:16	84:18 85:9 112:14
331:20	<b>doubt</b> 95:5	dry 60:3	economic 210:4,14	113:2 310:15
disjointed 259:1	downgradient 8:8	<b>DTE</b> 131:5	211:7	elicit 275:13
dismiss 100:2	34:12 71:10 90:23	<b>DUBIN</b> 2:13	edge 11:3 68:12,13	eliminate 47:8
dismissed 99:23	91:3,21 92:4	due 65:6 332:7,11	68:16 111:2,9,20	eliminating 47:21
display 218:7	103:24 104:2	<b>duly</b> 7:4	edges 68:11 101:23	elimination 139:5
221:14	122:10 193:16	dump 208:2 213:12	116:17 196:4	161:2 162:7
disposal 132:11	196:6,13 197:7,20	dumped 207:20	educate 212:7	167:16 169:3,11
210:9 293:18	198:13 202:5,23	dumping 213:18	educated 214:19	ELUC 43:20 47:2
distance 61:16 74:4	203:15,23 204:10	214:2,5	effect 236:4 322:21	101:23 108:4
209:12	204:24 205:3	<b>Dunaway</b> 90:11	effects 12:19 152:5	126:21 186:8
distinct 67:5	217:15 219:9	dust 54:1,5	206:22 208:17	199:4 200:18
District 98:16	220:1 229:18,23	, 	effort 127:10	221:2,9
ditch 38:8	230:1,23 273:15	E	efforts 210:4	ELUCs 81:14
ditches 38:10	273:17 274:8	<b>E</b> 2:1,1,6,9 3:1,1	eight 35:12,20	125:2,7
docketed 5:9	275:9,10,16 276:1	4:1,14 7:2,5,5	41:23 53:10	Emily 206:15 207:9
document 30:2	276:2,6	129:20 312:15,15	134:23 253:6,15	empower 212:4
32:8 33:23 39:13	downtown 206:17	312:15 326:20,20	253:24 254:20,21	emptied 53:19
97:15 141:24	downward 114:17	330:20,20,20,20	255:7,15 304:14	empty 113:5 114:4
293:20 320:22	dozen 19:4,5	<b>E-L-U-C</b> 108:4	eighth 13:3	261:9
327:21 329:20	Dr 8:24 10:8.23	E-L-U-C-s 81:15	Eileen 206:9	encompassing
330:3	11:18 12:3 22:21	earlier 14:1 25:9	either 20:6,13 53:3	198:1
documentation	27:3,22 30:3,23	42:4,18 50:23	172:14 252:14	endangering
65:10,12 66:13	31:11 33:19 36:9	61:4 65:9 71:23	277:22 282:20	210:11
162:14 163:11	41:20 58:5 61:14	94:2 102:10	287:21 298:7	ended 18:14
290:23 291:10,19	63:7,18 65:7	119:10 127:13	304:10 306:1	ends 68:11 321:7
292:22 293:2,11	79:11 95:21 99:23	166:18 196:5,10	<b>el</b> 310:1	energy 131:5 214:5
293:15,17,23	194:21 218:11	196:16 215:23	Electric 18:20	Energy's 210:6
295:8,12,23	222:6 223:6 224:9	243:14 262:15	109:2	enforcement 5:10
297:23 323:10	225:20 227:22	273:13 281:7	elemental 136:17	engineer 37:3
documented 36:19	292:3 321:10,20	287:15 289:7	elements 296:10	46:15 60:22,23
46:10 50:13 146:5	322:20 324:7	302:6 311:20	elevated 22:4	62:5
323:18	drain 60:2	316:16 317:15	136:11 139:16,19	engineering 62:5
documenting	drainage 61:7	320:9 325:17	307:9	63:6 130:10,11
291:10 292:22	310:20	early 25:23 37:3	elevation 12:21	169:10,17,20,20
documents 36:14	drawing 178:6	40:3 41:10 106:7	13:23 56:4,5,18	325:10
54:17 65:16	207:17	235:2	56:19,24 57:1	engineers 59:21
188:18	drawings 54:14,16	earth 212:1,6	59:5 84:18,23	62:13 282:13
doing 14:12 46:15	dredging 66:6	easier 292:10	85:1 112:15,18,21	326:4
133:23 135:15,23	79:23	easiest 238:9	112:24 113:7	enjoy 209:6 213:16
136:2,2 183:1	drinking 249:5	east 2:11 68:12	296:17,17 306:14	enjoyed 212:11
212:17 261:23	drive 2:11 61:11	82:8 83:24 84:24	306:21 307:3,7,13	enjoying 209:2

<b>enjoys</b> 207:23	257:5 321:17,21	exaggeration 23:5	4:20,20 17:11,22	316:19
ENSE 183:9	especially 64:4	<b>Examination</b> 4:3,8	20:22 25:16 29:24	expert 16:17,18
ENSR 37:16	essence 68:19	<b>examine</b> 201:11	33:21 37:10 39:3	174:9
293:20 323:18	essentially 20:2	examined 157:9	40:20,22 48:7	explain 10:18 17:20
entails 43:1	62:19 86:8 313:3	example 26:13	65:16 79:1 91:4	30:4 41:4 191:14
entire 64:19 187:24	establish 8:14	28:24 32:3,23	97:2,13,22 105:16	238:18 308:6
318:14	established 93:6	42:17 46:3 53:8	107:9 124:15	328:6
entirety 93:10	143:10 244:14	62:21 75:14 76:3	129:1 130:2,4	explained 10:24
entitled 5:5 110:16	266:10 277:2	78:20 94:5 100:24	140:19 141:2	31:4 53:24 68:2,8
environment 1:4	establishing 47:1,2	101:21 103:21	177:10 178:3	69:7 131:6
5:7 79:18 95:19	establishment	104:19 131:17	179:2 194:5,8	explanation 95:17
95:24 96:4 153:1	108:3	155:17 162:16,20	195:5,6 200:1	exploiting 210:10
214:20 258:3	estimate 307:7	186:8,13 189:15	203:16 217:3	<b>explore</b> 207:13
environmental 1:2	310:2	198:12 219:16	218:12,21 226:18	exposed 116:12,17
2:10,15 5:6 50:8	estimated 313:17	220:12 300:18	227:6 231:9,10,18	116:21,24 152:6
81:15 93:6,13	314:21 315:23	308:21 314:1	231:20 233:4	209:13
98:21 132:1	328:8	327:5	249:19 281:2	exposure 154:14
133:23 212:1	evaluate 15:18	excavate 326:9	287:24 288:2	208:17,19 210:5
290:2	21:23 81:18	excavation 59:14	289:17 294:17	213:17 300:17
environments 96:1	155:23 289:24	326:10	297:5,6,10 301:8	extent 308:18
EPA 32:16 33:9	evaluated 135:19	exceed 57:8	306:8 307:20,20	extra 325:12
78:8 80:1 90:7	139:2 167:13	exceeded 40:6	309:22 320:23	eyeball 27:12
126:19 143:7	evaluating 130:14	41:11,15,19 42:14	326:22 329:1	eyeballing 27:15,19
164:2	131:2 133:17	exceeds 154:16	332:6	28:5
Episcopal 206:15	evaluation 33:10	Excel 26:11 282:2,3	exhibits 32:9 33:19	eyes 173:13
EPRI 18:18 19:6	43:11 47:18	282:6	40:8 128:8,9,21	
19:24 20:8 119:12	125:17	excellent 9:11	232:23 281:6	<u> </u>
239:1,5 240:12	evaluations 133:7	exceptions 72:7	332:3	fabulous 175:4
259:19,24 262:18	evaporation 132:4	excess 152:9 154:20	exist 296:19 330:7	facilities 18:24 50:4
265:20,23 268:16	event 239:17	exchange 145:14	331:2	103:11,12 106:10
274:22 275:6	events 9:3	excited 64:14	existence 208:10	115:24 125:24
303:4 322:21	eventually 210:23	exciting 121:24	existing 34:9	126:2,10 143:24
equal 113:11	everybody 289:9	excluded 165:5	147:21,22 189:18	147:20,21 164:3
equate 270:20	322:18	excuse 8:11 34:22	exists 264:17	320:17 323:9
277:3	evidence 22:17	39:1,10 48:17	expect 23:16 42:16	<b>facility</b> 54:3 98:16
equipment 66:4	70:4 129:3 186:5	68:9,12 82:10	74:11,14 78:6	132:3,7 135:7
116:8,15,20 313:4	208:14 241:3	84:10 85:18 88:7	85:19 89:12,14	189:18 309:15
314:13 330:23	290:7 309:12,19	119:6 134:3 135:6	94:3 96:3 199:3	fact 37:6 40:13,16
equipotential 13:23	exact 13:5 231:10	137:17 161:3	204:22,24 275:24	114:16 146:24
equivalence 147:6	251:12 255:22	182:18 200:10,15	276:3	170:23 172:5
equivalent 143:19	262:13 264:21	228:21 232:14	expectant 78:8	187:5 220:11
error 13:8 240:23	267:5 268:20	276:12 296:14	expected 199:16	245:21 257:3
252:24 253:2	exactly 15:3 232:20	303:21 314:7	expects 32:16	2/5:10 322:20
256:2,4	275:12 283:1	exhibit 4:16,16,17	experience 46:15	324:1,5
errors 243:18	306:13	4:17,18,18,19,19	116:7 150:1	tactor 23:12 58:10

facts 0:12	207.14	20.15 29.2 27.4	fix 97.12 106.0	210.10 221.7
<b>Tacts</b> 9:13	207:14	20:15 28:5 57:4	<b>IIX</b> 8/:15 100:8	219:10 221:7
<b>fair</b> 02:20 124:7	ibugei@gmail.com	42:21 45:9 49:7	$\frac{11xeu \ 8/17}{41am}$	229:8,11,18
126.12 176.10	2:8 from 210.5	88:22,23,23 89:2	11ag 190:2 313:17	295.18 forma 49.16 40.9
130:13 1/0:10	lear 210.5	89:5,4,14,1792:1	314:19,21 315:10	10cus 48:10 49:8
184:20 219:23	<b>Teariul</b> 213:3	92:18 95:1 120:15	315:19,24 316:2,6	92:21 104:24
234:22 235:5	February 1:1/ 5:11	121:22 123:21	<b>Hagged</b> 238:24	189:14 311:6
237:4 252:17	5:13 332:4,6,7,9	124:17 134:16	239:2 316:13	tocused 16:9
260:6 283:19	332:11	163:5 220:24	flash 192:22 308:17	tocusing 15:14
286:14 287:16	federal 152:10	227:8 240:12	flat 12:20,24	foe 164:20
304:18,19,23	210:24 213:22	243:3,14 253:17	flatter 91:14	follow 87:13 156:5
327:7	feel 47:22 267:19	258:21 259:10	flesh 142:20 203:1	followed 19:18
fairer 301:23	288:18	264:24 265:1,4	flexible 298:18	35:12 49:20 50:7
fairly 41:22 82:24	feet 12:22 56:20	266:3,5 267:17	flip 58:14 87:17	55:24 66:9 81:10
191:22 258:16	61:22 85:5 112:19	283:1 294:9 303:6	228:10 231:5	81:18 87:4 118:24
325:9	113:11,13 143:16	313:15 322:9,10	261:14	following 106:20
fairness 36:13	178:13,14 306:11	327:5,9 328:15,24	flipping 87:16	129:10 183:11
Faith 2:6,9 5:21	306:13,18,22,23	finding 236:18	224:23	205:18 288:23
212:3	307:11,13 310:7	262:21	flood 59:12	follows 7:4
faithful 213:7	fell 212:10	findings 22:1,2	flooding 10:9	fond 245:1
faiths 212:5	felt 66:5 116:5	fine 142:20 145:16	Flores 4:6 211:20	food 209:3
fall 10:10,15 27:9	fertilizer 38:18	151:22 178:19	211:20	foot 12:23,23 58:8
83:2 211:14 252:3	fewest 238:8	191:11	<b>flow</b> 7:16 8:15,18	85:15 111:23,24
falling 11:11 63:20	FGD 133:1 134:3	finish 167:8 226:15	8:21 9:16,18,21	112:1,3,22 113:10
familiar 28:9 62:9	135:6	finished 236:7	10:1 11:6,10	113:21,24 310:14
143:5,10 148:6,8	field 14:8 308:16	finishing 52:11	13:13,16,17,22	force 57:23 98:5
192:20 193:22	320:1	53:18 64:17	22:22 23:1,9,17	325:13,14
203:12 216:17	figure 103:21 227:2	215:15	24:4 67:11 102:11	forces 114:17
families 208:22	figures 146:5	fire 212:14	102:13 118:11,16	326:12
family 206:17	file 177:8 332:2,5	first 7:3 31:19,22	149:17 220:23	foregoing 333:11
207:23	<b>fill</b> 36:4,6,10 45:7	31:23 62:4 80:15	290:2	333:11
family's 212:20	45:10 51:7 71:19	98:1,2,9 119:8	flowing 13:21	forest 209:11
far 59:17 70:21	99:1.2 111:21.24	123:9 126:8	59:17	212:12
91:11 147:15	112:4 159:7	129:24 133:1.22	flows 296:15	form 71:15 188:22
162:8.12 170:17	181:13 183:20	133:22 134:20.21	fluctuated 25:22	202:24 204:19
183:1 198:8 211:9	289:21 290:20	149:23 228:10	fluctuating 94:5	279:20 300:17
227:21 267:11	293:4.8.12.21	230:3 231:6 232:2	fluctuations 223:1	319:14
<b>Farro</b> 131:18.21	307:24 309:13.19	327:8	flue 134:9.12 135:3	format 109:10
<b>farther</b> 46 <sup>.</sup> 6 74 <sup>.</sup> 2	309.20 319.24	<b>fish</b> 207.17 208.11	135.6.6	formed 203.3
85.2 91.13 14	filled 20.24 21.4	208.22	flush 208.4	former 21:3-13
125.22 196.17 20	44.21 60.5	fishes 208.6	fly 99.1 2 9 100.2	22.23 24.6 45.4
323.19	<b>filling</b> 117.7	fits 147.17	134.7 135.3 5	70.12 13 18 71.1
fashion 217.8	<b>film</b> 112.10	five 53.15 61.22	148.10 165.8	71.7 98.13 107.21
fast 141.73	filtration 60.6	70.20 219.4 304.8	181.10 12 182.10	195.8 196.7
favor 28.5	finally 201.17	305.5 16	182.20 22 184.8	219.10 229.8 18
favorite 20.5	find 12.13 201.17	<b>five-minute</b> 206.4	195.8 196.7	293.1 17 10 21
	····· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11, C minute 200.7	170.0 170.2	<i>2,2,1,1,1,1,2,21</i>
			•	

294:22 295:7	259:12 291:1	103:3 123:11	gentlemen 247:3	108:9 117:20
318:7,10 319:8	306:3 318:2 325:1	126:20 171:23	251:1	118:10 120:8
323:14	fourth 327:13	186:6	geocell 111:9	121:6 297:7
forth 159:3 231:5	framework 104:9	Gen's 128:8	geographically	314:22 318:18
233:15 261:14	FRANZETTI 3:2	general 28:17	176:23	Gnat's 8:17 118:15
Forty-three 304:3	Fred 88:3 90:14	29:13 69:21 96:21	geology 130:8,11	157:17 316:12
forward 125:21	115:21 182:6	98:13 99:3 101:7	geomembrane	<b>go</b> 11:4 17:8 19:12
214:10 309:4	<b>free</b> 288:18	101:22,24 102:3	52:17 56:14 61:9	19:14 28:24 37:13
found 15:8,18,21	freely 153:2	102:14 110:2	61:9,10 86:9	62:2 63:19 78:19
15:23 16:13 18:24	freeze/thaw 148:21	136:24 138:17	113:17 115:10,14	118:4 123:14
19:3 20:11 22:3	frequency 169:23	150:21,22 154:2	116:12,21,22,24	129:6 137:9,15
36:22,23 38:23	frequently 52:18	156:9 157:13	298:20 307:15	144:13 145:12
42:23 56:1 57:7	front 20:19 33:19	162:4 166:13,21	Geosyntec 133:10	150:5,7 157:1
59:2 69:24 72:6	100:13 194:1,14	172:2 175:22	133:12,15	173:3 174:7
77:9 79:5 80:6	195:5 203:17	189:20 192:2	geotechnical 60:22	194:12 195:3
81:12 89:17,19	218:10 228:13	199:9 200:7 201:8	130:9	196:17 198:4
92:2 95:3 103:2,4	249:20	201:10 203:14,21	geotextile 55:6,9	209:22 216:6
105:11,13 117:3	full 18:13 85:21	221:1 243:2 246:6	110:12 111:5,6	223:24 226:21,23
119:14 120:17	116:15	285:18 307:18	getting 29:15 64:14	233:14 243:23
122:6,12 124:20	<b>fully</b> 131:6	308:1,8 309:1,17	124:8 135:14	244:13 245:23
125:22 140:24	function 264:22	generalize 253:4	147:14 251:1	252:23 255:12
155:19 165:4	<b>fund</b> 131:19	256:12 287:16	281:10 286:11,15	258:23 265:16
171:6 175:15	fundamentally	generally 19:17	286:22 287:17,20	291:8,23 292:17
179:12 183:17	55:16	48:23 69:17 75:24	287:21 292:16	297:10 300:3
187:15 191:2	fundamentals	79:4,5 82:18 91:8	303:16 319:19	330:12 331:19,19
192:4 208:15	274:13,14	91:10 92:1,16	girls 207:3 209:13	God 6:15
239:1 240:5,11	further 12:6 43:11	109:18 110:7	give 6:13 32:2	goes 15:24 24:14
242:2,23 243:5,9	43:11 78:3 98:20	120:15 258:16	188:10 206:4	38:7 62:20 63:24
243:10 244:19,20	132:1 220:18	31/:/ 319:15	224:5 250:22	//:1 134:1 1/9:4
244:24 245:4,8,15	295:3 330:16	320:2	given 89:10 202:8	183:7 235:3
240:4, / 24 /:15,16	<b>551</b> :10 <b>552</b> :16	generate 232:12	$252:10\ 2/3:13$	290:12
255.11 257.20,25	214:10 15 15 21	233.1,5	gives 20:14 32:10	<b>going</b> 14:11 28:15
259.15,25 202.4	214.10,13,13,21	Generated 170.19	00.10	28.1/29.19 33.10
202.24 204.15,14	G	104.11	glving 250.15 250.4 glod 167.17	00.20 04.2 08.1 68.15 70.22 74.2
208.10 270.1	gaining 209.1	Concretion 1:7 5:8	$CM7$ $A1 \cdot 13$ $A7 \cdot 1$	78.10 21 83.2
290.5 501.25	Gale 3.6 6.2	6·2 98·15 102·15	108.3 126.20	85·24 86·15 96·19
328.16	gallon 253:22	0.2 98.13 102.13 145.20 170.11	200.13 1/	104.16 108.17
foundation $63.13$	265:18	176.18 254.5	<b>Gnat</b> 7:21 8:7	116.16 126.1 21
63·14 19	gas 132:16 134:9	260.11 272.13	11.14 15 13.12	127.10 10 140.9
foundations 63.23	134:12 135:3,7	273.5 274.10	30.22 31.4 7	151.10 166.22
four 17:23 28:23	geared 249:4	298.1	35.14 37.17 38.16	168.19 171.15
52:22 76.10	geez 205:16	Generation's 47.12	66:18 67:4 16	172:19 173.12
121.18 137.21	Gen 39:2 87:15,21	79.16 105.24	77.16 78.12 81.5	181.6 184.17
147:16 148:1 13	97:7,18 102:19	125:11 176.19	90:19 91.6 96.20	185:6 187.9

191:22 200:8	Griess-Pfleger	119:17 121:2,7,8	272:3,6,7,9,12	128:10,13,17,19
204:10 207:12	101:21,24	121:11 123:6	273:3,5,11,17	129:5,13 130:17
215:1,14,18 217:7	ground 24:20 60:6	124:1 125:12	274:10,17,19	130:20 137:8
222:24 231:14	72:11 78:22 262:8	126:7,7 130:14	275:3,6,21 276:11	140:11,18 141:14
235:23 249:19	326:8	131:2 132:3 133:7	276:14,18,20	142:15,19 143:2
259:2 265:8	ground- 22:19	133:18 135:14,18	277:1,4,9,23	145:9 149:4
268:12 275:7	Groundhog 5:12	136:7,12,20 137:2	278:8 279:15	151:12 152:18
278:4 279:19	<b>grounds</b> 213:18	138:19 139:15,18	286:2 287:13	154:4,8 156:19,23
332:2,8	214:5	139:21 140:2,5	296:12,14 300:24	158:4,8 167:3,7
good 5:2 48:1 55:19	groundwater 7:15	141:8 142:6 151:3	301:1,4,12,23	167:23 168:22
56:12 87:12 122:1	7:16 8:15,18,21	153:11,17,24	302:13 303:12,15	174:18 176:6
125:18 174:9	9:2,9,15,18,21,23	154:13 157:7,11	306:10,14,17,22	179:17,21 180:23
205:22 211:21	10:7,15,20,22	158:14 159:9,14	307:13,16 310:15	188:4,9 197:8,12
234:21 257:7,19	12:21 13:13,16	163:4 165:15	312:20 313:10	198:2 205:14,21
258:2 311:20	14:3,10 15:8,22	166:5,15 171:18	315:3,9,13 316:4	211:16 215:7,22
315:22	16:2,4,12 17:2	172:23 173:17	317:7,22,24	216:4,10 217:1,4
good-sized 117:3	19:3,4 20:6,10	175:9,12,14,18	318:13,15 319:2	225:7 226:19
gotten 189:2	21:6,7 22:12,16	176:4 186:17	319:12,20 320:1	240:7 245:9 247:2
government 210:24	22:20 23:1,7,9	187:4 189:19	320:20 322:8,9,16	247:8 250:24
213:22	24:9,12,18 25:8	190:12 191:18	322:22 325:7,9,14	256:7 257:14
gradient 10:24 11:2	25:21 26:4 33:14	194:6,10 197:2,18	326:12 327:13	260:16 265:10
11:9,15 202:22	34:6,11,24 35:4	198:10 200:5,11	group 29:14 32:8	269:12 270:5,8
gradients 187:4	38:10,11 42:6,12	202:19 204:18	<b>groups</b> 213:6	273:21 274:1
<b>granular</b> 64:7,10	43:1 47:13 49:16	208:16 213:21	Growing 212:11	277:13,17 278:20
graph 27:9 40:1,2	49:22 56:5,19	214:12 219:24	guess 145:13 149:9	279:3,23 280:20
41:8 75:13 93:24	57:1 59:2,5,15,18	220:2,23 230:12	203:9 223:19	284:10 288:19
graphic 59:24	59:18 60:3 61:16	233:17 234:2	235:2 265:3	289:2,12 291:5,23
graphs 75:21 200:4	62:17,20 63:9	235:10 236:4,19	290:12 321:3	292:13,19 299:2
grassy 193:1	66:15 67:5,10,17	237:9 238:2	<b>guy</b> 58:20 133:23	302:21 311:23
gravel 58:4 115:13	68:20 69:14,24	239:16 242:2	<b>gypsum</b> 134:9,13	312:2,7,14 317:11
gravelly 67:18 68:6	70:17 71:2,8,10	243:6,11,15		317:17 321:23
68:9,17	71:13 72:14 73:7	249:17 250:3,13	$\frac{\Pi}{\Pi}$	325:20 326:15
great 48:20 199:24	73:11 77:13 78:10	250:15 251:11	H 4:14 /:2	329:22 330:12,17
209:2 332:14	78:22 80:6,19	252:6,9 253:8	<b>nali</b> 12:23 13:0	331:5,13,17,22
greater 32:13 41:12	84:18,23 85:3,9	254:4,23 255:19	32:12,13 33:14,15	hand 6:10 216:15
43:9 190:11	85:12,19 86:3	256:14,15,17	38:9 85:15 112:22	handle 211:6
255:15 275:15	89:12,19,24 90:5	257:23 260:10	113:10,21 285:8	handling 211:13
greed 211:2	90:18 91:5,18	262:1,14 263:1,1	306:18,23 310:7	happen 56:22 64:4
green 21:12 24:13	93:1 95:15 96:5	263:10,19 264:4,7	310:11 half-way 201.2	80:17 116:16
120:4 238:17,20	102:1,10,13,14,18	264:13,18 265:2,5	<b>Hallway</b> 521.5	196:12 198:14
238:21,23 241:19	104:12 106:1	265:5 266:5,9,15	<b>Halloran</b> 1.12 2.4	234:5 313:12
261:10	107:22 108:5	266:20,22 267:7	J.1,J U.J,18 1/./ 17.17 60.22 07.4	happened 46:3
Greg 2:23 5:18	112:14,19 114:2	26/:11 268:1,6	1/.1/00.22 9/.4	50:12 58:24 80:19
greg.wannier@si	117:16 118:11,12	269:1 270:15	77.0 100.12,17,25 117.12 127.2	201:24 202:2
2:22	118:15,22 119:3	2/1:4,8,11,20,23	114.12 127.3	320:19

			114 0 100 10	<b>a12</b> 10
happening 202:4	77:16 78:12 79:10	299:2 302:21	114:2 198:18	212:18
happens 11:17	81:4,4 82:16	311:23 312:2,7,14	218:4,8 219:2,6	hometown 210:15
62:19 68:14	86:18 88:3 90:19	317:11,17 321:1	221:15,19 222:13	212:20
313:10	96:20 108:8	321:23 325:20	highlighted 75:13	hone 155:11
happy 188:2	115:17,21 117:20	326:15 329:22	108:13 238:11	honest 241:18
harbor 206:18,24	118:10 119:21	330:12,17 331:5	highly 151:2 152:3	<b>Honor</b> 211:21
207:1 209:6,16	137:6 157:17	331:13,17,22	152:13,15	215:10
212:4	182:5,17 184:4,4	heat 309:18	historic 20:24 21:9	hope 74:14
hard 12:20 76:14	314:22	heavy 38:5 210:3	22:15 44:21 45:2	hoping 193:17
210:22 261:14	hearing 1:12 2:4	height 86:9	45:8 46:9 50:3,16	216:20
270:20 283:4	4:2 5:1,3 6:3,18	held 106:21 129:11	50:17 51:7 71:15	horizontal 23:14
289:10	17:7,17 18:2	205:19 214:7	71:19 80:10 81:12	73:23 297:2
harder 37:4 272:23	20:23 68:22 69:2	288:24 333:10	107:23 120:11	horizontally
harm 212:1	90:14 97:4,8	Hello 129:22	126:4,11,13 127:6	296:16
hatching 24:13	106:12,17,23	help 6:15 97:20	127:19,20 158:16	hour 106:13
hazardous 104:20	114:12 127:3	194:20 215:2	158:19 160:1,5	huge 85:23
104:22 105:1	128:7,10,13,17,19	247:3 325:6,13	161:6 162:3,9	human 208:8
132:2,5 298:10,15	129:5,13 130:17	327:20	163:5 167:1 168:6	<b>hump</b> 67:21
299:11,14,24	130:20 137:8	helped 288:8,9	172:24 173:16	hundred 178:13,14
323:22,23	140:11,18 141:14	helpful 165:17	174:16 184:14	hydraulic 143:17
HDB 55:7	142:15,19 143:2	248:9 301:22	185:13 187:3	144:6 146:12
HDPE 53:2 83:20	145:9 149:4	Hi 5:1 129:23	190:14 291:1,12	164:4 297:1
84:11 110:12	151:12 152:18	high 52:16 59:10	291:15 320:3,3,10	hydraulics 10:7
111:5 298:10,14	154:4,8 156:19,23	59:12 74:17,20	320:14 324:17	23:6
298:17,20 299:10	158:4,8 167:3,7	75:12,14 78:4	<b>historical</b> 36:8 49:6	hydrogeology
299:18,20 307:8	167:23 168:22	79:19 86:16	54:16 85:17	172:17 198:10
323:21	174:18 176:6	109:16 114:9	122:22 140:6	hydrology 130:6
head 85:23 149:17	179:17,21 180:21	198:12 210:21	141:11 142:9	hydrostatic 56:21
164:4 296:9,13,16	180:23 188:4,9	222:15 223:3	159:13 173:20	57:9 58:1,13
296:18,19,20	197:8,12 198:2	224:16 249:14	190:7	60:14 85:6,22
297:1	205:14,21 211:16	300:4	historically 36:22	113:3,7,19 114:11
heading 34:2	215:7,22 216:4,10	higher 9:1 12:10	80:17	115:12 311:9
301:11	216:22 217:1,4	22:7 59:3 68:6,18	history 48:9,13,18	326:6
health 152:8 156:2	225:7 226:17,19	74:1 75:2,12 76:6	48:23 52:3 56:8	Hypalon 55:2,2
156:11 208:17	240:7 245:9 247:2	92:3 113:2,24	81:3 82:8 86:14	59:1 83:6,10,11
209:4 210:12	247:8 250:24	201:15 203:14,23	107:11 109:8	83:15
211:8 213:1 215:3	256:7 257:14	204:1,9,22,23,24	<b>hit</b> 40:10	hypothetical 149:3
healthier 212:8	260:16 265:10	205:3 217:14	hitting 116:20,21	149:7,9,15 151:9
214:9	269:12 270:8	220:6,10,15,20	hoc 125:19	151:18 197:22
hear 47:15 48:2	273:21 274:1	221:12 229:4,12	hold 17:8 79:19	202:3,11 203:8
206:13 299:5	277:13,17 278:20	230:6,18 266:22	137:1 144:18	253:5
heard 7:21 8:24	279:3,23 280:20	267:21 270:16	211:4 214:1	Hypothetically
13:12 21:1 30:22	284:8,10 288:19	275:21 277:21	hole 87:6 116:5	201:3
43:16 48:13 60:11	289:2,10,12 291:5	296:16,16 313:8	holes 87:9	
65:24 66:17 73:7	291:23 292:13,19	highest 12:6 103:23	home 209:18	

	1		1	1
ice 318:19,21	<b>im-</b> 9:12 189:15	239:15 241:22	increase 211:11	302:24 303:3
idea 28:16 191:20	immediate 11:3	242:4 296:7	230:23 235:12	324:16
212:13 250:23	immediately	impoverished	273:14	individual 26:1,2
290:11 321:10	192:22 219:9,13	210:11	increased 229:17	75:23 127:6
325:11	<b>impact</b> 9:14 10:16	impression 256:4	306:1	224:22
ideas 279:10	14:10 23:17 47:13	improvement	increases 275:10	individuals 214:17
identical 233:18,21	77:22 78:15,22	308:15	increasing 27:13	industrial 132:1
identification	103:10 139:15	inaccuracies	29:1,2,2,3,4,10	140:7 141:12
37:11 49:2	157:7 211:7	225:14	77:10,14 96:15,18	142:10 210:5,10
identified 19:6	212:20 320:1	inaccurate 227:14	123:17 124:2,5,6	210:16 214:22
26:12 37:2 44:1	impacted 103:10	inactive 108:12,17	281:19 282:20	industry 18:22
45:7 46:13 51:12	318:1	108:22 296:6,8	283:20 284:15	61:15,20,21,24
87:10 91:20 108:8	impacting 10:10	311:5	286:3,19 287:3,14	210:3 279:7
117:19 126:16	22:16,19,20 23:2	<b>inch</b> 13:4,4,6	287:21 304:10,11	infiltration 197:1
137:7 157:21	33:14 71:8 159:8	inches 55:12 84:15	304:15 305:5,9,10	301:1
160:20 161:6	159:14 162:3	110:3 111:2,12,14	306:4	influence 27:18
174:16 175:7	<b>impacts</b> 47:5 71:13	112:9 113:16	independence	186:14
190:20 293:9	80:19 81:13,20	324:24 325:5	207:6	influences 126:14
302:7 318:9	122:23 126:14,18	incidence 87:5	independent 18:21	information 71:14
320:11,15 323:13	136:7,10 139:22	incident 66:10	272:14	90:3 117:15
identifies 24:8	140:5 141:8 142:7	Incineration	independently 8:14	192:14 203:8
identify 65:19	156:2 157:11,14	131:21	287:5	308:9,16 319:17
66:20 80:23	157:23 158:15,20	<b>include</b> 18:4 104:19	indicate 52:13 94:1	324:23
130:12,24 177:18	160:2,3,12 163:4	108:3 194:16	122:9	ingesting 208:24
178:6	171:18 172:9,23	included 17:21	indicated 13:18,20	ingestion 154:15
identifying 70:12	175:8 186:4	36:16 121:7	53:21 103:5	ingredients 148:11
<b>IEPA</b> 32:5	212:19 317:8	136:14 139:15	137:24 146:4	inherent 27:20
ignore 87:14	320:20	324:5	250:19	inherit 215:1
<b>Illinois</b> 1:1,15,16	implied 9:12	includes 16:19	indicates 101:18	initially 22:2 95:21
2:2,3,7,12 3:4 5:4	implies 279:6	26:24 262:23	239:13	inlet 82:17,18
22:11 24:21 25:2	imply 64:24 301:24	including 38:20	indicating 271:12	inorganic 136:17
25:8 31:6 32:16	important 45:21	44:23 66:4 101:14	indicative 38:20	139:13 152:23
33:8 34:19 35:8,9	48:24 58:3,9,12	131:11 146:23	indicator 13:5	153:5,6
41:24 43:4,6	89:16 92:24	204:12 207:22	237:7,20,24	inorganics 136:15
44:14 46:14,18,24	113:16 126:23	inconclusive 77:12	239:14,24 242:6	136:20 137:2
59:10 61:24 62:4	127:5 208:23	inconsistency	242:12 243:1	138:18 139:9
63:3 72:11,14	211:9 274:24	20:13	257:7,19 258:2	165:14 166:14
78:8 79:24 80:22	277:6	inconsistent 15:21	259:15 261:18	175:12,14
89:8 90:7 126:19	importantly 89:4	15:22 16:1,6,10	301:20 302:1	<b>input</b> 282:3,13
127:13 158:21	impossible 116:14	16:10 19:23 20:4	324:8	<b>inside</b> 62:21
164:6 200:11	273:16	20:11,17 42:15	indicators 29:8	insignificant 58:6
206:11 211:4	impoundment	69:22 119:16	92:13 234:12	install 298:13
230:19,21 249:6	234:13,17	140:3 235:1,4	241:21 242:3,15	installation 36:19
263:7 317:22	impoundments	238:21 262:7	244:16 245:14	125:3
333:1,9,18	82:3 108:12 109:8	incorrect 36:24	258:13,18 301:13	installed 36:4,6,10

111:22 123:9.10	263:22 272:17	76:16 77:3 80:11	174:7 183:3.24	217:3 220:7.9
298:10 299:10.12	277:22 278:2	80:16 105:7.10	184:15 191:20	222:15 224:19
299:24 325:3	island 172:5	157:5,7,12,13	193:1 196:16	225:13,14,18
installing 324:24	issue 60:6,7 62:3,12	158:15 159:11,15	220:24 223:9	226:23 230:4,15
instance 46:5	65:7 85:22 86:12	160:11.20 161:10	237:12 243:22	243:3 248:9 249:8
instances 241:13	96:3 124:23	161:11 163:13	250:23 256:13.13	249:11 251:19
Institute 18:21	187:16 191:3	164:20 165:12	265:17 292:15	256:21 257:1
insufficiently	215:17 224:18	290:6 291:9.12	319:9	258:15 261:15
207:21	issues 63:8 65:5.19	292:21 293:8	kinds 75:20.21	267:13.24 268:5
intake 24:16	185:14 225:13	294:1.6.21 295:4	146:18 164:2	268:13 269:1.13
<b>INTEGRITY 2:15</b>	259:3	303:24 317:6.8	180:4 204:13	274:12 275:9
intend 234:15	It' 59:24 180:1	323:13	knew 253:14 280:9	279:10.11.18
intended 61:2.2.11	item 287:5	iournal 279:17	325:9	280:12.13.15
169:15		280:6	<b>know</b> 10:6.22 11:20	282:2.17.19 283:6
interested 103:1	J	iournals 280:17.17	15:16 23:13 27:6	290:11 293:13
283:10	<b>J</b> 7:2 314:19,19,22	322:24	36:3,11 43:7	297:15,19 305:8
interesting 58:18	315:1,10,19,24	<b>July 298</b> :1	44:23 45:3,4,20	305:19 308:11
122:3 268:13	316:2,6,13,15	<b>Junior</b> 207:4	45:22 46:7 50:5	309:14,16,17
287:19	327:2,5,10,16	209:10	56:8 59:6,9,11	311:3 319:5,16,18
intergranular 64:8	328:6,15,19 329:2		60:21 61:5 80:18	321:13,24 322:3
64:11,22	329:4,8,17 330:4	<u> </u>	83:8 87:22 88:22	323:19 325:8
internally 281:14	James 1:15 6:8	<b>K</b> 333:3	90:7 92:20 94:10	326:4 330:9
internet 308:7	January 200:4	Katie 289:8	95:21 100:5,5,8	Knowing 207:19
interrupt 132:24	327:15	keep 130:18 138:22	103:23 104:1,22	knowledge 99:22
186:9	<b>jar</b> 88:9	151:13 173:13	107:16,17 122:3	147:11 148:4
interrupted 198:7	<b>Jason</b> 6:8	176:7 192:12	126:8,10 127:18	161:20 162:4
interrupting 198:5	<b>Jennifer</b> 3:6 6:1	197:8 209:5	133:6 135:22,24	182:21 184:10
314:17	188:23	213:10 245:10	142:20 146:11	193:6 310:22
introduce 5:16	<b>Jim</b> 41:16	257:14 259:7	147:15 148:7	319:9 323:4,15
intuitively 9:24	jn@nimanfranze	268:12 270:5	152:14 156:4,5	324:22
10:2	3:5	289:14 299:5	162:15,19 163:18	knowledgeable
investigating 46:20	<b>job</b> 155:15	keeps 57:22	165:1,4,7 167:21	46:21 143:14
investigation	<b>Joliet</b> 7:9,15 9:3	Kelly 53:21 55:4	173:22,23,23	known 10:3 21:3
104:15 131:22	11:24 13:16 14:15	59:11 65:24 78:13	174:8,8 175:17	38:6 74:13
investigations	14:16 20:24 21:4	Kentucky 212:17	176:22 177:5,7,20	knows 193:9
24:22 46:15	22:15 23:19 26:5	kept 207:1	177:21 178:24	Knuckle 63:18
<b>involved</b> 214:18	28:20,21 30:24	kg@nimanfranz	179:8 182:3 183:6	<b>KPRG</b> 22:7 161:12
<b>involves</b> 207:11	35:19 36:15,16	3:5	183:13,15 186:13	163:7 164:23
inwardgradient	37:13,15,21 39:23	kids 207:13 209:9	190:15,16,16	176:21 293:5
62:20	40:14,24 42:6,9	209:15	191:19,20,23	294:3,6
iron 120:20 239:21	42:19,22 43:18,20	kind 11:10 36:6	192:3 193:5	Kristen 3:6 6:2
239:24 240:2,10	44:7,10 46:2	58:18 68:15 72:21	194:13 198:11,15	Kunkel 8:24 10:8
240:15,16	47:11 48:9 49:9	80:20 92:8,21	200:1,2 202:10,12	10:23 11:18 12:3
ironic 28:3	49:20 50:6,21	98:4 99:15 158:2	203:14 204:6,20	22:21 27:3,22
irrelevant 263:13	51:20 56:3 69:15	161:12 162:17	209:12 213:4,19	30:23 31:11 33:19

[				
36:9 41:20 58:5	300:1 309:7.10	leachate 15:10.23	leave 152:6 182:22	56:17 57:7 59:3
61:14 63:7 65:7	318:8.14	16:2.3 18:24	214:9	85:4.12.19 89:6
79:11 95:21 99:23	landfills 62:6.8	69:15 70:1 233:10	leaves 215:1	89:10 95:1.5
184:5 225:20	296:6.8 298:11	233:16,24 234:12	leaving 153:23	103:23 114:2,8
227:22 292:3	323:22	239:14 241:22	left 7:7 15:8 21:11	115:1 154:24
320:23 321:10,17	lane 67:20	242:4,7,15 243:10	26:13 30:5 59:4	200:9,19 210:21
321:20 322:20	large 9:12 178:11	244:19,24 246:5,9	94:16 132:21	254:16 261:17
324:7	317:3 318:20	246:13,21 247:11	167:9 177:12	268:14 274:24
Kunkel's 30:3	larger 178:1 271:23	247:24 248:14,15	218:14 321:11	276:13 277:24
194:21 218:11	272:10	250:11,14,17,19	legacy 209:22	312:20,21,21
222:6 223:6 224:9	LaSalle 3:3	250:22 251:6,10	210:16 212:22	314:16 315:16
	laser 216:23	252:9 256:16	215:1,3	317:22
L	lasts 41:22	257:23 259:16,19	legend 239:7	lied 312:8
lab 262:6 271:22	late 40:3 50:7 99:5	261:7,24 262:1,5	legible 141:18	life 58:20 86:14
276:16 277:22	laterally 154:14	262:8,10 263:11	Len 90:11	198:20
labeled 98:5 242:6	296:18	263:16,20,24	let's 11:21 17:7	lifelong 209:1
244:8	Latino 212:23	264:4,14,17 265:7	40:19 129:6 137:8	likelihood 235:12
laboratory 268:3	law 1:3 2:6,10 5:6	267:6,18 268:2,7	145:12 150:5	likes 188:8 196:4
270:21 272:18	152:10	268:16 269:21,23	155:16 156:9	lime 148:12
274:21,22 276:15	lay 34:16 124:10	270:3,17,19 271:2	157:1 173:3 178:3	limestone 49:13
313:3,11	layer 55:11 56:11	271:7,11,19,22	181:3 205:15	51:2 55:11 70:13
LaFarge 86:22	58:3 59:24 60:2	272:5,11,15,18,24	216:6 221:16	70:13 72:1,18
115:20	84:10,13,15	273:12,16 274:23	223:11 224:4	84:14 111:13
lake 84:6,24 85:2	110:13 111:13	275:16,18 276:2	225:17 255:6	170:13,18 171:5,9
85:13 86:14,15	143:24 298:22	276:24 277:4,6,10	265:17 280:24	limit 154:17 168:16
91:1,14 98:11	299:17 307:8,10	312:21 322:10	285:23 288:2,19	261:2 264:19,23
199:6 206:19	310:6,7,20	leaches 300:23	309:21	313:2,5,6,7,8,9,13
207:12,16,23	layering 57:12 61:6	leaching 49:18	level 9:19 12:7,10	313:14,20,23,23
208:3 209:7,11,14	61:6	71:19 190:11	12:15 13:5 30:17	314:2,2,12 315:5
211:23 212:2,5,12	layers 56:10 57:16	208:15 209:13	32:18,19,22,23	315:17,19 316:2,3
212:24 213:11,13	83:20 84:9 110:4	lead 325:21	38:10 59:5,11,12	316:6,7,10 327:24
213:16 306:17	ldubin@elpc.org	leader 213:2	63:24 68:15,18	328:3,9,11,12
lakefront 98:10,12	2:13	leaders 212:6 213:7	87:10 113:18,23	329:9,10,11
209:21 210:16	leach 160:24 161:8	leading 138:13	115:11 155:6	limited 156:2
213:8	161:15 163:12	325:19	156:12 262:18,19	172:11 191:22
lakes 209:3	164:10,20 170:6	<b>leak</b> 117:8	263:2,3 265:24	212:24 326:9
LAM 2:19	176:14,16 178:20	leaking 66:14,16	266:9,15,23	<b>limits</b> 251:22
land 44:21 81:15	179:1 192:17	90:4,6 117:16,17	267:18,21,22	255:11 329:17
93:6,13 229:22	213:20 251:17	201:21 202:4	268:1,15 269:7,23	330:4
landfill 45:4 62:21	253:8 254:2,7,12	204:7 205:1,2,7	274:18,21 275:20	LINDSAY 2:13
132:5 149:23	254:18 255:8,13	learn 65:22 115:15	276:8,9,17 306:10	line 26:19 29:1
150:24 151:1	255:16 256:15,18	116:23	313:4 315:5,6	30:13 35:11 77:11
155:25 161:16,19	265:20,23 266:3	learned 31:20 49:3	327:18 330:22,23	92:20 94:17 98:2
103:15 292:24	303:12	212:15,15,19	levels 9:2,23 22:4	114:5 119:2 120:1
293:1,3 299:11	leachability 193:11	learning 207:7	31:5,16 41:5,14	120:24 123:17

February 2, 2018

Page 352

	1	I	I	
145:13,18 150:9	listed 134:18	178:7 193:23	181:15 190:10	276:17 296:11
150:10 159:4	188:22 192:3	195:7 208:7	191:18,24 194:7	301:3 303:4 308:9
162:1 166:1	224:24	209:20	194:18 196:6,17	324:14,15,20
173:12 181:6	<b>lit-</b> 19:12	location 7:22 8:4	199:14,23 202:13	329:14
187:10 188:14	liter 200:21 203:21	37:12 66:18 73:21	202:15,17 203:4	looking 14:21 26:3
193:3 281:12,13	228:21,22 234:1,2	73:24 74:18 75:2	204:21 205:5	28:14 39:1 48:8
281:24 287:5	248:18 249:3,10	94:14 135:9	216:17 224:4	48:12 54:15 73:20
296:4	249:14 250:1,5,6	location-by-locat	225:3,12 226:8	74:24 81:2 83:19
linear 26:9 77:4	250:7,9,12,14	292:18	227:10,11,13	83:23 89:6 90:17
123:15	251:7 253:7,15	locations 7:24	229:14 236:1	91:4 94:16 96:13
lined 54:3 63:4	254:1 260:2	90:21 199:10	238:9 239:5 242:5	100:21 101:4
83:5 148:14	261:20,22 262:11	<b>locus</b> 73:21	247:19 249:18,19	103:15 105:1,4
208:13 311:4	265:20,22 269:21	Log 37:13	252:23 253:3	106:6 109:18
liner 54:19,24 55:7	270:4 271:3 273:1	logs 35:24 36:15,17	254:16,24 255:10	110:5 117:18
55:8,14 59:2 60:4	274:7 276:22	36:24 37:5,7,10	255:12 259:5,20	118:9 122:13
63:8,9 65:6,6 66:5	314:6,8,9,11	162:20 180:7,11	260:13,14 261:8	123:4 131:23
66:10 83:6,11	literature 19:13	180:14 181:15	262:24 270:12	132:9 143:7 162:6
84:12 85:23 86:4	little 19:22,23	182:2 183:18,24	276:19 286:16	175:17 178:11
87:6 110:21 111:6	28:13 30:23 40:12	186:14 295:20,21	287:6,8 288:2,16	193:15,21 194:9
111:6 112:13	57:1 69:6,20	long 159:3 209:4	294:13,16 297:21	195:13 203:16
113:14 115:10	70:21 72:7 78:7	223:7 224:14	303:2,17 314:23	204:11 218:2
116:5,8,17 143:12	82:23 83:14,16,16	236:21 244:17	314:24 315:1	221:23 226:4,18
146:7 147:19	88:8 91:13,13,14	290:20 312:18,23	319:22 326:22	226:20 227:3
148:2,22 149:10	96:6 119:14	316:24	327:17,20 328:22	228:6 251:23
297:24 298:18,18	120:20 125:21	long-term 28:15	looked 7:13,15	261:13 281:7
298:23 299:13	126:24 127:2	longer 209:9 214:5	15:16 22:9 25:21	283:2,20 286:1
307:5,8 310:2	154:23 156:6	longstanding 320:7	27:11 28:23 29:19	289:16 297:12
liners 52:13 57:12	182:12,13 193:18	look 15:10 23:15	43:10 47:20 49:5	303:16,19,24
62:7 65:20 109:3	220:3 223:5	28:22 29:6 31:19	49:6,10,13 55:22	307:2,19 308:12
109:14,19,20,22	224:17 235:8	31:22 32:7 37:3	56:16 57:4 70:7	308:15 324:12
116:9 145:21	241:18 243:22	39:3,11 40:19	74:19 76:9 81:11	329:20
146:13,23 148:16	257:4 259:1 279:8	41:15 44:13 46:23	81:17 84:17 85:17	looks 17:12 40:9
213:19 298:10	280:16 284:11	48:9 51:6 56:9	103:3 107:20,21	98:4,6 106:24
299:11 323:22	288:17 299:8	57:6 73:22,23	107:22 108:4	134:8 178:12
lines 13:21,22,23	306:18 317:15	74:4,24 77:8 78:5	109:12 113:6,6,20	188:7 196:4 232:4
13:24,24 75:11,21	live 154:24 206:16	87:12 92:19 104:7	114:10 115:9	243:21 260:20
91:9 138:4 144:17	209:15 300:16	104:13,21 118:19	123:17 126:10	278:13 283:7
144:24 145:4	lived 58:20 206:11	124:3 125:21	131:10,17 132:3,4	302:12
286:22	LLC 1:7 5:8	129:24 131:11,19	132:5,6,13,19	Lori 1:13 6:10
lining 55:18 84:4	LLP 3:2	131:24 135:6	134:5 157:6,10,19	188:9 333:6,17
liquid 131:21	load 148:23	137:13 143:21	158:12 164:2	lot 21:1 46:2 48:3
148:23,24	loading 148:20	152:21 163:19	174:11,14,21,24	50:11 51:24 69:19
list 81.13 192.1			105 04 100 10	00 04 00 1 10
<b>HSt</b> 01.15 172.1	local 68:16 209:21	165:20 169:6	185:24 192:13	88:24 89:1,19
219:6 316:21	local 68:16 209:21 locate 126:23 127:5	165:20 169:6 171:8 174:10	203:19 204:12	88:24 89:1,19 127:10 132:18
219:6 316:21 332:3	local 68:16 209:21 locate 126:23 127:5 located 135:8 177:6	165:20 169:6 171:8 174:10 178:3 179:11	185:24 192:13 203:19 204:12 254:18,19 276:15	88:24 89:1,19 127:10 132:18 133:11 155:9,14

155:20 163:17	magic 209:2 224:1	224:8 226:20	184:8 193:11	236:21 238:18
172:4 174:14,24	<b>main</b> 119:1	294:9,16,18 295:5	254:8	239:12 240:17
177:4 181:9	maintaining 47:20	maps 103:5 195:6	<b>materials</b> 51:9 64:5	249:1,2 253:12
192:14 194:16	maintenance 79:22	195:23 218:13	72:16 73:2 105:2	261:11 275:9
202:24 241:11	<b>major</b> 211:10	295:16	138:20 153:19	276:15 279:6
279:10 286:17	majority 12:14	margin 71:1 114:1	161:7 166:16	280:13 287:5
309:13 319:17	making 162:18	<b>Maria</b> 37:9 48:13	171:2 180:4	293:14 301:24
lots 127:9 181:14	215:12 271:24	81:4 119:21 297:6	182:14 290:1	315:20 331:2
181:23 244:7	man 35:2,2	mark 53:21 55:3	mathematical	meaning 11:9
280:10,16	manage 47:1,9	59:11,13 65:24	26:10 27:16 28:4	15:21 25:20 26:20
loud 138:6 145:3	126:17 155:3	313:17	28:7,8 114:16	33:12 70:2 116:4
louder 134:11	managed 50:9	marked 4:15 20:22	224:3	119:17 169:4
love 207:13 212:10	80:21 106:4,4	24:5,13 25:16	mathematically	means 34:18 35:2
low 41:22,23 94:20	management 24:9	29:24 33:21 39:2	304:19 305:15	41:22 43:10 69:23
95:1 141:23 144:5	24:12,18,20 53:9	72:1 97:2 124:14	matrix 183:22	85:4 315:21 316:1
146:7,15 200:2,3	73:8,11 121:2,8	242:10,18	323:1	333:9
249:24 267:12,23	160:13	mass 72:13 230:5	matter 5:5 33:12,13	meant 33:12 169:6
274:19	manganese 29:3	<b>master</b> 332:3	40:16 85:21 115:2	182:9 191:14
lower 12:15,15	76:9 89:5 94:6	match 20:7 70:2	275:2 276:5	measurable 64:24
68:5,7,21 74:3	95:7,10,13,14,18	92:22 93:1 119:3	<b>mature</b> 46:20	96:7
95:5 155:6 196:8	96:4 103:4,8,15	119:18 175:21	maximum 57:7	measure 13:3 32:21
196:13 198:14	103:18,21 104:7	233:18,18,22	85:8 113:22 114:2	33:13 199:9
202:6 204:10	122:6 155:17,19	234:3,6 238:19,20	114:24 115:11	266:17 269:5
219:20 222:24	155:22 156:1,10	243:11 244:12	225:22 298:23	measured 10:16,17
251:9 262:18	186:12 301:17,20	245:19 251:15	326:10	190:18
267:18 296:17	302:2,6,16,24	252:2,3,14,16	maximums 43:3	measurement 13:2
306:18 310:15	303:10 304:7,9,12	253:10,17 254:6	McGraw-Edison	13:8
313:22,24 314:3	305:3 306:1 324:5	262:14,16 264:1	132:7	measuring 96:2
314:14 315:6	324:8	264:12,15,18,20	MCL 155:18,23	220:9
lowest 9:21 158:20	Mann-Kendall	264:22 265:2	MCLs 156:6	mechanical 313:4
198:18	27:23 28:10	267:7 268:11,17	mean 10:19 18:19	median 31:13 32:4
lunch 203:11	manufactured	269:6,9 278:9	20:5 34:16,21	32:11,13 33:11
205:13,15,23	132:16	matches 251:24	46:7 56:7 58:8	168:18 169:16
220:4	manufacturer	matching 140:1	75:16 92:7 115:16	170:4 218:16
Lux 86:18 115:23	132:17	231:2 234:15	135:15 141:22	223:7,8,12,13,14
Lux's 87:2	map 8:3 21:2,11	238:15 258:24	144:2 149:24	223:19,19,23
	24:6 51:13 66:20	278:5 279:16	152:15 155:4	224:4,6,15,20
M	66:24 67:21 81:22	material 60:13	162:11 163:10	225:22 228:3
<b>M</b> 7:2,5 129:20	90:17 91:5 93:6	112:10 135:4	169:19 174:5,8	321:12
312:15 326:20	93:12 101:11	148:10 149:16	183:7 184:3	medium 168:18
330:20	102:11,23,24	153:18 160:22,23	201:11 217:3	melts 78:18
<b>M-I-L</b> 84:12	108:8,10 117:19	161:8 164:19,22	224:5,20 225:21	members 206:3
machine 328:10	118:12 177:14	169:23 170:3,5	227:22,24 228:5,7	213:6,9
333:10	178:1 194:1,14,15	171:5,12 180:10	228:15,16,19	membrane 298:18
Maddox 297:7,7,8	194:18 195:4,13	180:13 183:17	229:3,4 230:8,16	memory 46:7
	,			v

235:19 236:1,6,15	Michigan 84:6	153:17 186:7	152:16 179:16,19	203:18 239:17
244:14 245:24	85:13 131:5	migration 172:15	256:6 302:20	309:5 318:16
mention 309:6	207:23 208:4	<b>mil</b> 84:12	mistake 194:3	319:2 327:14
mentioned 19:10	212:24 213:13,16	mile 206:18 212:4	misunderstanding	<b>Monroe</b> 131:4,8
32:11 44:12 45:9	microgram 260:4,8	milligrams 200:20	274:14	134:6,19
49:19 53:18 60:16	269:21 270:4	203:21 228:21,22	<b>mixed</b> 73:2 309:20	month 218:24
70:6 77:18 127:13	271:3 273:1 274:7	233:24 234:2	<b>mixing</b> 197:2	morning 5:2 232:7
127:15 145:22	micrograms 249:10	248:18 249:3	<b>mobile</b> 149:24	283:4
154:12 160:11	249:14 250:4,6,8	250:1,6 255:3	150:2,3,12 151:2	<b>mot</b> 64:2
161:5 163:6	250:9,12,14 251:7	276:22 314:9	152:3,13,15 153:4	mother 206:15
168:12 196:16	253:6,15,24	<b>million</b> 103:22	258:2,9,16	<b>Motor</b> 132:11
229:9 230:2	254:21 255:3,7,7	mimics 11:10	mobility 150:15	<b>mound</b> 68:19
252:22 294:2	255:14,14 260:2	mind 218:9 224:23	Mohawk 2:7	mounding 11:23
300:11 301:3	260:21,23 261:20	289:14	moment 128:12,16	12:4,12,16 13:9
311:8 320:9	261:21 262:11	minimis 53:23	311:21 330:10	move 69:6 128:8
321:14 326:4	265:19,22 266:7	<b>minimum</b> 225:22	331:19	171:15 178:19
mentioning 233:8	276:23 314:5,7,10	<b>minus</b> 298:24	money 58:23 106:8	189:20 196:22
314:20	microscopic 64:8	<b>minute</b> 137:9	<b>monitor</b> 12:4,8,9	197:6,19 214:10
merely 162:13	mid-2000s 106:7	199:18 312:11,13	12:10,10,14,16	231:2 244:2
mess 213:14	mid-50 92:21	minutes 106:14	23:21 24:1 30:9	269:15 278:4
met 22:11	middle 7:8 29:13	209:18 312:8,9	35:22,22,23 36:5	280:22
metal 52:5,9 54:10	30:10 67:20	323:8	38:13 41:7 70:20	moved 153:3
54:20 57:13 65:14	111:15 112:10,11	<b>minutia</b> 46:22	70:24 76:4,4 94:7	201:12 219:24
104:18,22	123:23	<b>mis-</b> 150:13	94:8,11 122:2	moves 95:18 96:5
metals 104:20	Midwest 1:7 5:8,16	mischaracterizes	126:20 192:7	152:24 153:1,2
191:19	6:2 39:2 47:12	168:20 277:12,16	200:9,18 201:2	258:4 275:19
method 27:14,16	79:16 87:15,20	302:20	219:15 220:8	296:18
27:17,17,21 28:5	97:7,18 98:15	mischaracterizing	318:17,22,23	<b>moving</b> 81:1 85:2
28:6,7,8 233:4,5	102:15,19 103:3	279:21	321:5,6	105:5 106:11
255:24 256:2	105:24 123:10	mismatch 234:24	monitored 194:17	136:5 150:16
266:15 267:8	125:11 126:19	235:4,5 240:18	monitoring 7:22	198:13 199:5
274:18,20 275:7	128:8 145:20	241:15 251:20	8:4,10 15:15 21:7	229:17 298:9
278:12,13 279:1	170:11 171:23	252:12,16,19	21:8 23:2,18	Multiple 132:22
279:14 280:5	176:18,18 186:6	255:23 267:8	24:17 26:12 36:7	Municipal 208:20
281:8,23 282:9	254:5 260:11	268:22 276:4	36:19 38:9,14	<b>MW-01</b> 26:13
313:8,11,13,19	272:13 273:5	mismatched 244:8	39:4 40:11 41:1	<b>MW-11</b> 39:4,6,23
314:4 315:15	274:10 298:1	mismatches 235:8	42:1 44:3 66:19	<b>MW-16</b> 66:23
316:9 327:17,24	332:7	244:4	66:21 70:17 71:3	<b>MW-2</b> 240:16
328:9,11 329:9	migrate 151:4	missing 267:10	73:10 77:17,22	<b>MW-5</b> 203:13
methodical 66:3,8	154:14	322:4	90:21 91:2,18	217:24 219:2,21
87:4 116:2	migrated 153:24	misspoke 50:23	94:2 117:19,22,24	220:20 221:13,18
methods 232:12	188:20	misspoken 272:2	118:6 121:7 178:8	222:12,17,18
233:1,2 281:4	migrates 38:11,12	misstatement	187:16 191:2	229:13 249:21
305:13	275:18	150:14	193:22 194:6,10	<b>MW-6</b> 103:21
MGP 132:18	migrating 34:6,11	misstates 17:10	198:17 200:5	<b>MW-7</b> 217:24
	-			

		1	1	
219:2,21 220:20	62:17 64:18	166:22 167:18	non-quarterly	61:7 71:18 75:5
221:13,18 222:12	135:24 142:21	168:9,19 170:8	194:17	92:8 96:17 97:21
222:20 223:3	161:22 164:1	173:7 174:2	non-site-specific	99:19 100:19
224:7,15,22	167:2 205:6	179:16,18,19	150:20	124:4 125:16
227:17,23,24	209:24 210:24	180:16 181:18	nonconclusion	132:15 189:17
228:20,23,24	213:23 225:3	185:3,9 187:23	286:17	207:2 220:17
229:4,13 320:24	309:18 312:10	188:11,14,21	nonissue 60:14	222:8 248:3,11,17
<b>MW-7's</b> 224:10	needed 47:22	189:11 190:4	<b>normal</b> 43:14	249:6 283:7
<b>MW-8</b> 195:7 196:1	negative 26:18	191:11 195:10,12	105:12	313:18 314:21
222:22 223:4	143:18 211:7	197:21 217:2	normalizing 27:24	315:23,23 316:14
224:12,16 228:24	neighboring 100:3	221:20 232:8	normally 57:20	316:15 328:8
229:1,5 320:24	neighbors 98:6	248:2 256:3 265:8	125:17,18 144:4	numbered 195:15
MW-9 195:7 196:1	neither 56:15 77:13	269:10 273:19,22	183:20,22 198:16	numbers 32:13,14
MWG 97:23	96:15 109:5 124:1	273:23 277:11,15	316:8	93:4 124:3 231:10
myriad 207:24	124:5 286:3	278:19 279:2,19	north 91:11 98:12	248:6 252:11
	287:13 304:11	284:3 291:3,14,21	98:15 108:17	278:14 283:5
<u> </u>	305:5	292:1,11,16	177:24 318:20	numerator 234:23
N 2:1 3:1 4:1 7:2,5	net 114:1 255:14	294:13,17 295:15	northeast 22:23	235:3
7:5 129:20,20	network 1:4 5:24	297:8,13 302:19	24:5 161:4,16,19	<b>NW</b> 2:15
312:15,15 326:20	318:16	304:2 312:10,12	163:7,16 292:6,23	
326:20 330:20,20	never 202:12 249:7	312:16 318:3	323:13	0
name 5:2,18 206:6	249:11 268:5	322:5 325:21,23	northern 98:23	<b>O</b> 7:2,2,5 129:20,20
206:8 278:17	new 123:13 143:24	326:13,16 327:2	Nos 129:1	312:15 326:20,20
national 132:1	147:20 214:6	329:19 330:19,21	notary 1:13 333:18	330:20 333:3,3
309:7	232:10,13 233:3	331:4,9,11	<b>note</b> 6:5 30:10	o'clock 1:17 107:2
<b>native</b> 110:23	245:22	nitrate 38:20 77:24	49:23 84:17	Oakland 2:21
natural 34:8 84:8	newspaper 98:5	nitrates 38:17	112:14 240:11	<b>object</b> 127:2 151:10
95:6 111:21 112:4	nice 51:21,23,23	nitrogen 38:17	321:24	166:23 168:20
naturally 208:8	59:24 104:23	<b>non-</b> 271:24	notebook 83:15	187:23 188:21,24
240:2	125:20	non-analyzed 89:1	noted 136:19	221:20 265:9
nature 50:3 212:10	<b>nick</b> 116:4	non-coal 159:7	168:23	273:19,23 279:20
325:19	<b>nicks</b> 116:8	non-comparison	notes 25:10,11	325:18
near 11:12 31:21	NIJMAM 3:6	271:24	27:23 101:16	objection 17:9,10
120:4 178:17	Nijman 3:2 5:15	non-detect 248:23	333:13	31:23 128:14,18
207:14 213:13	6:1,1 7:6 17:15,19	278:1	noticed 321:6	140:10 142:12
326:8	24:2 65:3 69:3	non-detects 89:1	Noting 111:15	149:3,6 152:16
nearest 23:21,24	97:6,10 106:16	non-indicator	Nowicki 86:23	154:3,7 159:21
necessarily 135:20	107:3,5,6 114:15	237:1,6 241:2,14	115:20	170:8 179:16,19
147:20 155:4	127:4 128:7 129:4	243:14	NRG 211:4 214:11	180:16,22 185:4
227:1 230:8	138:22 140:9,21	non-indicators	215:1	189:2 190:4
233:20 254:15	142:12,21 144:18	241:24 242:22	NRG's 213:18	197:21 256:3,6
258:20 308:19	145:5 149:2,6	243:5 244:11	NRT 297:24	277:11,15 284:3
necessary 24:24	151:7 152:16	245:18	<b>number</b> 16:6 31:18	291:3,14 302:19
12/:18	154:3,5,6 156:14	non-monitored	32:12,24 33:3,24	31/:10/321:19
neea 53:20 60:8	157:24 158:6	194:16	40:17 47:15 55:16	329:19 332:5

objections 188:24	97:4,8 106:12,17	304:1 314:18	237:21 238:5	185:18 186:24
<b>objective</b> 27:17,21	106:23 114:12	327:23	239:3,3,6,18	187:8 190:19
objectives 25:7	127:3 128:7,10,13	okay 7:12,20 33:22	240:15 241:1,9	192:8 212:15
99:8	128:17,19 129:5	39:14,22 41:18	244:1,15 245:3	218:4 220:21
obligation 141:17	129:13 130:17,20	42:21 44:6 48:19	246:1 247:22,23	221:12,19
observation 91:11	137:8 140:11,18	48:19 69:4 87:23	248:22 250:2	once 40:10 272:16
287:19 308:22	141:14 142:15,19	89:6 100:18	252:18 254:12	one-and-a-half-f
observations	143:2 145:9 149:4	106:15 110:15	255:5 256:12	58:3
162:13,17 169:8	151:12 152:18	119:20 121:19	257:2,6 258:5,12	<b>one-by-one</b> 285:23
234:23 320:11	154:4,8 156:19,23	128:10 130:3,12	258:17,23 260:3,5	ones 29:10,11
observed 234:10	158:4,8 167:3,7	131:15 133:9	261:2,9,19 263:23	37:16 291:15
241:20 249:17	167:23 168:22	134:1 135:2,10,20	267:15 269:17	320:10
obtained 16:20	174:18 176:6	136:1,4 137:22	270:7 273:10	ongoing 74:17
21:22 190:9	179:17,21 180:21	138:8,21 141:14	274:13 277:5	309:4
obviously 13:7	180:23 188:4,9	142:2 144:10	278:4,17,22	<b>open</b> 136:6
38:22 45:19 71:18	197:8,12 198:2	145:2,12,17,18	279:23 280:24	opening 4:2 218:10
75:10 80:24 81:19	205:14,21 211:16	146:9,17 147:5,5	282:16,24 285:12	operate 60:9
83:13 86:6,13	215:7,22 216:4,10	147:8,12,12	287:23 288:11	operating 49:24
87:14 115:1	216:22 217:1,4	148:22 149:20	289:11 290:5,12	62:16 107:18
268:16 320:19	225:7 226:17,19	150:5,8,11 151:9	292:17,19 294:12	operation 70:8
328:18	240:7 245:9 247:2	151:16 153:21	295:2 296:3 297:4	operations 79:23
Occasionally 42:14	247:8 250:24	154:19 156:9	297:12 299:2	204:13
occur 12:17 114:11	256:7 257:14	157:21 158:22	300:19,21 302:2	operator 183:14
116:9,10,11,18	260:16 265:10	160:8 163:12	302:11 303:20	operators 115:23
occurring 13:9	269:12 270:8	164:19 165:11	304:6 305:1,17,23	<b>opine</b> 159:8
240:2	273:21 274:1	167:5 168:2,7	306:6,9,20 307:1	<b>opined</b> 159:10
occurs 78:13	277:13,17 278:20	171:15,15 172:21	307:17 308:23	166:7
<b>October</b> 218:22	279:3,23 280:20	173:14,24 174:4	309:21 310:14,18	<b>opinion</b> 9:4 11:21
oddly 42:15 119:15	284:8,10 288:19	175:11,24 176:13	311:7,16,19	12:2 22:14 31:3
off-site 37:20 49:3	289:2,12 291:5,23	177:13 178:10,19	312:14 327:1	31:15 38:19 44:20
77:23 78:3 81:13	292:13,19 299:2	180:4 181:5,16,20	328:19 330:10,17	47:12 48:4 50:3
81:20 102:22	302:21 311:23	184:11,22 185:11	old 45:23 46:1	63:12 66:13 71:6
104:9 151:4 154:1	312:2,7,14 317:11	186:23 188:15	80:15 81:8 103:11	71:20 79:16 86:2
157:10,22 171:17	317:17 321:23	189:13 191:10	126:10 127:20,22	87:8 90:3,8
172:4,9,11,15	325:20 326:15	192:11 193:17	155:15 160:1	102:12 105:23
182:22,23 185:19	329:22 330:12,17	194:4,13 196:5	166:24 168:6	117:13 121:3
185:22 186:10,16	331:5,13,17,22	197:11 199:17	190:8 217:8	125:11,13 126:17
186:21 187:1,3,6	oftentimes 46:22	200:6 203:9,20	309:13,13,14,15	128:6 137:1 140:4
187:14 189:23	oh 82:21 97:18	205:9 216:20,22	318:7,10,14 319:8	141:7 142:6,18,24
190:24	118:4 131:15	217:10,12 221:11	319:10,15 320:12	149:22 150:24
offers 207:7	134:8 138:8	223:13 224:1,2	320:12	151:6 152:1
office 2:6 212:3	194:11 215:22	228:19 232:6	older 50:5 83:11	153:22 158:14
otficer 1:12 2:4 4:2	236:8 248:6	233:6,12,14 234:7	on-site 102:1	159:12 160:9,11
5:1,3 6:3,18 17:7	259:11 287:6	234:20 235:7,14	170:22 172:24	160:16 165:13
17:17 68:22 69:2	288:1 299:22	236:8,9,10,14,24	182:23 184:14	166:8 172:22
			1	1

				2
175-11 184-12	234.17 200.6	141.2 4 144.14 17	narticinate 200.17	11/1.13
185.17 21 100.3	207.0 203.13	141.2,4 144.14,17	particular 7/118	norfactly 82.21
102.6 201.10	292.9 293.13	150.7 10 158.23	1/6.12 150.6	performed 98.21
302.15 310.1/	275.15	161.23 162.1	188.10 205.11	period 134.24
oninions 60.17	overall 20:6 15	165.23 24 168.11	257.10 278.11	215·24 221·21
71.15 01.17	30.8 12.9.0,15	173.6 10 177.15	270.1 13 280.5	213.24 221.21
120.13 128.23	<i>11</i> .10 77.12 268.3	178.4 181.4 185.1	277.1,15 200.5 nartics 332.1	223.20 224.14
120.15 120.2,5	286·2 287·1	187.7 8 10 24	partice $552.1$	249.25
137.20 202.24 203·4	200.2 207.4 overboard 154.6	188.7 8 14 105.12	206·20	222.8
205.4 opportunity 1/1·1/	180.17	210.7,0,14 195.12	200.20 nass 1/19·10	225.0 normoshility 68.5
<i>A</i> 2.5 211.22	overbreedth	217.7221.22 227.2527.21	pass 147.10 nassed 201.16	1/3·22 1/1/5 8
42.5 211.22	273.10 23 320.20	222.2,3 224.21	227.6	145.22 144.3,8
108.14	275.19,25 529.20	220.4,10 227.4	227.0 notobod 87:11	145.22 140.7,15
170.14 options 214.0	154.2 156.15	$220.0\ 233.10,20$ $248.2\ 5\ 7\ 8\ 282.5$	Patrick 26.18	140.20 147.1,2,3
options 214.9	134.3 130.13	240.3,3,7,8 283.3	260.17 18	147.10 140.3
order 217:20	overruled 152:18	203.7,9 200.3	200.17,10	
270.14 271.25	108.2 256.8	290.3 294.18	patter il 210.10 pauso 221.5 211.24	13.22 norsonally 122.17
270.14 271.5,5	196.2 230.6 265:11 222:1	297.12,13 290.0	pause 221.5 511.24	215.11 10
272.3 andinamy 78.5	$203.11\ 522.1$	304.1,2327.20,22	<b>DCD</b> 1.6 5.0	213.11,19
orumary 70.5	oversignt 211.12	127.20 21 142.14	<b>FCD</b> 1.0 J.9 neals 75:17 76:1	25.7 196.1 2 2
152.22 152.4	overview $7.14$	137.20,21 142.14	<b>peak</b> 75.17 70.1	33.7 100.1,2,3
152.25 155.4	192.1 <i>A</i>	195.21,22,24	04.0 11 122.12 17	250.24
organizad 125.17	103.14	210.15 529.14 Denedimitriu	94.9,11 122.12,17	perspectives 1/1.9
01ganizeu 123.17 220.11	ownership $171.22$	200.0	172.14	256.12
228:11	ownership 171:23	289:8	172:14	256:13
228:11 origin 34:8	ownership 171:23	289:8 paragraph 98:9,18	172:14 people 45:20 55:18	256:13 photocopying 93:5
228:11 origin 34:8 original 37:1 54:3	$\frac{\mathbf{p}}{\mathbf{p}}$	289:8 paragraph 98:9,18 parallels 38:5,8,8	<b>pennisura</b> 172:0,7 172:14 <b>people</b> 45:20 55:18 135:23 182:2,3 180:14 208:0	256:13 photocopying 93:5 phrase 47:3 139:14
228:11 origin 34:8 original 37:1 54:3 82:10 109:14,20 231:16 20 233:8	ownership 171:23 P P 2:1,1 3:1,1 260:18	289:8 paragraph 98:9,18 parallels 38:5,8,8 parameters 96:17	<b>people</b> 45:20 55:18 135:23 182:2,3 189:14 208:9 211:1 8 212:5	256:13 photocopying 93:5 phrase 47:3 139:14 149:13 180:6
228:11 origin 34:8 original 37:1 54:3 82:10 109:14,20 231:16,20 233:8	ownership 171:23 <u>P</u> P 2:1,1 3:1,1 260:18 P E 7:2	289:8 paragraph 98:9,18 parallels 38:5,8,8 parameters 96:17 104:13 120:17	<b>people</b> 45:20 55:18 135:23 182:2,3 189:14 208:9 211:1,8 212:5 200:18	256:13 photocopying 93:5 phrase 47:3 139:14 149:13 180:6 physical 211:8 physical 10:7
228:11 origin 34:8 original 37:1 54:3 82:10 109:14,20 231:16,20 233:8 233:12 246:11 247:16 259:20	ownership 171:23 P P 2:1,1 3:1,1 260:18 P.E 7:2 n m 332:10	289:8 paragraph 98:9,18 parallels 38:5,8,8 parameters 96:17 104:13 120:17 124:4 paragl 08:14 220:0	<b>people</b> 45:20 55:18 135:23 182:2,3 189:14 208:9 211:1,8 212:5 300:18	256:13 photocopying 93:5 phrase 47:3 139:14 149:13 180:6 physical 211:8 physics 10:7 pick 175:2
228:11 origin 34:8 original 37:1 54:3 82:10 109:14,20 231:16,20 233:8 233:12 246:11 247:16 259:20 290:17 10 227:21	ownership 171:23   •	289:8 paragraph 98:9,18 parallels 38:5,8,8 parameters 96:17 104:13 120:17 124:4 parcel 98:14 230:9 230:10	pennistra 172:0,7 172:14 people 45:20 55:18 135:23 182:2,3 189:14 208:9 211:1,8 212:5 300:18 percent 16:9 19:21 22:23 24 60:21 22	256:13 photocopying 93:5 phrase 47:3 139:14 149:13 180:6 physical 211:8 physics 10:7 pick 175:3 pick 0 23:11
228:11 origin 34:8 original 37:1 54:3 82:10 109:14,20 231:16,20 233:8 233:12 246:11 247:16 259:20 290:17,19 327:21 originally 11:18 22	ownership 171:23 <u>P</u> P 2:1,1 3:1,1 260:18 P.E 7:2 p.m 332:10 packages 65:13 paddle 208:22	289:8 paragraph 98:9,18 parallels 38:5,8,8 parameters 96:17 104:13 120:17 124:4 parcel 98:14 230:9 230:10 Parden 20:10	pennisula 172.0,7 172:14 people 45:20 55:18 135:23 182:2,3 189:14 208:9 211:1,8 212:5 300:18 percent 16:9 19:21 32:23,24 69:21,22	256:13 photocopying 93:5 phrase 47:3 139:14 149:13 180:6 physical 211:8 physics 10:7 pick 175:3 picked 33:11 picked 33:11
228:11 origin 34:8 original 37:1 54:3 82:10 109:14,20 231:16,20 233:8 233:12 246:11 247:16 259:20 290:17,19 327:21 originally 11:18,22 56:8 111:22	ownership 171:23 P P 2:1,1 3:1,1 260:18 P.E 7:2 p.m 332:10 packages 65:13 paddle 208:22 page 8:3 13:11 15:4	289:8 paragraph 98:9,18 parallels 38:5,8,8 parameters 96:17 104:13 120:17 124:4 parcel 98:14 230:9 230:10 Pardon 39:19 150:16	pennistra 172.0,7 172:14 people 45:20 55:18 135:23 182:2,3 189:14 208:9 211:1,8 212:5 300:18 percent 16:9 19:21 32:23,24 69:21,22 92:20 119:2,16 184:3 244:12	256:13 photocopying 93:5 phrase 47:3 139:14 149:13 180:6 physical 211:8 physics 10:7 pick 175:3 picked 33:11 picture 307:2 picgs 70:4
228:11 origin 34:8 original 37:1 54:3 82:10 109:14,20 231:16,20 233:8 233:12 246:11 247:16 259:20 290:17,19 327:21 originally 11:18,22 56:8 111:22 290:16	ownership 171:23 P P 2:1,1 3:1,1 260:18 P.E 7:2 p.m 332:10 packages 65:13 paddle 208:22 page 8:3 13:11 15:4 15:5 16:14 16 16	289:8 paragraph 98:9,18 parallels 38:5,8,8 parameters 96:17 104:13 120:17 124:4 parcel 98:14 230:9 230:10 Pardon 39:19 159:16 parent 206:21	pennistra 172.0,7 172:14 people 45:20 55:18 135:23 182:2,3 189:14 208:9 211:1,8 212:5 300:18 percent 16:9 19:21 32:23,24 69:21,22 92:20 119:2,16 184:3 244:12 245:10 22	256:13 photocopying 93:5 phrase 47:3 139:14 149:13 180:6 physical 211:8 physics 10:7 pick 175:3 picked 33:11 picture 307:2 pieces 70:4 pile 177:12
228:11 origin 34:8 original 37:1 54:3 82:10 109:14,20 231:16,20 233:8 233:12 246:11 247:16 259:20 290:17,19 327:21 originally 11:18,22 56:8 111:22 290:16 originated 196:20	ownership 171:23 P P 2:1,1 3:1,1 260:18 P.E 7:2 p.m 332:10 packages 65:13 paddle 208:22 page 8:3 13:11 15:4 15:5 16:14,16,16 16:22 18:17 20:19	289:8 paragraph 98:9,18 parallels 38:5,8,8 parameters 96:17 104:13 120:17 124:4 parcel 98:14 230:9 230:10 Pardon 39:19 159:16 parent 206:21 parentbasis 137:20	pennistra 172.0,7 172:14 people 45:20 55:18 135:23 182:2,3 189:14 208:9 211:1,8 212:5 300:18 percent 16:9 19:21 32:23,24 69:21,22 92:20 119:2,16 184:3 244:12 245:19,22 percentage 16:7	256:13 photocopying 93:5 phrase 47:3 139:14 149:13 180:6 physical 211:8 physics 10:7 pick 175:3 picked 33:11 picture 307:2 pieces 70:4 pile 177:12 pinghos 68:10
228:11 origin 34:8 original 37:1 54:3 82:10 109:14,20 231:16,20 233:8 233:12 246:11 247:16 259:20 290:17,19 327:21 originally 11:18,22 56:8 111:22 290:16 originated 196:20 outdoor 200:23	ownership 171:23 P P 2:1,1 3:1,1 260:18 P.E 7:2 p.m 332:10 packages 65:13 paddle 208:22 page 8:3 13:11 15:4 15:5 16:14,16,16 16:22 18:17 20:19 21:3 33:23 34:2	289:8 paragraph 98:9,18 parallels 38:5,8,8 parameters 96:17 104:13 120:17 124:4 parcel 98:14 230:9 230:10 Pardon 39:19 159:16 parent 206:21 parenthesis 137:20 paronts 206:24	pennistra 172:0,7 172:14 people 45:20 55:18 135:23 182:2,3 189:14 208:9 211:1,8 212:5 300:18 percent 16:9 19:21 32:23,24 69:21,22 92:20 119:2,16 184:3 244:12 245:19,22 percentage 16:7 234:9 10 278:14	256:13 photocopying 93:5 phrase 47:3 139:14 149:13 180:6 physical 211:8 physics 10:7 pick 175:3 picked 33:11 picture 307:2 pieces 70:4 pile 177:12 pinches 68:10 plage 168:7 173:24
228:11 origin 34:8 original 37:1 54:3 82:10 109:14,20 231:16,20 233:8 233:12 246:11 247:16 259:20 290:17,19 327:21 originally 11:18,22 56:8 111:22 290:16 originated 196:20 outdoor 209:23 outline 258:24	ownership 171:23   P P   P 2:1,1 3:1,1   260:18 P   P.E 7:2   p.m 332:10   packages 65:13   paddle 208:22   page 8:3 13:11 15:4   15:5 16:14,16,16 16:22 18:17 20:19   21:3 33:23 34:2 39:12 18 20 40:20	289:8 paragraph 98:9,18 parallels 38:5,8,8 parameters 96:17 104:13 120:17 124:4 parcel 98:14 230:9 230:10 Pardon 39:19 159:16 parent 206:21 parenthesis 137:20 parents 206:24 parent 7:13 21:15	<b>pennisura</b> 172:0,7   172:14 <b>people</b> 45:20 55:18   135:23 182:2,3   189:14 208:9   211:1,8 212:5   300:18 <b>percent</b> 16:9 19:21   32:23,24 69:21,22   92:20 119:2,16   184:3 244:12   245:19,22 <b>percentage</b> 16:7   234:9,10 278:14   278:15 279:16 22	256:13 photocopying 93:5 phrase 47:3 139:14 149:13 180:6 physical 211:8 physics 10:7 pick 175:3 picked 33:11 picture 307:2 pieces 70:4 pile 177:12 pinches 68:10 place 168:7 173:24
228:11 origin 34:8 original 37:1 54:3 82:10 109:14,20 231:16,20 233:8 233:12 246:11 247:16 259:20 290:17,19 327:21 originally 11:18,22 56:8 111:22 290:16 originated 196:20 outdoor 209:23 outline 258:24 208:20	ownership 171:23 P P 2:1,1 3:1,1 260:18 P.E 7:2 p.m 332:10 packages 65:13 paddle 208:22 page 8:3 13:11 15:4 15:5 16:14,16,16 16:22 18:17 20:19 21:3 33:23 34:2 39:12,18,20 40:20 40:22 75:5 91:4	289:8 paragraph 98:9,18 parallels 38:5,8,8 parameters 96:17 104:13 120:17 124:4 parcel 98:14 230:9 230:10 Pardon 39:19 159:16 parent 206:21 parenthesis 137:20 parents 206:24 part 7:13 21:15 22:1 2 35:1 51:10	<b>pennisura</b> 172:0,7   172:14 <b>people</b> 45:20 55:18   135:23 182:2,3   189:14 208:9   211:1,8 212:5   300:18 <b>percent</b> 16:9 19:21   32:23,24 69:21,22   92:20 119:2,16   184:3 244:12   245:19,22 <b>percentage</b> 16:7   234:9,10 278:14   278:15 279:16,22   281:18 323:7	256:13 photocopying 93:5 phrase 47:3 139:14 149:13 180:6 physical 211:8 physics 10:7 pick 175:3 picked 33:11 picture 307:2 pieces 70:4 pile 177:12 pinches 68:10 place 168:7 173:24 181:17 212:3 203:6
228:11 origin 34:8 original 37:1 54:3 82:10 109:14,20 231:16,20 233:8 233:12 246:11 247:16 259:20 290:17,19 327:21 originally 11:18,22 56:8 111:22 290:16 originated 196:20 outdoor 209:23 outline 258:24 308:20 outlined 101:5 8	ownership 171:23 P P 2:1,1 3:1,1 260:18 P.E 7:2 p.m 332:10 packages 65:13 paddle 208:22 page 8:3 13:11 15:4 15:5 16:14,16,16 16:22 18:17 20:19 21:3 33:23 34:2 39:12,18,20 40:20 40:22 75:5 91:4 93:4 97:18 20	289:8 paragraph 98:9,18 parallels 38:5,8,8 parameters 96:17 104:13 120:17 124:4 parcel 98:14 230:9 230:10 Pardon 39:19 159:16 parent 206:21 parenthesis 137:20 parents 206:24 part 7:13 21:15 32:1,2 35:1 51:10 78:11 11 120:11	<b>pennistra</b> 172:0,7   172:14 <b>people</b> 45:20 55:18   135:23 182:2,3   189:14 208:9   211:1,8 212:5   300:18 <b>percent</b> 16:9 19:21   32:23,24 69:21,22   92:20 119:2,16   184:3 244:12   245:19,22 <b>percentage</b> 16:7   234:9,10 278:14   278:15 279:16,22   281:18 323:7 <b>percentage</b> 16:8	256:13 photocopying 93:5 phrase 47:3 139:14 149:13 180:6 physical 211:8 physics 10:7 pick 175:3 picked 33:11 picture 307:2 pieces 70:4 pile 177:12 pinches 68:10 place 168:7 173:24 181:17 212:3 293:6 placed 8:1 151:3
228:11 origin 34:8 original 37:1 54:3 82:10 109:14,20 231:16,20 233:8 233:12 246:11 247:16 259:20 290:17,19 327:21 originally 11:18,22 56:8 111:22 290:16 originated 196:20 outdoor 209:23 outline 258:24 308:20 outlined 101:5,8 outroach 212:2	ownership 171:23 P P 2:1,1 3:1,1 260:18 P.E 7:2 p.m 332:10 packages 65:13 paddle 208:22 page 8:3 13:11 15:4 15:5 16:14,16,16 16:22 18:17 20:19 21:3 33:23 34:2 39:12,18,20 40:20 40:22 75:5 91:4 93:4 97:18,20 98:3 100:6 19	289:8 paragraph 98:9,18 parallels 38:5,8,8 parameters 96:17 104:13 120:17 124:4 parcel 98:14 230:9 230:10 Pardon 39:19 159:16 parent 206:21 parenthesis 137:20 parents 206:24 part 7:13 21:15 32:1,2 35:1 51:10 78:11,11 120:11 147:14 159:23 23	<b>pennisura</b> 172:0,7   172:14 <b>people</b> 45:20 55:18   135:23 182:2,3   189:14 208:9   211:1,8 212:5   300:18 <b>percent</b> 16:9 19:21   32:23,24 69:21,22   92:20 119:2,16   184:3 244:12   245:19,22 <b>percentage</b> 16:7   234:9,10 278:14   278:15 279:16,22   281:18 323:7 <b>percentage</b> 16:8 <b>percentage</b> 16:7	256:13 photocopying 93:5 phrase 47:3 139:14 149:13 180:6 physical 211:8 physics 10:7 pick 175:3 picked 33:11 picture 307:2 pieces 70:4 pile 177:12 pinches 68:10 place 168:7 173:24 181:17 212:3 293:6 placed 8:1 151:3 153:10 290:8 21
228:11 origin 34:8 original 37:1 54:3 82:10 109:14,20 231:16,20 233:8 233:12 246:11 247:16 259:20 290:17,19 327:21 originally 11:18,22 56:8 111:22 290:16 originated 196:20 outdoor 209:23 outline 258:24 308:20 outlined 101:5,8 outreach 212:2 outside 45:11 51:2	ownership 171:23   P P   P 2:1,1 3:1,1   260:18 P.E   P.E 7:2 p.m 332:10   packages 65:13   paddle 208:22   page 8:3 13:11 15:4   15:5 16:14,16,16 16:22 18:17 20:19   21:3 33:23 34:2 39:12,18,20 40:20   40:22 75:5 91:4 93:4 97:18,20   98:3 100:6,19 101:17 102:23 24	289:8 paragraph 98:9,18 parallels 38:5,8,8 parameters 96:17 104:13 120:17 124:4 parcel 98:14 230:9 230:10 Pardon 39:19 159:16 parent 206:21 parenthesis 137:20 parents 206:24 part 7:13 21:15 32:1,2 35:1 51:10 78:11,11 120:11 147:14 159:23,23 171:23 183:22	<b>pennisura</b> 172:0,7   172:14 <b>people</b> 45:20 55:18   135:23 182:2,3   189:14 208:9   211:1,8 212:5   300:18 <b>percent</b> 16:9 19:21   32:23,24 69:21,22   92:20 119:2,16   184:3 244:12   245:19,22 <b>percentage</b> 16:7   234:9,10 278:14   278:15 279:16,22   281:18 323:7 <b>percentages</b> 19:20   92:21 234:24	256:13 photocopying 93:5 phrase 47:3 139:14 149:13 180:6 physical 211:8 physics 10:7 pick 175:3 picked 33:11 picture 307:2 pieces 70:4 pile 177:12 pinches 68:10 place 168:7 173:24 181:17 212:3 293:6 placed 8:1 151:3 153:10 290:8,21 299:21 323:16
228:11 origin 34:8 original 37:1 54:3 82:10 109:14,20 231:16,20 233:8 233:12 246:11 247:16 259:20 290:17,19 327:21 originally 11:18,22 56:8 111:22 290:16 originated 196:20 outdoor 209:23 outline 258:24 308:20 outlined 101:5,8 outreach 212:2 outside 45:11 51:3 160:17 20 164:24	ownership 171:23   P P   P 2:1,1 3:1,1 260:18   P.E 7:2 p.m 332:10   packages 65:13 and beta	289:8 paragraph 98:9,18 parallels 38:5,8,8 parameters 96:17 104:13 120:17 124:4 parcel 98:14 230:9 230:10 Pardon 39:19 159:16 parent 206:21 parenthesis 137:20 parents 206:24 part 7:13 21:15 32:1,2 35:1 51:10 78:11,11 120:11 147:14 159:23,23 171:23 183:22 207:11 208:23	<b>pennisura</b> 172:0,7   172:14 <b>people</b> 45:20 55:18   135:23 182:2,3   189:14 208:9   211:1,8 212:5   300:18 <b>percent</b> 16:9 19:21   32:23,24 69:21,22   92:20 119:2,16   184:3 244:12   245:19,22 <b>percentage</b> 16:7   234:9,10 278:14   278:15 279:16,22   281:18 323:7 <b>percentages</b> 19:20   92:21 234:24   323:2 4	256:13 photocopying 93:5 phrase 47:3 139:14 149:13 180:6 physical 211:8 physics 10:7 pick 175:3 picked 33:11 picture 307:2 pieces 70:4 pile 177:12 pinches 68:10 place 168:7 173:24 181:17 212:3 293:6 placed 8:1 151:3 153:10 290:8,21 299:21 323:16 placement 21:13
228:11 origin 34:8 original 37:1 54:3 82:10 109:14,20 231:16,20 233:8 233:12 246:11 247:16 259:20 290:17,19 327:21 originally 11:18,22 56:8 111:22 290:16 originated 196:20 outdoor 209:23 outline 258:24 308:20 outlined 101:5,8 outreach 212:2 outside 45:11 51:3 160:17,20 164:24 166:3 10 170:17	ownership 171:23   P P   P 2:1,1 3:1,1 260:18   P.E 7:2 p.m 332:10   packages 65:13   paddle 208:22   page 8:3 13:11 15:4   15:5 16:14,16,16 16:22 18:17 20:19   21:3 33:23 34:2 39:12,18,20 40:20   40:22 75:5 91:4 93:4 97:18,20   98:3 100:6,19 101:17 102:23,24   110:15 131:7,24 132:17.17 137:15	289:8 paragraph 98:9,18 parallels 38:5,8,8 parameters 96:17 104:13 120:17 124:4 parcel 98:14 230:9 230:10 Pardon 39:19 159:16 parent 206:21 parenthesis 137:20 parents 206:24 part 7:13 21:15 32:1,2 35:1 51:10 78:11,11 120:11 147:14 159:23,23 171:23 183:22 207:11 208:23 210:4 274:24	<b>pennisura</b> 172:0,7   172:14 <b>people</b> 45:20 55:18   135:23 182:2,3   189:14 208:9   211:1,8 212:5   300:18 <b>percent</b> 16:9 19:21   32:23,24 69:21,22   92:20 119:2,16   184:3 244:12   245:19,22 <b>percentage</b> 16:7   234:9,10 278:14   278:15 279:16,22   281:18 323:7 <b>percentages</b> 19:20   92:21 234:24   323:2,4	256:13 photocopying 93:5 phrase 47:3 139:14 149:13 180:6 physical 211:8 physics 10:7 pick 175:3 picked 33:11 picture 307:2 pieces 70:4 pile 177:12 pinches 68:10 place 168:7 173:24 181:17 212:3 293:6 placed 8:1 151:3 153:10 290:8,21 299:21 323:16 placement 21:13 22:24 24:6 110:23
228:11 origin 34:8 original 37:1 54:3 82:10 109:14,20 231:16,20 233:8 233:12 246:11 247:16 259:20 290:17,19 327:21 originally 11:18,22 56:8 111:22 290:16 originated 196:20 outdoor 209:23 outline 258:24 308:20 outlined 101:5,8 outreach 212:2 outside 45:11 51:3 160:17,20 164:24 166:3,10 170:17 171:2 176:15	ownership 171:23   P P   P 2:1,1 3:1,1 260:18   P.E 7:2 p.m 332:10   packages 65:13   paddle 208:22   page 8:3 13:11 15:4   15:5 16:14,16,16 16:22 18:17 20:19   21:3 33:23 34:2 39:12,18,20 40:20   40:22 75:5 91:4 93:4 97:18,20   98:3 100:6,19 101:17 102:23,24   110:15 131:7,24 132:17,17 137:15   137:17.19,21 23	289:8 paragraph 98:9,18 parallels 38:5,8,8 parameters 96:17 104:13 120:17 124:4 parcel 98:14 230:9 230:10 Pardon 39:19 159:16 parent 206:21 parenthesis 137:20 parents 206:24 part 7:13 21:15 32:1,2 35:1 51:10 78:11,11 120:11 147:14 159:23,23 171:23 183:22 207:11 208:23 210:4 274:24 290:3 321:21	pennistra 172:0,7   172:14   people 45:20 55:18   135:23 182:2,3   189:14 208:9   211:1,8 212:5   300:18   percent 16:9 19:21   32:23,24 69:21,22   92:20 119:2,16   184:3 244:12   245:19,22   percentage 16:7   234:9,10 278:14   278:15 279:16,22   281:18 323:7   percentages 19:20   92:21 234:24   323:2,4   percentile 32:18,19   percelate 78:21	256:13 photocopying 93:5 phrase 47:3 139:14 149:13 180:6 physical 211:8 physics 10:7 pick 175:3 picked 33:11 picture 307:2 pieces 70:4 pile 177:12 pinches 68:10 place 168:7 173:24 181:17 212:3 293:6 placed 8:1 151:3 153:10 290:8,21 299:21 323:16 placement 21:13 22:24 24:6 119:23 120:5 290:14
228:11 origin 34:8 original 37:1 54:3 82:10 109:14,20 231:16,20 233:8 233:12 246:11 247:16 259:20 290:17,19 327:21 originally 11:18,22 56:8 111:22 290:16 originated 196:20 outdoor 209:23 outline 258:24 308:20 outlined 101:5,8 outreach 212:2 outside 45:11 51:3 160:17,20 164:24 166:3,10 170:17 171:2 176:15 178:21 192:17	ownership   171:23     P     P   2:1,1   3:1,1     260:18   P.E   7:2     p.m   332:10   packages   65:13     paddle   208:22   page   8:3   13:11   15:4     15:5   16:14,16,16   16:22   18:17   20:19   21:3   33:23   34:2   39:12,18,20   40:20   40:22   75:5   91:4   93:4   97:18,20   98:3   100:6,19   101:17   102:23,24   110:15   131:7,24   132:17,17   137:15   137:17,19,21,23   137:24   140:17	289:8 paragraph 98:9,18 parallels 38:5,8,8 parameters 96:17 104:13 120:17 124:4 parcel 98:14 230:9 230:10 Pardon 39:19 159:16 parent 206:21 parenthesis 137:20 parents 206:24 part 7:13 21:15 32:1,2 35:1 51:10 78:11,11 120:11 147:14 159:23,23 171:23 183:22 207:11 208:23 210:4 274:24 290:3 321:21 325:15	pennistra 172:0,7   172:14   people 45:20 55:18   135:23 182:2,3   189:14 208:9   211:1,8 212:5   300:18   percent 16:9 19:21   32:23,24 69:21,22   92:20 119:2,16   184:3 244:12   245:19,22   percentage 16:7   234:9,10 278:14   278:15 279:16,22   281:18 323:7   percentages 19:20   92:21 234:24   323:2,4   percolate 78:21   perfect 68:23	256:13 photocopying 93:5 phrase 47:3 139:14 149:13 180:6 physical 211:8 physics 10:7 pick 175:3 picked 33:11 picture 307:2 pieces 70:4 pile 177:12 pinches 68:10 place 168:7 173:24 181:17 212:3 293:6 placed 8:1 151:3 153:10 290:8,21 299:21 323:16 placement 21:13 22:24 24:6 119:23 120:5 290:14 294:22 295:3 7
228:11 origin 34:8 original 37:1 54:3 82:10 109:14,20 231:16,20 233:8 233:12 246:11 247:16 259:20 290:17,19 327:21 originally 11:18,22 56:8 111:22 290:16 originated 196:20 outdoor 209:23 outline 258:24 308:20 outlined 101:5,8 outreach 212:2 outside 45:11 51:3 160:17,20 164:24 166:3,10 170:17 171:2 176:15 178:21 192:17	ownership   171:23     P   P     P   2:1,1 3:1,1 260:18     P.E 7:2   p.m 332:10     packages   65:13     paddle   208:22     page   8:3 13:11 15:4     15:5 16:14,16,16   16:22 18:17 20:19     21:3 33:23 34:2   39:12,18,20 40:20     40:22 75:5 91:4   93:4 97:18,20     98:3 100:6,19   101:17 102:23,24     110:15 131:7,24   132:17,17 137:15     137:17,19,21,23   137:24 140:17	289:8 paragraph 98:9,18 parallels 38:5,8,8 parameters 96:17 104:13 120:17 124:4 parcel 98:14 230:9 230:10 Pardon 39:19 159:16 parent 206:21 parenthesis 137:20 parents 206:24 part 7:13 21:15 32:1,2 35:1 51:10 78:11,11 120:11 147:14 159:23,23 171:23 183:22 207:11 208:23 210:4 274:24 290:3 321:21 325:15	<b>pennisura</b> 172:0,7   172:14 <b>people</b> 45:20 55:18   135:23 182:2,3   189:14 208:9   211:1,8 212:5   300:18 <b>percent</b> 16:9 19:21   32:23,24 69:21,22   92:20 119:2,16   184:3 244:12   245:19,22 <b>percentage</b> 16:7   234:9,10 278:14   278:15 279:16,22   281:18 323:7 <b>percentages</b> 19:20   92:21 234:24   323:2,4 <b>percentile</b> 32:18,19 <b>percolate</b> 78:21 <b>perfect</b> 68:23	256:13 photocopying 93:5 phrase 47:3 139:14 149:13 180:6 physical 211:8 physics 10:7 pick 175:3 picked 33:11 picture 307:2 pieces 70:4 pile 177:12 pinches 68:10 place 168:7 173:24 181:17 212:3 293:6 placed 8:1 151:3 153:10 290:8,21 299:21 323:16 placement 21:13 22:24 24:6 119:23 120:5 290:14 294:22 295:3,7

		a ( a a a <b>a</b> 1a	110 10 00 110 5	
places 243:3	point 9:5,20,21	86:8 88:2,19	112:19,20 113:5	124:22,24 125:3
placing 291:11	53:22 62:16,23	91:23 92:7 104:1	117:15 118:20,21	potential 37:20
292:23 293:12	75:24 78:1 94:9	104:2 108:14,14	119:5,6,18 122:9	42:22 63:8 104:5
295:13,24	112:5 117:4	108:14,18,18	122:19,20 126:4	126:24 127:6
Plaines 9:15 10:9	138:24 154:14	111:3,8,21 112:5	126:11 132:5,6	157:6 172:9
24:16	165:17 167:12	112:6,15,18,21,23	137:7 139:23	215:16 300:23
planning 79:21	188:1 202:15,15	112:23 113:1,18	140:3 141:9 142:8	307:24 319:24
<b>plans</b> 116:3	203:9 205:11	114:4,7,17 116:16	143:20 145:20,20	322:16 324:15
<b>plant</b> 36:16 45:22	216:23 223:1,2	117:4,7,10,11	146:13 147:16	potentially 252:24
46:11 48:10 80:15	224:1,3 226:1	120:5 122:14	148:1,13 159:7,14	<b>pounds</b> 81:24
81:9,10 91:12	230:18 275:2,5	131:9,12 135:8	160:18 161:13,13	108:16
98:15 107:16,17	295:16 319:22	160:21 161:14	162:16 170:16,18	power 18:20,22
131:8 132:16	322:1 331:18	163:10 164:24,24	170:24 176:15	36:16 37:15 46:10
134:19 159:24	point-by-point	171:3 177:24	178:17,22 179:12	80:15 81:9,10
162:3,5 164:15	43:12	178:9 192:18	192:22 193:2	91:12 98:15
166:4,5,10 183:14	pointed 35:14	201:21 204:9,17	196:8 198:24	125:16 131:8
207:15 208:21	53:13 55:17 99:4	204:23 205:7	199:1 201:7,16	134:19 159:24
209:12 212:14	301:21 321:14,16	217:16 235:13	202:3 204:7 205:1	164:15 207:14
plant-base 125:19	pointer 216:24	294:10,21 297:24	208:5,13 217:21	212:14
<b>plants</b> 45:22 86:24	<b>points</b> 40:3,11	306:11,15,16,21	242:9,16 290:6	Powerton 14:20
107:16 125:14,22	50:15	306:24 307:3,14	292:9 293:13,13	48:10,18,19 49:24
179:7 211:12	<b>Policy</b> 1:3,3 2:10	307:16 310:8	295:13 301:14	50:17 51:13 52:4
<b>play</b> 213:16	5:6	325:16 326:1,2	310:16,21,24	54:10 65:4,20,22
please 6:20 40:21	pollutants 208:1,14	<b>pond's</b> 86:13	311:1,6 325:1,2,2	66:12,15,19 69:18
48:22 64:19 99:7	polluters 211:4	ponds 14:3 15:11	325:9	69:19 70:14,18
128:16 130:12,24	polluting 214:12	23:19 24:14 38:13	portion 145:8	73:11,15 76:18,24
138:5 140:12	pollution 1:1 2:2	42:16 44:17 45:11	portions 98:22	77:7 78:14,24
144:16 150:10	5:4 206:22 210:17	47:21,21,22 51:1	<b>position</b> 164:7,7	79:12,15 80:7
159:19 165:22	210:21 212:16,22	51:3,24 52:3,7,10	positive 87:14	93:16 105:7,11
167:18,24 176:7	213:4,17,24 214:2	52:19,22 53:2	possibilities 99:11	136:19 137:2
180:22 189:5,8	214:8,22	54:20,20 55:13,18	possibility 66:9	138:1 165:13,14
197:9 211:11	polyethylene 52:16	56:6 57:19 61:5	235:9 241:3,16	166:3,4,10 170:5
227:13 237:5	83:14 109:16	63:15 65:4,11	243:7 268:23	173:20 174:5
242:13 247:3	pond 11:23 47:18	66:14 70:2,3,7,8	possible 22:22	176:3,3,11 283:3
253:21 257:15	48:14 49:10,11	80:9 81:23 83:5	127:11 132:19	285:12,15,18,24
263:17 265:13	51:5 52:11 53:10	83:20 84:1,16	156:11 211:13	287:11 293:10
274:2 278:21	53:18 56:4,18	87:21 88:15,20	230:10,13 251:14	303:23 304:7
326:22 330:11	57:21,24,24 58:4	90:4,6,8,11,12	253:2 256:17	318:5,7,9
plenty 323:5	58:17 59:6 60:4,5	92:3,10 94:24	261:21 263:10,19	<b>Poz-o-Pac</b> 54:24
<b>plots</b> 227:7	60:10,15,19 61:16	104:3 106:7	265:4 268:6,9,10	55:3,5 56:15
<b>plume</b> 73:21 74:7	62:5,22 63:13	107:21 108:8,13	269:8	109:2,22,24 111:2
93:20	68:11,12,13 70:22	108:21,22,23	possibly 157:11	111:16,23 112:1,3
plus 111:2 205:7	78:20 82:9,9,23	109:1,3,13,21	potable 43:17,19	112:7,9,11 115:5
316:19	84:18,22 85:1,6	110:6,16,18,21	50:18 79:11 81:16	115:7,10 148:7,8
nodium 206.6				140 0 14 16 00
poulum 200.0	85:17,20 86:4,5,7	111:1,10 112:10	105:19 108:5	148:9,14,16,22

	0(101100010		1 (0.10	222.24
149:9 310:6,6	86:10 113:8,9,13	proceeding 107:4	<b>proper</b> 160:13	322:24
311:4 324:21	114:1 297:3	proceedings 1:11	184:21	<b>pump</b> 87:6
325:1 326:11	pressures 56:10	106:21 129:11	properly 80:21	purely 183:18
practical 47:9	57:8	205:19 288:24	properties 46:16	purports 242:14
127:14	presume 223:21	332:17 333:10	100:23 101:4,12	purpose 21:20
practice 23:8 24:21	Presuming 257:3	process 8:20 19:19	103:3 170:4 190:7	34:18 35:15 60:19
24:24 43:14 46:19	pretty 54:19 84:6	35:11 36:15 47:24	320:8	60:20 61:2 62:6
63:6 151:5 153:13	91:15 170:14	49:20 65:23 66:7	property 46:10	62:24 325:4
154:1 316:9	197:1 230:14	66:7,8 80:1 81:10	78:16 93:14 96:21	purposes 195:4
practices 50:6	317:23,24 318:1	81:18 86:19,21	96:21 98:22 99:3	239:23 241:1
105:13 124:19	previous 16:18	87:13 107:15	101:6,7,9,10	242:1 259:14
<b>Prairie</b> 1:3 5:24	18:4 54:6,24	109:5 115:16	102:5,8,14,15,19	274:6
pre-2016 18:10	70:11 133:13,16	118:24 139:4	103:9 119:24	<b>push</b> 113:14 148:24
precedent 214:6	142:13	161:2 162:7	122:22 171:22,24	<b>put</b> 32:17 37:18
precipitated	previously 51:12	167:15 169:2,11	186:6 187:14	43:23 55:17 56:11
152:24	66:1,2 97:2 137:6	174:6,7 179:5	188:20 189:16,21	110:3 112:1
precisely 46:6 79:7	201:13 290:17	183:10 289:24,24	189:24 190:24	126:20,20 127:16
183:15	primarily 165:3	290:3,24 291:11	193:2 221:1,2,3	127:24 135:22
precursor 188:1	primary 53:9	292:23 293:12	proposed 34:21,22	148:23 149:17
prehearing 30:7	principal 10:3,4	295:13,24	35:9	154:13 162:21
prepare 66:2	prior 16:16 18:9	processes 66:3	protect 47:13 211:1	182:17,19,20
prepared 84:8,11	40:4 42:13 46:4	produce 330:8	325:6	184:21 277:4
presence 236:3,20	73:7 83:4 117:7	produced 36:14	protected 207:21	296:20 298:21
237:3,8,10 241:2	133:11 174:13,23	97:19 177:8	protection 79:17	299:15,18 311:3,5
241:14 300:21	187:12,24 190:22	profession 198:8	106:1 125:12	317:1,4 325:12
303:14	proactive 47:17	professional 46:14	211:24 213:21	332:10
present 5:14 28:19	106:5	106:4 150:1	214:3	putting 47:17 209:3
31:12 35:5 75:1	proactively 80:3	155:13	protective 252:6	230:24
96:4 109:13 115:4	probably 48:2 54:4	profitability 211:9	<b>proud</b> 214:17	
208:24 240:13	80:18 85:15 114:7	program 47:18	prove 205:7 257:11	Q
249:7,9 251:16	122:1 136:23	48:1 55:24 79:21	286:18	<b>QAQC</b> 26:12
275:4 315:21	150:13 156:16	80:22 87:5 106:3	provide 108:10	qualified 269:14
323:6	165:3 173:2 183:9	116:6 125:15,17	329:16,18	qualifiers 315:1
presentation 15:6	215:14 257:9	125:21 207:4	provided 85:11	qualifies 314:24
17:1 140:20	290:20 308:16	209:17	164:15 308:14	quality 43:5,6
278:16	problem 46:24	progressing 318:22	providing 212:7	55:23,23 78:22
presented 23:6	60:21 62:14 63:6	progressive 46:19	<b>public</b> 1:13 4:5,6	130:14 131:2
32:9 82:7 225:20	86:16 104:15	project 131:4 134:6	206:3,4 332:10	190:12 300:14,15
232:19 323:1,4	127:16 202:14	134:17,23 216:21	333:18	303:19,21 319:20
presenting 203:7	210:13	299:8 316:21	publication 279:17	quantification
presents 40:1	procedure 121:14	317:3	280:7	313:2,7,9,14,23
107:10 278:15	252:5 290:9	projects 81:11	publications	314:2,12 315:5
preserve 212:12	procedures 288:13	130:13 131:1	280:10,11,19	316:2,3,7 330:22
pressure 11:5,8	289:20 290:8,13	133:16 317:3	published 119:13	quantitation
56:11 57:5 59:17	proceed 6:20 206:7	promise 215:8	279:17 280:6	329:10,11,16
	-	-		

	1	1		
330:3	326:19	166:17 167:2	22:21 31:7 61:14	293:24 294:5
quantitative	<b>auickly</b> 37:9 69:7	188:2.5 189:9	61:18 73:16 88:18	303:22 306:9
278:12 279:1,14	82:24 127:15	211:17 215:9	120:8 165:9	307:1,3 311:13,18
280:5	quite 66:3 74:24	222:7 236:5,9	178:18 186:18,22	312:3 318:9
quarter 13:4 16:20	103:20 110:4,4	239:10 280:11,17	229:15 235:17	321:20 327:12
18:1.3 26:23 27:2	183:11 222:15	283:5 288:17	260:13 281:21	330:13,15 331:19
113:24 220:13	234:5 257:1	reader 231:1	282:4,8,14 290:17	331:21,23
327:13	<b>quoted</b> 99:14	reading 58:19	290:19 293:5,20	recorded 113:23
quarter-to-quarter	308:10	99:14 134:15	293:22 296:2	records 46:8 49:6
75:3	quoting 99:21	138:9,22 140:14	297:21 298:3,4	58:19 81:11 146:2
quarterly 194:9		141:23 145:6	300:4,6 312:23	163:17
239:16	R	151:8,13 155:17	325:4	recreation 209:23
quarters 9:7 11:16	<b>R</b> 2:1 3:1 7:2,5	188:13 191:13	receive 60:13 147:2	210:18
17:24 40:17 41:23	129:20 312:15,15	235:23 247:20	received 109:5	Recreational
question 45:19	326:20,20 330:20	readings 14:19	receptor 153:20	300:20
48:22 102:17	330:20,20	ready 106:24 214:4	273:15 300:13	Recross-Examin
105:5 126:2	Race 37:6,9 48:13	real 174:9 198:20	301:2	4:9
138:17 142:16	81:4 119:21	reality 62:7	receptors 43:17	rector 206:14
145:6,18,24 146:9	182:12 297:7	really 11:10 12:24	50:19 79:11	red 13:20 30:13
149:14 151:15,19	<b>Race's</b> 297:6	33:8 45:21 59:15	105:20 152:7	101:1,8
151:22,24 155:8	raise 6:10 251:2	63:5 73:20 141:23	300:11,22	redevelopment
155:10,12 156:4,7	raised 50:8 65:7	150:4,16 153:16	recite 138:5	98:10 210:4
156:17 159:1,4,6	115:1 211:23	154:23 156:7	recognize 30:2	redirect 4:8 142:21
159:12,17,19,20	raises 59:17,18	157:14,20 162:17	54:13 78:17 84:5	reduces 66:9
162:2 166:1,2,8	raising 206:17	172:12 177:1	171:21 230:19	refer 45:2 233:9
166:12 167:18	ran 282:3 303:9	202:11 220:9	recognizes 46:21	246:10 320:21
168:2,4 173:15	Randolph 2:2	224:19 225:8	recognizing 122:7	reference 181:24
176:2 181:7 183:4	range 16:8 33:1,5,5	230:16 251:19	123:8 194:15	295:16
185:4,7 187:7,9	69:20 137:21	252:11 263:21	recollection 77:21	referencing 120:3
187:11 188:17,22	204:5 207:3	271:15 282:2	165:21 286:8	referred 12:3 25:15
189:1,8,9 190:21	259:23 266:1	283:5 289:10	recommends	143:9
197:15 201:8	<b>Kao</b> 0:0	290:11 321:13	288:12 289:19	referring 75:19
222:10 226:16,24	<b>KCKA</b> 104:18	<b>reask</b> 280:1	record 5:12 6:6	88:11 121:20
236:2 244:3 247:7	298:19	reason 124:2	33:18 57:11 64:20	136:10 163:1
252:8 263:9,17,18	re- 59:22	192:12 202:21	107:1 129:6,8,14	307:4 320:5
265:14 269:18	<b>re-redirect</b> 330.19	233:8 258:1	131:13 135:13	refers 34:4,9
272:21 279:20	reach 86:4 102:15	324:24	137:9,11 141:18	reflect 93:13 123:5
280:2,8 283:23	102.19	reasonable 128:5	141:18 147:24	223:23
292:12,14 322:3	reached 51:1	184:6	157:1,3 168:21	reflective 95:3
questioning 296:4	reaches 05.9 60.7	reasonably 164:14	189:3,12 194:8	reflects 8:4
questions 80:11	<b>reau</b> 34.13 03.22	reasons 96:16	202:1 205:23	refresh 165:21
138:1,4 152:12	70.1,10 77.0 111.17 115.2 7	257:18	206:7 215:21	235:19 236:1,6,15
164:9 184:17	144.1/143.3,/	Rebecca 297:6	216:7,9,11 225:17	244:14 245:23
231:15 259:1	150.9,11 151.1	rebuttal 331:14,16	235:24 268:19	regard 79:19 204:2
311:7 325:19	139.1,18,20	recall 7:10 14:4	289:3 290:22	regarding 42:9
1			1	
	••••••			
--------------------	-----------------------	----------------------------	---------------------	---------------------------
79:17 105:24	remaining 80:20	replacement	reports 10:23 25:10	resist 86:10 326:12
125:12 137:6	131:23	297:24	32:10 36:18 54:16	resisted 115:12
290:5 307:18	remedial 99:8,9	replacing 290:24	99:19 174:13,23	resisting 57:23
regardless 188:18	104:14 131:22	reply 225:15	183:9 194:6	58:10
regression 26:9	remediation 98:24	report 11:19,23	308:24 309:2,6	resists 113:22
77:4 123:16	210:8	12:5 16:17,18	323:18 329:16,21	<b>resources</b> 212:7,24
regulate 164:5	remedy 132:10	18:9,10 20:8 37:1	represent 32:15	respective 332:3
regulated 34:7,11	remember 12:19	66:2 69:10 73:14	75:8 110:17 121:1	respond 123:11
52:10 164:1	25:13 80:14 96:22	95:22 98:11 99:15	168:18 169:16	Respondent 1:8 3:7
regulation 298:17	136:22 165:10	99:17 100:12	204:4	5:9 6:2 97:7
regulations 25:5,5	168:17 169:14	128:3 136:5,6	representation	128:21 129:1
156:5 298:15	170:20 178:15	140:15,16 146:5,6	30:7,14 32:17	respondent's 4:16
326:5	188:17 199:18	165:17 173:2,8	33:16 108:10	4:16,17,17,18,18
regulatory 25:3	200:20 217:17	176:21 184:16	311:1	4:19,19,20,20
154:20,21 155:7	224:24 231:9,10	190:6 200:5	representative	97:5,12 332:6
156:12	235:16 239:8	216:16 218:19	163:14 164:11,14	response 11:6 28:1
regulatory-wise	281:17 286:12	222:6 223:6,21	171:6 179:2,10	332:7
63:5	294:5,10 307:22	224:9 231:6,7,8	represented 35:4	responsible 47:17
relate 50:2 300:15	307:23 309:11	231:11,16,16,17	100:20	79:20,21 106:3,9
325:24	311:11 318:11	231:20 232:1,3,22	representing 5:19	116:6 125:15,23
related 133:3	322:12	233:9,12 240:23	5:22,23 18:13	130:13 131:1
158:15 160:4	remind 42:24	243:24 246:11	171:11	133:17,21 135:14
293:11 295:9,12	removal 53:7 65:23	247:16 248:12	represents 30:14	responsibly 80:2
relating 293:17	66:7 82:12,16	255:13 259:6,20	32:5 73:6 310:24	rest 71:15 133:2
relationship 205:6	86:19,21 115:16	267:23 274:6	329:8	139:7 167:11
relative 74:20	116:1	276:20 300:8	request 215:20	191:13 331:10,11
251:21 258:8	removals 79:23	308:4,10,13 309:9	requested 146:8	result 15:20 114:20
307:15	remove 55:3 59:1	316:9 323:7 324:8	requests 79:24	139:22 140:6
releases 190:8	60:10	327:6,10,14 329:2	require 211:5,12	141:8,10 142:7,9
relevant 55:15	<b>removed</b> 22:5,6	329:5,12 330:7	required 298:21	180:1 226:5,7
263:15 302:3,5	53:16 55:2 82:17	331:1	299:17 313:20	253:17 267:7
relied 16:18 30:24	109:4,17 115:19	reported 261:19	requirement	276:16 327:8
120:12	removing 95:22	276:21 315:14	143:23 147:21,23	results 26:5 42:9
reline 59:1 60:11	repaired 117:7	333:9	requirements	71:24 72:5,5,6
relined 52:15 53:1	repeat 23:23 48:22	reportedly 161:20	143:13 146:23	77:9 78:16 81:12
53:2,2,14 54:22	159:16 189:7	<b>reporter</b> 6:12 23:22	requires 143:16	96:8,10 103:15
55:21,22 82:11	253:21 263:18	64:9,12,15 134:10	reread 167:6	107:21 123:7
83:19 109:15	265:13	141:16,21 158:3	research 18:20,22	194:10 256:21
110:6,22	rephrase 127:3	174:21 180:20	18:23 19:14	260:20 281:1,5
relining 47:21	140:12 180:24	189:10 225:9	researched 146:3	283:3 302:3 319:2
79:22 110:8 112:2	274:2 278:21	226:14 246:16	resident 206:10,21	resume 316:19
relinings 48:14	284:11 291:6	247:4 284:7 333:7	residents 209:19	returned 212:18
rely 213:24	329:22	Reporters 4:11	210:12 212:13	reusable 181:12
relying 241:23	replaced 232:2	reporting 316:11	213:15,23	reuse 22:12
remainder 190:2	290:10	328:3,12	residual 126:24	reused 21:24 22:13

324:2	213:16 216:6	331:18 332:12	143:6,8,8,13,16	227:1,5 237:13
reversal 10:24 11:2	217:19 218:5,9,10	<b>Rights</b> 241:24	143:21 146:22	240:14 243:20
reversals 11:15	218:14,14 219:11	rise 10:10,14 56:13	147:7,19 155:18	245:13 246:20
reverse 205:6	219:21 223:4	113:18	160:18,23 180:21	247:6,10 248:4,13
reverses 11:11	224:16 225:23	rises 11:8	rules 25:8 61:17	251:3,5 256:11
review 8:17 27:7	228:9 231:10,18	rising 11:10 63:20	run 30:15 62:17	257:17 260:18
35:24 39:15,22	231:21,24 232:3,9	risk 42:19,22,24	249:4 254:17	261:1 265:14,15
42:5 47:10 66:11	232:1/233:10	43:7,13,14,15	<b>runoff</b> 38:7 70:13	269:16 2/0:6,7,13
/1://9:14 90:2	234:3,13 235:11	4/:1,8,9,11 50:19	/2:1,18 /8:18	2/1:16 2/4:3
91:17 105:22	23/:11,21 238:12	65:5 /9:1,9,15		278:3,22,23
109:8 11/:14	239:18,21 240:3	105:8,12,14,23	<b>runs</b> 313:11	2/9:12,24 280:1,3
121:10 125:9	241:4,8,11,17	124:13,19 125:10	<b>KUSS</b> 2:18 5:23,23	280:22,23 284:11
311:1/ 324:23	242:5,8,16 243:8	120:21,22 127:17	1/:4,9,10 12/:2	284:13,14 289:5
<b>reviewed</b> 15:15	243:13,10 244:1	152:9 154:10	128:11,14,15,18	289:11,15 291:7
21:10 45:12 54:14	244.12,18 245.5	155:1,2,5,15	128:20 129:15,19	291:17 292:8,17
03.10,12,1771.2 07.14,107.10	24/.15 246.25	130.11 100.14	129.21 130.17,19	292.20 294.13
97.14 107.19	249.3,9,13,24	$290.3\ 300.7\ 324.0$	130.22 134.3,12	293.1,18 297.9,14
$100.2\ 202.15$	250.10,25 251.22	208.12 200.4	134.14,10 137.12	290.4 299.7,9
<b>Diab</b> $10.24$ 22.11	252.12,15 255.10	200.12 209.4	139.0 140.13,20	301.21 303.3
<b>XICH</b> 10.24 52.11 318.18	254.0,14 255.17	11.2 7 12 12.10	140.22 141.1,19	304.5,5 511.21
rida 200.16	255.24 250.19	13.21 23.0 15	142.2,4,10,17	314.8 315.3 316.5
right 6:10 17:18	261.22 262.20	24.16 38.6 12	145.11 146.21	316.17 317.10
30.7 35.21 38.4	263.5 12 264.1 12	59·10 14 16	149.8 19 151.10	318.4 320.22
39.9 14 42.2 19	266.3 267.9 14	<b>Rivers</b> 1.3 5.74	151.14 153.8	321.19 322.22
44.18 24 52.17	268.2 23 270.4 17	road 2.7 38.4 5	154.18 155.9	323.8 21 324.22
56.6 70.21 72.2	271.9 272.6 273.8	98·14	156.16 157.4	325.18 326.17 18
91.1 97.12 101.16	273.18 274.18	roads 78.14	158.13 24 161.3	326.21 329.23
109:12 110:7	276:4.16.23	<b>Roberts</b> 211:17.19	166:17 167:4.5.10	330:1.10.16.18
111:17 128:20	281:10 283:22	rock 170:13	167:20 168:1.7.10	<b>Russ's</b> 206:2
129:14 134:1	284:1,16,19,24	rocky-looking	169:1 170:10	
136:8,16 138:1	285:7,13,20 286:4	59:24	173:9,11,24 174:3	S
139:11,23 142:2	287:7 288:15	room 1:15 289:7	175:10 176:7,8,9	<b>s</b> 2:1 3:1 4:14 7:2
148:17 149:20	289:22 293:10	roughly 193:21	180:3 181:1,2,16	129:20,20 283:13
160:6,14,24 164:8	294:11 296:9,22	199:19 203:12,17	181:21 183:4	326:20,20
165:1 166:20	297:17 298:11	203:22 223:4	184:16 185:6,10	sacrifice 210:20
168:14 169:5	300:8 301:6,8,14	224:15 250:4	188:2,6,11,15,16	saddled 210:2
170:17,21 171:19	301:17,19 302:4	round 112:23	191:9,12 195:11	safe 151:5 152:8
175:19 176:16,20	302:15,18 303:10	route 202:23	195:14,18 197:11	171:4 242:21
176:22 184:11	305:3,10,14,20	row 239:21 242:21	197:14 198:21	250:2 258:17
193:12 194:18	306:2,9,11 310:16	<b>RPR</b> 1:13 333:6,17	201:9 205:10	safer 209:12
195:9 196:10	312:8 314:18	rubbery 83:16	216:12,14,20	safest 211:13
197:4 200:16	318:21 326:23	<b>Ruining</b> 1:4 5:7	217:10,11 221:22	safety 58:10 155:24
202:1,7 203:24	327:14 328:12	rule 34:19,19,21,22	222:3 223:18	sag 185:18
204:18 205:9,22	329:15,17 330:4	35:8,9 123:11	225:10,16 226:17	san 207:4 209:10

200.10.10	Sanitar 00.16	gaagang 10.14	241.12 244.9	141.6
209:10,10	Sanitary 98:16	seasons 18:14	241:12 244:8	141:0
saliboat 200:24	<b>sat</b> 27:8	second 16:20 18:3	251:24 262:2,17	separate 270:19
salling 207.4,9,11	Saw 49:19 80:4	20.25 27.2 52.1,2	207.17 272.15,10	$301.2 \ 321.21$
	94.24 102.10	34.3 33.18 81.21	2/5:14,24 2/0:21	series 21:22 158:5
<b>saith</b> /:4	103:13 201:0	8/:18 98:18 101:3	297:21 299:22	1/0:24 223:15
salt 38:7 78:18	262:9 272:11	119:11 143:19	309:12,19 313:12	225:12 227:7,19
salted 38:6,/	saying 20:9 31:7	144:18 216:7	315:8,9,12 316:13	228:10 229:20
sample 21:13 42:9	32:22 34:15 58:11	221:5 228:14,16	31/:2 319:11	232:11 243:18
/1:24 /2:4,5	61:14 126:3,4	247:18 269:19	321:8 326:11	serve 1/2:14
81:12 88:4,8	152:14 158:11	297:13 299:1	327:8,19,23	service 109:4
89:21 95:12	172:2 181:13	secondary 52:6,10	328:13 329:3,13	Services 132:2
107:21 120:5	187:13 189:21	53:17 58:15 65:13	330:5	set 156:6,13 170:14
168:17 169:4,7,14	190:15,22 201:22	section 54:11 83:24	seeing 41:5 71:22	185:8 214:6
1/0:21/6:23	230:7 253:19	98:23 110:17	/6:/ 96:2 139:4	215:24 247:11,17
253:6,9,14 254:6	261:16 264:23,24	111:16 120:3	160:3,4 167:15	247:18 259:3
255:8,22 267:5	268:19 269:24	288:3,7,12 289:19	293:22 296:2	286:22 320:13
269:2 270:17	271:18,19,21	see 9:13 11:17	seen 9:11 11:15	settlement 63:21
271:22	276:3	15:12,15,19 16:8	23:18 51:8 72:16	64:6
sampled 21:23 88:1	says 15:13 17:6	19:19 21:14 22:18	139:24 144:11	settles 82:23
88:2 139:1 161:12	21:11,12 26:13	26:5 28:24 30:13	162:7,12 163:1	seven 9:8 143:18
162:15 163:7	32:21 33:9 34:4	39:16,24 41:2,9	178:20 179:6	219:4 298:24
164:20,23 167:12	37:12 40:24 67:17	43:24 49:6 50:13	180:5,5,7,10,13	305:24
168:12 170:19	83:6 88:17,22	51:7 56:17 59:3	186:16,20,24	<b>Seymour</b> 4:3,4,7,8
179:1 274:5,5	98:12 132:21	59:23 66:23 67:22	198:14 273:13	4:9 5:14 6:9 7:7
293:5 294:2,6,22	134:9 141:7 142:5	69:19 70:15 74:4	290:7,23 291:9,13	17:20 68:23 107:2
samples 49:10,11	160:7 166:24	74:12,15 76:3,13	291:18 292:21	114:13 129:16,22
49:15 50:16,24	222:9 223:19	84:1 87:9 88:14	293:2,10,15,16	174:19 198:4
107:23 120:12,22	241:19 242:3	89:12 93:8 94:4	295:8,11,21,23	206:2 216:13
168:17 170:14	248:8 284:4,4	95:12 103:19	302:16 308:20,24	245:10 257:15
171:11 176:24	285:11 286:2	120:7 121:17	309:2 323:6,10	269:13 289:4,16
177:3 193:14	293:21 294:22	123:16 135:24	seepage 131:9	292:2 312:17
297:18,20 298:5	295:5,6 297:18	138:2 145:2 156:8	seeping 68:20	326:23 331:7
sampling 9:3 21:15	301:11 310:23	157:15 159:2	selected 12:6	shaded 240:10
21:19,21 25:24	scattered 210:7	163:11 180:6	selenium 72:8	shading 15:20
26:1 27:1 72:20	scenario 201:23	182:14 186:4	sells 7:22	239:13 241:20
88:13,15 120:9,16	265:17	198:19,22 201:22	send 182:21	shakes 254:8
135:17 161:21	schedule 4:10	205:15 209:7,11	sense 178:10	shallow 68:4
169:22 170:1	332:8	217:14,23 218:18	220:22 234:18	Shanley-Roberts
190:10	scheduled 53:7	218:23,23 220:5	sensitive 256:18	4:5 206:8,9
sand 55:9,10 57:17	82:12,15 109:17	220:15 222:13	260:11 261:5	shape 318:19
58:4 67:18 68:6	scientific 128:5	223:1 225:20,24	265:6	<b>share</b> 225:6
68:10,17 84:8,10	screen 216:21	226:1 227:16,18	sensitivity 281:21	shifting 64:23
84:13,13 110:13	screening 288:13	227:19 228:11,12	282:15,22	shore 98:16 213:19
111:11 113:21	289:20 290:8	228:18,18 230:18	sent 182:23	shoreline 207:13
115:13 148:12	se 29:18	235:22 238:10,24	sentence 34:4 99:6	210:17,22

		-		
shorelines 212:23	significant 103:7	100:24 101:21	159:13 174:12,22	89:10 90:17 93:3
shores 207:22	103:20 172:8	102:4.7.20 103:23	181:9 207:21	93:22.22 96:9.13
213:13	207:20	103:24 107:8.10	214:9 215:17	100:18.20 103:13
<b>short</b> 106:19 129:9	signify 238:14	108:8 125:1	247:12 257:24	103:14 107:9.10
205:17 288:22	silted 68:4	127:22 131:18.21	278:10 290:13.15	108:7 109:7
317:4	silty 68:9	132:9.12.12 133:1	291:1 299:16	110:16 117:18
short-term 11:11	similar 50:20 51:19	133:22 134:4	302:16 303:13	118:10.10 119:19
12:19	54:19 72:6 74:20	136:19 138:1	sitting 115:13	119:19 121:1.19
shorthand 260:3	77:3 80:16 83:21	140:7 150:23	situation 107:20	123:4.4.5.20.20
333:10.12	87:3 93:24 94:4	157:5 158:19	113:15 154:13	301:7.9 304:24
show 72:5 77:22	96:5 102:17	160:2,13 161:10	243:2 253:5	305:22 306:7
88:21 97:1 163:9	103:17 107:15	161:17,19 163:8	situations 127:12	309:21
163:17 165:22	109:7 110:9.11.11	163:13 171:7.14	152:2 155:5	slides 15:5 67:7.11
199:13 225:5	110:14 120:17	171:21 173:18	201:20 298:13	69:5,9 80:5,15
242:14 248:17	122:11 133:6	179:3.14 184:9	six 29:9.12 53:10	92:14 96:10
257:22 260:15	163:21 164:15	186:7 195:6	55:12 84:15	118:19
283:18 285:13.15	179:12.14 180:1	198:23 201:11	111:13 207:11	sliding 299:5
285:19.21 304:7.8	182:7.7 186:4	207:18 208:3.16	219:17.19 229:9	slight 23:10.11
306:1 310:18	222:14.15.16	210:8 220:16	266:7 283:15	slightly 29:7.15
showed 27:4	254:11 258:13	238:7.8 245:16	284:6,18 285:4	44:12 68:18 72:10
283:13.15	259:2 280:8 286:6	246:12 292:10	305:12	111:17 252:7
showing 13:13 26:7	298:16	295:4,6 300:10	six-inch 110:3	255:3 269:17
53:7 93:23 101:11	simple 75:9 154:23	307:19 308:1	<b>skill 2</b> 09:1	<b>slop</b> 54:4
101:17 210:24	169:14 202:12	309:1 318:1,5	skip 193:18	slope 26:14,18,20
235:2 320:22	259:7	319:23	slab 309:12	123:16 131:10
<b>shown</b> 30:20 68:3	simplify 235:8	site-by-site 27:9	slag 119:23 182:12	281:14,17,19
100:24 193:8	<b>simply</b> 100:11	291:8	192:21 195:8	282:18,21
229:21 233:2	234:5 264:10	site-related 248:15	219:11 221:7	slopes 111:10
321:21 323:7	266:19 269:8	site-specific 19:11	229:8,11,18	slow 141:15 142:3
328:17 329:12	simulated 26:19	31:18,23,24 70:1	293:18,21 323:14	slowly 64:13,16
shows 32:10 40:2	sir 129:17 140:19	119:9 301:13	slam@environm	153:2
82:9 91:5 228:16	151:23 178:6	sites 7:14 8:15	2:18	slug 201:14,19
261:9 321:20	227:13 284:7	11:16 14:17 31:1	slide 7:19 13:12,19	sluice 83:1
<b>sic</b> 131:20	317:18	45:5 47:3 48:5	18:7,7 20:19 24:8	small 23:15 64:23
side 21:12 82:17,18	<b>sit</b> 166:9	50:14 72:7 78:9	26:3,7,8 28:18	186:1
101:16 111:10	site 7:9 9:16 11:4	84:17 95:11 106:5	48:8,12,15,17	smallest 259:12
161:16 172:14	12:20,21 14:9	120:18,23 121:16	49:10,23 51:11,16	smoke 209:11
189:17 230:3,4	18:18 19:8,24	122:24 124:13,18	51:16 54:7,8	<b>smudge</b> 80:20
318:17,20,22	20:11 23:17 24:11	124:21 125:1,5	56:24 58:14 66:17	soft 63:16 251:1
sides 55:1 112:6,7,9	24:21 28:17 31:21	127:9,21 132:15	67:3,4,14 68:3	<b>soil</b> 56:10,11,12
Sierra 1:2 2:20 5:5	36:23 38:8 40:21	132:16,18,22	69:5 70:10 73:4,6	63:15 113:10,11
5:19,22	46:12 47:8 48:9	136:8 139:23	75:4,6 76:20,21	113:13 143:17,23
significance 153:10	48:18,23 49:24	141:9,11 142:8,10	77:6 81:2,7,22	144:2,4,5 146:12
281:12,13,24	51:13 79:2 81:3	147:16 148:1,14	82:2,2 83:23,23	146:15,19,24
282:5,6,10	84:5 95:12 98:13	157:15 158:20	87:18,19,22 88:10	147:4 165:4,4

169:21 170:1,2	259:10,11 261:15	132:9,13,19	162:24 163:24	standards 40:18
183:19 298:14,22	271:5 272:2,7	138:17 157:6,10	169:21 174:17	43:5 89:7 158:21
299:12	273:22 277:14	157:22 159:8	178:18 182:4	252:6 300:15
<b>soil'</b> 146:10	278:19 282:24	160:13 163:2,3	183:13 186:19	317:22,24 319:3
soils 104:12 110:24	283:8 285:14	166:3,4,9,11,13	190:14,20 220:4	319:12
147:9	286:21 288:1,5	171:17 172:4,10	302:7 320:15	standing 251:4
<b>solely</b> 162:4	289:17 291:6,22	172:15 185:19,23	<b>specs</b> 56:1	stands 11:21
solid 298:16 299:15	298:7 310:5	190:15 196:15	<b>speed</b> 68:23 69:20	start 19:11 133:22
299:16	314:17 321:2	south 3:3 110:16	114:13	145:13 150:9
<b>solution</b> 127:15	sort 10:6 16:9 19:8	161:4 178:8	spend 58:22	173:12 181:6
solve 62:14 63:6	70:21 92:6 314:8	208:21 297:24	<b>spent</b> 106:8	183:1 187:9 188:7
<b>solver</b> 60:22	<b>sorts</b> 98:5	310:24	spilling 68:16	188:13 234:21
somebody 58:22	sound 217:6 259:2	southern 119:24	<b>spoke</b> 38:16 66:1,1	started 50:9 56:9
173:13	source 14:11 35:17	southwest 23:1,11	86:22 115:20	57:6 147:13
someplace 239:7	37:20 38:2 42:16	161:12 164:23,24	220:3 320:2	207:10 312:12
somewhat 9:6 94:4	44:17,20 45:13	293:3 294:1,10,21	<b>spoken</b> 188:24	starting 5:17
95:2	46:13,17 49:2,4	295:3,6 323:14	<b>sport</b> 209:2	129:15 159:3
<b>soon</b> 108:17	51:10 70:2,4	southwesterly	spreadsheet 26:11	162:1 166:1
sooner 215:15	71:12 73:21,24	22:22	squiggly 75:11,21	316:16 325:21
sorry 17:5 23:22	74:2,7,12,13,17	<b>space</b> 73:22 74:4,16	<b>SS</b> 333:2	starts 318:17
39:14,17 48:21	74:18,23 76:2,8	spacial 73:15,18	<b>stability</b> 63:8 65:6	state 1:14 31:6
64:10,14 75:16	76:15 77:23 80:9	75:8 93:17 121:12	95:23	46:19 152:9 206:6
88:5 97:6 100:14	80:11 90:13 92:9	spacially 74:12	stable 96:6	211:3 263:6
101:2 105:5 118:4	92:23 93:19 94:14	<b>span</b> 134:24	stack 178:4 326:24	266:15 267:12,20
120:3 121:21	95:6 100:3 104:3	<b>spawn</b> 212:15	<b>staff</b> 37:3	267:22 268:15
128:11 130:4	104:4 122:13,20	speak 34:17 64:13	stand 5:15 107:3	274:17 311:18
132:20,24 134:8	126:16 127:6,6	86:20 124:10	217:13 289:5	333:1,7,9
134:10 145:19	130:15 131:3,12	141:15 158:4	299:7	stated 11:18,22
146:16 149:2,5	138:14,15 140:3	172:20 240:8	standard 14:7	12:5 14:1 25:11
151:7 154:5	153:20 157:19	247:4 289:9 299:3	24:21,24 29:18	30:11,11 45:21
156:20 158:6	158:18 160:18	303:17 321:15	30:17,18 40:6,8,9	114:21 117:24
159:2 168:4	162:9 163:24	speaking 64:16	40:10,15 41:12,19	164:2 190:5
170:11 173:9	166:7,12 173:17	211:22 256:5	41:24 42:12 43:8	192:10 215:23
174:19,20 179:18	179:5 192:8	325:16	43:10,14 44:15	319:1
185:3 186:9	196:23 197:6,19	specific 46:13	61:15,20,21,24	statement 136:13
188:11 195:10,11	204:15,17 217:21	76:15 126:15	63:2,3,5 71:10	146:1 181:8
198:4 200:14	273:15 274:4,11	138:14,15 150:22	72:11,15 94:18	184:15 286:6
221:17 222:4	279:14 297:18,20	158:18 159:7	104:12 155:7	304:20
224:23 225:10	297:20 298:5	160:12 163:2,3	156:12 200:12,12	states 63:4
228:8,9,24 231:13	300:23 302:14	166:3,7,11,12	200:19,20,23	stating 188:19
231:23 236:8,8	322:16 327:21	168:16 173:20	201:2 230:19,21	station 37:15
237:13 242:13	sources 94:3	175:7 178:2 314:4	249:5 263:3	100:22 108:23
245:2,12 248:6,19	102:23 103:7	specifically 7:14	267:12 274:19	109:2 194:11
251:3 253:19,20	126:24,24 127:19	11:20 80:23 88:19	316:10 319:20	stations 14:24
255:5 258:24	127:22 131:23	156:10 160:22	323:11	55:14 71:16 109:7

February 2, 2018

Page 366

statistical 22.9	40.9 00.10 104.24	220.11 20 21	05.11 100.0	152.11 24 154.12
statistical 22:8	49:8 99:19 104:24	230:11,20,21	85:11 108:0	155:11,24 154:13
52:10,20 55:9 77:4 292:10	152:10 154:21	245:7 258:15,18	1/2:13 290:9	224:18 225:22
//:4 282:10	135:1,5	285:9,13,16	301:4 324:16	227:16 232:9,16
305:13	style 83:11	summarized 82:7	326:8	233:2,3 238:5,7
statistically /2:13	stymied 210:3	summarizing //:9	surge 52:1,4,8 53:8	239:7,20,23 241:1
//:12/281:15	subgrade 63:16,16	summary 26:8	54:21 56:23 57:12	241:10 242:1,8
282:20 304:21	63:1/84:8,11	28:22 51:21,23	65:13	243:19 244:4,5,11
statistics 228:15	110:24 143:20	52:2 107:14	surprise 199:15	245:3,15 246:9,10
statute 22:11	14/:16	123:23 228:14	surrounding	246:24 24 /:12,16
statutory 25:3	subject 27:19	2/6:19/283:9,12	100:22 140:7	247:21,21,23
stay 85:13 251:4	154:15 253:7,8	summed 16:5	141:12 142:10	248:7,11,17253:1
staying 66:5 199:17	subjected 253:16	summer 209:8	300:9	254:2,19 255:9,13
stays 30:17	296:24	Superfund 131:18	surroundings	256:22 257:5
step 46:23 206:5	subjective 27:18	132:8,12 299:16	48:10,24 81:3	259:5,9,14,20
331:8	28:5	supplement 232:15	107:11	261:9 274:6
step-wide 289:24	submit 215:20	238:6	surrounds 24:14	283:12 286:1
stick 255:6 259:7	submitted 30:6	supplemental	sustainable 210:18	296:24 300:1,3,5
Stipulation 108:22	subsequently 40:7	231:6,8,11,15,17	sustained 12:12	301:17 303:23
108:24 109:3	substance 296:23	232:1,22 259:6	140:11 151:13	328:17
stipulations 108:21	296:23	supplies 31:6	156:24	tables 49:19 69:10
stone 113:17,22	substantial 220:13	<b>support</b> 234:16	swear 6:11,12	109:11 209:3
<b>stop</b> 149:20 168:8	220:14	258:10	swim 208:22	227:8,9,18 232:2
173:14 174:1	substation 171:22	supports 71:20	213:16	232:6,13,18 233:7
181:17 191:9	subsurfaces 60:24	supposed 59:21	Swimming 208:20	233:9 234:8 248:4
181:17 191:9 storage 195:8 196:2	subsurfaces 60:24 suffered 312:17	supposed 59:21 sure 19:15 32:24	<b>Swimming</b> 208:20 <b>swims</b> 208:6	233:9 234:8 248:4 248:5 259:3
181:17 191:9 storage 195:8 196:2 212:14 219:11	subsurfaces 60:24 suffered 312:17 suggest 10:8 78:2	<b>supposed</b> 59:21 <b>sure</b> 19:15 32:24 33:3 37:13 47:6	Swimming 208:20 swims 208:6 swing 9:12	233:9 234:8 248:4 248:5 259:3 276:19 278:6
181:17 191:9 <b>storage</b> 195:8 196:2 212:14 219:11 221:7 229:8,11,19	subsurfaces 60:24 suffered 312:17 suggest 10:8 78:2 229:22	supposed 59:21 sure 19:15 32:24 33:3 37:13 47:6 48:20 82:6 84:22	Swimming 208:20 swims 208:6 swing 9:12 switched 314:8	233:9 234:8 248:4 248:5 259:3 276:19 278:6 312:19 315:13
181:17 191:9 <b>storage</b> 195:8 196:2 212:14 219:11 221:7 229:8,11,19 <b>stored</b> 15:11	subsurfaces 60:24 suffered 312:17 suggest 10:8 78:2 229:22 suggested 299:3	supposed 59:21 sure 19:15 32:24 33:3 37:13 47:6 48:20 82:6 84:22 88:14 110:23	Swimming 208:20 swims 208:6 swing 9:12 switched 314:8 sworn 6:17 7:4	233:9 234:8 248:4 248:5 259:3 276:19 278:6 312:19 315:13 321:1
181:17 191:9 <b>storage</b> 195:8 196:2 212:14 219:11 221:7 229:8,11,19 <b>stored</b> 15:11 139:23 141:9	subsurfaces 60:24 suffered 312:17 suggest 10:8 78:2 229:22 suggested 299:3 suggesting 277:8	supposed 59:21 sure 19:15 32:24 33:3 37:13 47:6 48:20 82:6 84:22 88:14 110:23 112:18 114:8	Swimming 208:20 swims 208:6 swing 9:12 switched 314:8 sworn 6:17 7:4 SYLVIA 2:19	233:9 234:8 248:4 248:5 259:3 276:19 278:6 312:19 315:13 321:1 tabulating 135:18
181:17 191:9 <b>storage</b> 195:8 196:2 212:14 219:11 221:7 229:8,11,19 <b>stored</b> 15:11 139:23 141:9 142:8 178:17	subsurfaces 60:24 suffered 312:17 suggest 10:8 78:2 229:22 suggested 299:3 suggesting 277:8 suite 2:3,11,16,21	supposed 59:21 sure 19:15 32:24 33:3 37:13 47:6 48:20 82:6 84:22 88:14 110:23 112:18 114:8 128:17 138:8,11	Swimming 208:20 swims 208:6 swing 9:12 switched 314:8 sworn 6:17 7:4 SYLVIA 2:19 system 54:19 59:23	233:9 234:8 248:4 248:5 259:3 276:19 278:6 312:19 315:13 321:1 tabulating 135:18 tabulation 321:11
181:17 191:9 <b>storage</b> 195:8 196:2 212:14 219:11 221:7 229:8,11,19 <b>stored</b> 15:11 139:23 141:9 142:8 178:17 193:12 234:13	subsurfaces 60:24 suffered 312:17 suggest 10:8 78:2 229:22 suggested 299:3 suggesting 277:8 suite 2:3,11,16,21 3:3 104:13	supposed 59:21 sure 19:15 32:24 33:3 37:13 47:6 48:20 82:6 84:22 88:14 110:23 112:18 114:8 128:17 138:8,11 142:1,16 152:21	Swimming 208:20 swims 208:6 swing 9:12 switched 314:8 sworn 6:17 7:4 SYLVIA 2:19 system 54:19 59:23 60:9 61:7 62:18	233:9 234:8 248:4 248:5 259:3 276:19 278:6 312:19 315:13 321:1 tabulating 135:18 tabulation 321:11 TACO 25:6
181:17 191:9 <b>storage</b> 195:8 196:2 212:14 219:11 221:7 229:8,11,19 <b>stored</b> 15:11 139:23 141:9 142:8 178:17 193:12 234:13 239:15 241:22	subsurfaces 60:24 suffered 312:17 suggest 10:8 78:2 229:22 suggested 299:3 suggesting 277:8 suite 2:3,11,16,21 3:3 104:13 sulf- 76:11	supposed 59:21 sure 19:15 32:24 33:3 37:13 47:6 48:20 82:6 84:22 88:14 110:23 112:18 114:8 128:17 138:8,11 142:1,16 152:21 170:14 177:18	Swimming 208:20 swims 208:6 swing 9:12 switched 314:8 sworn 6:17 7:4 SYLVIA 2:19 system 54:19 59:23 60:9 61:7 62:18 84:4	233:9 234:8 248:4 248:5 259:3 276:19 278:6 312:19 315:13 321:1 tabulating 135:18 tabulation 321:11 TACO 25:6 take 39:3 69:1
181:17 191:9 <b>storage</b> 195:8 196:2 212:14 219:11 221:7 229:8,11,19 <b>stored</b> 15:11 139:23 141:9 142:8 178:17 193:12 234:13 239:15 241:22 242:4,16	subsurfaces 60:24 suffered 312:17 suggest 10:8 78:2 229:22 suggested 299:3 suggesting 277:8 suite 2:3,11,16,21 3:3 104:13 sulf- 76:11 sulfate 14:22 15:13	supposed 59:21 sure 19:15 32:24 33:3 37:13 47:6 48:20 82:6 84:22 88:14 110:23 112:18 114:8 128:17 138:8,11 142:1,16 152:21 170:14 177:18 192:15 193:19	Swimming 208:20 swims 208:6 swing 9:12 switched 314:8 sworn 6:17 7:4 SYLVIA 2:19 system 54:19 59:23 60:9 61:7 62:18 84:4 systems 55:14 63:4	233:9 234:8 248:4 248:5 259:3 276:19 278:6 312:19 315:13 321:1 tabulating 135:18 tabulation 321:11 TACO 25:6 take 39:3 69:1 106:14 141:16
181:17 191:9 <b>storage</b> 195:8 196:2 212:14 219:11 221:7 229:8,11,19 <b>stored</b> 15:11 139:23 141:9 142:8 178:17 193:12 234:13 239:15 241:22 242:4,16 <b>straight</b> 96:13	subsurfaces 60:24 suffered 312:17 suggest 10:8 78:2 229:22 suggested 299:3 suggesting 277:8 suite 2:3,11,16,21 3:3 104:13 sulf-76:11 sulfate 14:22 15:13 27:4 29:4 40:19	supposed 59:21 sure 19:15 32:24 33:3 37:13 47:6 48:20 82:6 84:22 88:14 110:23 112:18 114:8 128:17 138:8,11 142:1,16 152:21 170:14 177:18 192:15 193:19 194:2,4 202:21	Swimming 208:20 swims 208:6 swing 9:12 switched 314:8 sworn 6:17 7:4 SYLVIA 2:19 system 54:19 59:23 60:9 61:7 62:18 84:4 systems 55:14 63:4 110:8 112:13	233:9 234:8 248:4 248:5 259:3 276:19 278:6 312:19 315:13 321:1 tabulating 135:18 tabulation 321:11 TACO 25:6 take 39:3 69:1 106:14 141:16 155:16 203:11
181:17 191:9 <b>storage</b> 195:8 196:2 212:14 219:11 221:7 229:8,11,19 <b>stored</b> 15:11 139:23 141:9 142:8 178:17 193:12 234:13 239:15 241:22 242:4,16 <b>straight</b> 96:13 <b>stratus</b> 64:3,3	subsurfaces 60:24 suffered 312:17 suggest 10:8 78:2 229:22 suggested 299:3 suggesting 277:8 suite 2:3,11,16,21 3:3 104:13 sulf- 76:11 sulfate 14:22 15:13 27:4 29:4 40:19 41:1,5,8,10,12	supposed 59:21 sure 19:15 32:24 33:3 37:13 47:6 48:20 82:6 84:22 88:14 110:23 112:18 114:8 128:17 138:8,11 142:1,16 152:21 170:14 177:18 192:15 193:19 194:2,4 202:21 203:6 211:14	Swimming 208:20 swims 208:6 swing 9:12 switched 314:8 sworn 6:17 7:4 SYLVIA 2:19 system 54:19 59:23 60:9 61:7 62:18 84:4 systems 55:14 63:4 110:8 112:13 ————————————————————————————————————	233:9 234:8 248:4 248:5 259:3 276:19 278:6 312:19 315:13 321:1 tabulating 135:18 tabulation 321:11 TACO 25:6 take 39:3 69:1 106:14 141:16 155:16 203:11 205:12,15 224:4
181:17 191:9 <b>storage</b> 195:8 196:2 212:14 219:11 221:7 229:8,11,19 <b>stored</b> 15:11 139:23 141:9 142:8 178:17 193:12 234:13 239:15 241:22 242:4,16 <b>straight</b> 96:13 <b>stratus</b> 64:3,3 <b>Street</b> 2:2,20 3:3	subsurfaces 60:24 suffered 312:17 suggest 10:8 78:2 229:22 suggested 299:3 suggesting 277:8 suite 2:3,11,16,21 3:3 104:13 sulf- 76:11 sulfate 14:22 15:13 27:4 29:4 40:19 41:1,5,8,10,12 76:11 88:23 89:13	supposed 59:21 sure 19:15 32:24 33:3 37:13 47:6 48:20 82:6 84:22 88:14 110:23 112:18 114:8 128:17 138:8,11 142:1,16 152:21 170:14 177:18 192:15 193:19 194:2,4 202:21 203:6 211:14 215:2 217:1	Swimming 208:20 swims 208:6 swing 9:12 switched 314:8 sworn 6:17 7:4 SYLVIA 2:19 system 54:19 59:23 60:9 61:7 62:18 84:4 systems 55:14 63:4 110:8 112:13 T	233:9 234:8 248:4 248:5 259:3 276:19 278:6 312:19 315:13 321:1 tabulating 135:18 tabulation 321:11 TACO 25:6 take 39:3 69:1 106:14 141:16 155:16 203:11 205:12,15 224:4 224:18 243:24
181:17 191:9 <b>storage</b> 195:8 196:2 212:14 219:11 221:7 229:8,11,19 <b>stored</b> 15:11 139:23 141:9 142:8 178:17 193:12 234:13 239:15 241:22 242:4,16 <b>straight</b> 96:13 <b>stratus</b> 64:3,3 <b>Street</b> 2:2,20 3:3 <b>stress</b> 64:23	subsurfaces 60:24 suffered 312:17 suggest 10:8 78:2 229:22 suggested 299:3 suggesting 277:8 suite 2:3,11,16,21 3:3 104:13 sulf- 76:11 sulfate 14:22 15:13 27:4 29:4 40:19 41:1,5,8,10,12 76:11 88:23 89:13 94:10 96:6 104:19	supposed 59:21 sure 19:15 32:24 33:3 37:13 47:6 48:20 82:6 84:22 88:14 110:23 112:18 114:8 128:17 138:8,11 142:1,16 152:21 170:14 177:18 192:15 193:19 194:2,4 202:21 203:6 211:14 215:2 217:1 223:18 231:23	Swimming 208:20 swims 208:6 swing 9:12 switched 314:8 sworn 6:17 7:4 SYLVIA 2:19 system 54:19 59:23 60:9 61:7 62:18 84:4 systems 55:14 63:4 110:8 112:13 T T 3:6 4:14 7:5,5	233:9 234:8 248:4 248:5 259:3 276:19 278:6 312:19 315:13 321:1 tabulating 135:18 tabulation 321:11 TACO 25:6 take 39:3 69:1 106:14 141:16 155:16 203:11 205:12,15 224:4 224:18 243:24 244:10,17 245:18
181:17 191:9 storage 195:8 196:2 212:14 219:11 221:7 229:8,11,19 stored 15:11 139:23 141:9 142:8 178:17 193:12 234:13 239:15 241:22 242:4,16 straight 96:13 stratus 64:3,3 Street 2:2,20 3:3 stress 64:23 strong 78:20	subsurfaces 60:24 suffered 312:17 suggest 10:8 78:2 229:22 suggested 299:3 suggesting 277:8 suite 2:3,11,16,21 3:3 104:13 sulf- 76:11 sulfate 14:22 15:13 27:4 29:4 40:19 41:1,5,8,10,12 76:11 88:23 89:13 94:10 96:6 104:19 120:21 122:11	supposed 59:21 sure 19:15 32:24 33:3 37:13 47:6 48:20 82:6 84:22 88:14 110:23 112:18 114:8 128:17 138:8,11 142:1,16 152:21 170:14 177:18 192:15 193:19 194:2,4 202:21 203:6 211:14 215:2 217:1 223:18 231:23 252:11 255:12	Swimming 208:20 swims 208:6 swing 9:12 switched 314:8 sworn 6:17 7:4 SYLVIA 2:19 system 54:19 59:23 60:9 61:7 62:18 84:4 systems 55:14 63:4 110:8 112:13 T T 3:6 4:14 7:5,5 129:20 312:15,15	233:9 234:8 248:4 248:5 259:3 276:19 278:6 312:19 315:13 321:1 tabulating 135:18 tabulation 321:11 TACO 25:6 take 39:3 69:1 106:14 141:16 155:16 203:11 205:12,15 224:4 224:18 243:24 244:10,17 245:18 253:19 274:16
181:17 191:9 storage 195:8 196:2 212:14 219:11 221:7 229:8,11,19 stored 15:11 139:23 141:9 142:8 178:17 193:12 234:13 239:15 241:22 242:4,16 straight 96:13 stratus 64:3,3 Street 2:2,20 3:3 stress 64:23 strong 78:20 struggle 45:20	subsurfaces 60:24 suffered 312:17 suggest 10:8 78:2 229:22 suggested 299:3 suggesting 277:8 suite 2:3,11,16,21 3:3 104:13 sulf- 76:11 sulfate 14:22 15:13 27:4 29:4 40:19 41:1,5,8,10,12 76:11 88:23 89:13 94:10 96:6 104:19 120:21 122:11 139:10,13,17,19	supposed 59:21 sure 19:15 32:24 33:3 37:13 47:6 48:20 82:6 84:22 88:14 110:23 112:18 114:8 128:17 138:8,11 142:1,16 152:21 170:14 177:18 192:15 193:19 194:2,4 202:21 203:6 211:14 215:2 217:1 223:18 231:23 252:11 255:12 259:11 278:22	Swimming 208:20 swims 208:6 swing 9:12 switched 314:8 sworn 6:17 7:4 SYLVIA 2:19 system 54:19 59:23 60:9 61:7 62:18 84:4 systems 55:14 63:4 110:8 112:13 T T 3:6 4:14 7:5,5 129:20 312:15,15 326:20 330:20,20	233:9 234:8 248:4 248:5 259:3 276:19 278:6 312:19 315:13 321:1 tabulating 135:18 tabulation 321:11 TACO 25:6 take 39:3 69:1 106:14 141:16 155:16 203:11 205:12,15 224:4 224:18 243:24 244:10,17 245:18 253:19 274:16 287:5 288:20
181:17 191:9 <b>storage</b> 195:8 196:2 212:14 219:11 221:7 229:8,11,19 <b>stored</b> 15:11 139:23 141:9 142:8 178:17 193:12 234:13 239:15 241:22 242:4,16 <b>straight</b> 96:13 <b>stratus</b> 64:3,3 <b>Street</b> 2:2,20 3:3 <b>stress</b> 64:23 <b>strong</b> 78:20 <b>struggle</b> 45:20 141:22	subsurfaces 60:24 suffered 312:17 suggest 10:8 78:2 229:22 suggested 299:3 suggesting 277:8 suite 2:3,11,16,21 3:3 104:13 sulf- 76:11 sulfate 14:22 15:13 27:4 29:4 40:19 41:1,5,8,10,12 76:11 88:23 89:13 94:10 96:6 104:19 120:21 122:11 139:10,13,17,19 186:20,21 218:17	supposed 59:21 sure 19:15 32:24 33:3 37:13 47:6 48:20 82:6 84:22 88:14 110:23 112:18 114:8 128:17 138:8,11 142:1,16 152:21 170:14 177:18 192:15 193:19 194:2,4 202:21 203:6 211:14 215:2 217:1 223:18 231:23 252:11 255:12 259:11 278:22 283:1 284:13	Swimming 208:20 swims 208:6 swing 9:12 switched 314:8 sworn 6:17 7:4 SYLVIA 2:19 system 54:19 59:23 60:9 61:7 62:18 84:4 systems 55:14 63:4 110:8 112:13 T T 3:6 4:14 7:5,5 129:20 312:15,15 326:20 330:20,20 Tab 29:24 39:1	233:9 234:8 248:4 248:5 259:3 276:19 278:6 312:19 315:13 321:1 tabulating 135:18 tabulation 321:11 TACO 25:6 take 39:3 69:1 106:14 141:16 155:16 203:11 205:12,15 224:4 224:18 243:24 244:10,17 245:18 253:19 274:16 287:5 288:20 308:23 312:13
181:17 191:9 storage 195:8 196:2 212:14 219:11 221:7 229:8,11,19 stored 15:11 139:23 141:9 142:8 178:17 193:12 234:13 239:15 241:22 242:4,16 straight 96:13 stratus 64:3,3 Street 2:2,20 3:3 stress 64:23 strong 78:20 struggle 45:20 141:22 students 214:16,24	subsurfaces 60:24 suffered 312:17 suggest 10:8 78:2 229:22 suggested 299:3 suggesting 277:8 suite 2:3,11,16,21 3:3 104:13 sulf- 76:11 sulfate 14:22 15:13 27:4 29:4 40:19 41:1,5,8,10,12 76:11 88:23 89:13 94:10 96:6 104:19 120:21 122:11 139:10,13,17,19 186:20,21 218:17 221:16,19 222:10	supposed 59:21 sure 19:15 32:24 33:3 37:13 47:6 48:20 82:6 84:22 88:14 110:23 112:18 114:8 128:17 138:8,11 142:1,16 152:21 170:14 177:18 192:15 193:19 194:2,4 202:21 203:6 211:14 215:2 217:1 223:18 231:23 252:11 255:12 259:11 278:22 283:1 284:13 288:18 309:9	Swimming 208:20 swims 208:6 swing 9:12 switched 314:8 sworn 6:17 7:4 SYLVIA 2:19 system 54:19 59:23 60:9 61:7 62:18 84:4 systems 55:14 63:4 110:8 112:13 T T 3:6 4:14 7:5,5 129:20 312:15,15 326:20 330:20,20 Tab 29:24 39:1 105:16	233:9 234:8 248:4 248:5 259:3 276:19 278:6 312:19 315:13 321:1 tabulating 135:18 tabulation 321:11 TACO 25:6 take 39:3 69:1 106:14 141:16 155:16 203:11 205:12,15 224:4 224:18 243:24 244:10,17 245:18 253:19 274:16 287:5 288:20 308:23 312:13 321:24
181:17 191:9 storage 195:8 196:2 212:14 219:11 221:7 229:8,11,19 stored 15:11 139:23 141:9 142:8 178:17 193:12 234:13 239:15 241:22 242:4,16 straight 96:13 stratus 64:3,3 Street 2:2,20 3:3 stress 64:23 strong 78:20 struggle 45:20 141:22 students 214:16,24 studies 100:8	subsurfaces 60:24 suffered 312:17 suggest 10:8 78:2 229:22 suggested 299:3 suggesting 277:8 suite 2:3,11,16,21 3:3 104:13 sulf- 76:11 sulfate 14:22 15:13 27:4 29:4 40:19 41:1,5,8,10,12 76:11 88:23 89:13 94:10 96:6 104:19 120:21 122:11 139:10,13,17,19 186:20,21 218:17 221:16,19 222:10 222:12,17,19	supposed 59:21 sure 19:15 32:24 33:3 37:13 47:6 48:20 82:6 84:22 88:14 110:23 112:18 114:8 128:17 138:8,11 142:1,16 152:21 170:14 177:18 192:15 193:19 194:2,4 202:21 203:6 211:14 215:2 217:1 223:18 231:23 252:11 255:12 259:11 278:22 283:1 284:13 288:18 309:9 310:19 311:23	Swimming 208:20 swims 208:6 swing 9:12 switched 314:8 sworn 6:17 7:4 SYLVIA 2:19 system 54:19 59:23 60:9 61:7 62:18 84:4 systems 55:14 63:4 110:8 112:13 T T 3:6 4:14 7:5,5 129:20 312:15,15 326:20 330:20,20 Tab 29:24 39:1 105:16 table 15:5,14 16:15 105:16	233:9 234:8 248:4 248:5 259:3 276:19 278:6 312:19 315:13 321:1 tabulating 135:18 tabulation 321:11 TACO 25:6 take 39:3 69:1 106:14 141:16 155:16 203:11 205:12,15 224:4 224:18 243:24 244:10,17 245:18 253:19 274:16 287:5 288:20 308:23 312:13 321:24 taken 1:12 168:17
181:17 191:9 storage 195:8 196:2 212:14 219:11 221:7 229:8,11,19 stored 15:11 139:23 141:9 142:8 178:17 193:12 234:13 239:15 241:22 242:4,16 straight 96:13 stratus 64:3,3 Street 2:2,20 3:3 stress 64:23 strong 78:20 struggle 45:20 141:22 students 214:16,24 studies 100:8 104:10 199:8	subsurfaces 60:24 suffered 312:17 suggest 10:8 78:2 229:22 suggested 299:3 suggesting 277:8 suite 2:3,11,16,21 3:3 104:13 sulf- 76:11 sulfate 14:22 15:13 27:4 29:4 40:19 41:1,5,8,10,12 76:11 88:23 89:13 94:10 96:6 104:19 120:21 122:11 139:10,13,17,19 186:20,21 218:17 221:16,19 222:10 222:12,17,19 223:2,16 224:6,10	supposed 59:21 sure 19:15 32:24 33:3 37:13 47:6 48:20 82:6 84:22 88:14 110:23 112:18 114:8 128:17 138:8,11 142:1,16 152:21 170:14 177:18 192:15 193:19 194:2,4 202:21 203:6 211:14 215:2 217:1 223:18 231:23 252:11 255:12 259:11 278:22 283:1 284:13 288:18 309:9 310:19 311:23 surface 9:19,20,22	Swimming 208:20 swims 208:6 swing 9:12 switched 314:8 sworn 6:17 7:4 SYLVIA 2:19 system 54:19 59:23 60:9 61:7 62:18 84:4 systems 55:14 63:4 110:8 112:13 T T 3:6 4:14 7:5,5 129:20 312:15,15 326:20 330:20,20 Tab 29:24 39:1 105:16 table 15:5,14 16:15 16:16,17,23 18:17 T 0.00 (110.05)	233:9 234:8 248:4 248:5 259:3 276:19 278:6 312:19 315:13 321:1 tabulating 135:18 tabulation 321:11 TACO 25:6 take 39:3 69:1 106:14 141:16 155:16 203:11 205:12,15 224:4 224:18 243:24 244:10,17 245:18 253:19 274:16 287:5 288:20 308:23 312:13 321:24 taken 1:12 168:17 332:4 333:13
181:17 191:9 storage 195:8 196:2 212:14 219:11 221:7 229:8,11,19 stored 15:11 139:23 141:9 142:8 178:17 193:12 234:13 239:15 241:22 242:4,16 straight 96:13 stratus 64:3,3 Street 2:2,20 3:3 stress 64:23 strong 78:20 struggle 45:20 141:22 students 214:16,24 studies 100:8 104:10 199:8 257:22 308:11	subsurfaces 60:24 suffered 312:17 suggest 10:8 78:2 229:22 suggested 299:3 suggesting 277:8 suite 2:3,11,16,21 3:3 104:13 sulf- 76:11 sulfate 14:22 15:13 27:4 29:4 40:19 41:1,5,8,10,12 76:11 88:23 89:13 94:10 96:6 104:19 120:21 122:11 139:10,13,17,19 186:20,21 218:17 221:16,19 222:10 222:12,17,19 223:2,16 224:6,10 228:1,2,17,19	supposed 59:21 sure 19:15 32:24 33:3 37:13 47:6 48:20 82:6 84:22 88:14 110:23 112:18 114:8 128:17 138:8,11 142:1,16 152:21 170:14 177:18 192:15 193:19 194:2,4 202:21 203:6 211:14 215:2 217:1 223:18 231:23 252:11 255:12 259:11 278:22 283:1 284:13 288:18 309:9 310:19 311:23 surface 9:19,20,22 10:1,14,21 42:24	Swimming 208:20 swims 208:6 swing 9:12 switched 314:8 sworn 6:17 7:4 SYLVIA 2:19 system 54:19 59:23 60:9 61:7 62:18 84:4 systems 55:14 63:4 110:8 112:13 T T 3:6 4:14 7:5,5 129:20 312:15,15 326:20 330:20,20 Tab 29:24 39:1 105:16 table 15:5,14 16:15 16:16,17,23 18:17 77:8 82:6 119:8,9	233:9 234:8 248:4 248:5 259:3 276:19 278:6 312:19 315:13 321:1 tabulating 135:18 tabulation 321:11 TACO 25:6 take 39:3 69:1 106:14 141:16 155:16 203:11 205:12,15 224:4 224:18 243:24 244:10,17 245:18 253:19 274:16 287:5 288:20 308:23 312:13 321:24 taken 1:12 168:17 332:4 333:13 takes 27:20 32:20
181:17 191:9 storage 195:8 196:2 212:14 219:11 221:7 229:8,11,19 stored 15:11 139:23 141:9 142:8 178:17 193:12 234:13 239:15 241:22 242:4,16 straight 96:13 stratus 64:3,3 Street 2:2,20 3:3 stress 64:23 strong 78:20 struggle 45:20 141:22 students 214:16,24 studies 100:8 104:10 199:8 257:22 308:11 study 19:13 35:15	subsurfaces 60:24 suffered 312:17 suggest 10:8 78:2 229:22 suggested 299:3 suggesting 277:8 suite 2:3,11,16,21 3:3 104:13 sulf- 76:11 sulfate 14:22 15:13 27:4 29:4 40:19 41:1,5,8,10,12 76:11 88:23 89:13 94:10 96:6 104:19 120:21 122:11 139:10,13,17,19 186:20,21 218:17 221:16,19 222:10 222:12,17,19 223:2,16 224:6,10 228:1,2,17,19 229:3,12,17,24	supposed 59:21 sure 19:15 32:24 33:3 37:13 47:6 48:20 82:6 84:22 88:14 110:23 112:18 114:8 128:17 138:8,11 142:1,16 152:21 170:14 177:18 192:15 193:19 194:2,4 202:21 203:6 211:14 215:2 217:1 223:18 231:23 252:11 255:12 259:11 278:22 283:1 284:13 288:18 309:9 310:19 311:23 surface 9:19,20,22 10:1,14,21 42:24 43:2,5,15 79:9	Swimming 208:20 swims 208:6 swing 9:12 switched 314:8 sworn 6:17 7:4 SYLVIA 2:19 system 54:19 59:23 60:9 61:7 62:18 84:4 systems 55:14 63:4 110:8 112:13 T T 3:6 4:14 7:5,5 129:20 312:15,15 326:20 330:20,20 Tab 29:24 39:1 105:16 table 15:5,14 16:15 16:16,17,23 18:17 77:8 82:6 119:8,9 119:11 151:3	233:9 234:8 248:4 248:5 259:3 276:19 278:6 312:19 315:13 321:1 tabulating 135:18 tabulation 321:11 TACO 25:6 take 39:3 69:1 106:14 141:16 155:16 203:11 205:12,15 224:4 224:18 243:24 244:10,17 245:18 253:19 274:16 287:5 288:20 308:23 312:13 321:24 taken 1:12 168:17 332:4 333:13 takes 27:20 32:20 talk 11:21 13:12

30:22 59:9 78:13	74:6 92:5 118:5	271:4,8,11 272:6	132:23 134:2	122:1 129:24
81:4,5,8 96:20	122:16,17 135:23	272:12,15 273:5	135:10 136:4	167:8 169:15
156:10 225:8	166:2 173:13,15	274:10,22,23	139:7 141:2 143:1	188:5 258:8
259:8 280:24	177:22 181:7	275:7 277:1	143:2 147:12	298:20 309:17
314:22	200:7 201:6	282:11,12,15	148:5 153:21	things 31:17 49:2
talked 8:7 35:18	249:16 281:21	283:2 288:2 302:2	160:15 162:23	50:11 80:17,22
37:6,17,19 57:15	282:23,23 304:22	303:8 313:4,5	168:9 172:21	89:19 127:9
86:17 87:19	330:9	322:11	175:24 176:13	134:17 144:11
115:22,23 134:20	tells 92:7,9 94:12	tested 169:9 170:6	178:5 185:9	157:17 162:21
275:10 300:7	319:16	170:12 171:3	192:11 197:12,16	164:8 169:9
302:6 317:3 318:4	temporal 25:11,18	254:1,3 259:19	200:17 205:9,16	174:14 175:1
322:6	25:20 26:4 76:17	282:5	206:12 211:15,16	181:14,22,23
talking 7:9 13:6	76:23 96:11 123:1	testified 44:16 55:4	211:18,19,22	183:20 191:21
24:4 95:23 103:14	123:6 280:24	63:7 105:19	215:5,5,7,8,11	201:12 204:13
120:8 127:21	301:12	276:10 292:2,3	216:2,4 232:20	217:19 258:22
139:10 141:22	temporarily 208:3	324:1	233:6 234:7	262:23 320:17
177:14 192:21,24	ten 35:20 41:23	testify 11:19 88:3	236:24 239:18	326:5
196:8 199:5	123:9 143:18	testifying 27:3	241:9 245:10	think 5:16 9:6,24
217:16 219:10	250:4,8,13 251:7	198:8	246:1 250:10	12:12 14:6,23
232:7 270:21	284:23 285:5	testimony 6:13	251:2 257:16	22:3,19 23:5
274:20 275:22	290:18 298:24	11:13 27:8 30:3	267:16 270:8	25:15 31:19 35:10
291:2,16 292:5,6	305:24 313:24	30:11 37:23 47:15	273:10 275:12	35:20 36:21 38:21
292:7 295:17	tension 56:13	70:11 73:7 90:10	287:20,23 288:20	38:24 39:6 43:16
314:5 316:17	term 149:24 150:12	96:23 115:4,17,22	289:14 296:3	44:8 45:23 46:18
318:5 322:24	155:20 209:4	121:6 152:17	297:4 299:6,20	47:14,16 49:15
331:24	terminology 182:8	157:17 178:16	301:6 304:3 306:6	53:21 54:2 58:2,5
talks 52:18	terms 75:9 152:12	179:20 256:6	307:17 317:19	58:7 61:21 66:16
tannery 96:21	154:2 163:2 172:9	277:12,16 312:24	325:22 326:13,15	68:23 69:6 70:19
100:24 101:10	219:2	testing 26:4 77:7	330:18 331:5,7,12	72:10 73:1 74:9
102:7,19 103:9	test 104:17 160:24	96:11 123:7	331:18 332:13,15	75:5 79:4,10,20
187:15,17,22	161:9,15 164:10	174:13,24 255:8	<b>Thanks</b> 129:5,19	80:1,14 83:6
191:1,3,8,17,21	164:20 170:1	281:1,24 301:12	257:2 284:12	86:11,15 89:4
192:3	176:16 178:20	tests 163:12 170:6	288:11	90:6,12 91:10,12
target 19:16	179:1 192:17	176:14 177:4	theoretical 9:7	95:20 99:20 100:5
targeted 163:24	249:4 250:17,22	251:11 253:16	268:24 275:22	105:19 106:2,5,9
task 98:5	252:5 253:8,9,20	267:19 273:18	theoretically	117:17,24 118:3
tax 215:3	254:2,4,7,12,19	312:22	253:18 275:11	127:13 134:22
taxpayers 211:15	254:24 255:8,13	<b>thank</b> 6:3,18 13:10	thick 55:9,10,12	137:19 141:6
<b>TDS</b> 14:22 89:3,13	255:16,19 256:15	17:18 18:16 37:18	84:10,12,15	145:7 146:22,22
tear 117:3	256:17,18 260:10	45:14 64:12,20	109:24 110:3,4	146:23 147:13
technical 6:7	261:5 262:2 263:6	68:24 70:9 97:8	111:14 143:23	149:8 151:11,20
169:20	265:6,6,7,20,23	106:17 107:4,5	298:22 307:10	152:2 154:22
techniques 288:14	266:3,6,20,22	114:13,14 118:8	thicker 326:11	155:20 156:18,21
289:20	267:12,12,20,23	128:20 129:17	thing 14:13 31:19	158:11 160:10
tell 12:20 58:22	269:7 270:19	130:20 131:15	76:12 87:12 95:9	164:6 165:5,11

166.17 171.20 24	throats 212.16	270.22 271.1 6 22	90.13 147.15	88.10 03.3 07.17
173.1 175.71	three 20.0 11 26.2	270.23 271.1,0,23	20.15 147.15 225.24 222.12	00.10 93.3 97.17 08.17 100.18
177.7 1/ 178.72	51.20 76.10 86.21	272.4,10273.4,12 277.21 278.2	255.24 555.12 transform 210.15	1/0.17 100.10 1/0.16 158.22
177.2,14 178.23	86·24 124·18	277.21 278.2	transition $210.13$	140.10 138.22
180.19 182.3,0,11	201.1 207.2	290.24 515.24	transmittal 207.23	221.16 224.21
183.3,13 184.2,0	201.1 207.2	520.0 title 207:15	transported 05.18	221.10 224.21
185.12 186.18	233.24 234.1 242.7 244.0 16	titled 26:3	trash 213.10	220.11,11 227.0
101.10 102.0 12	242.7 244.9,10	today 5:11 128:3	travals 83.3	231.4 233.18
103.8 17 107.24	245.14 200.21,25	1/3·7 166·9	troat 225.18	230.3 307.21 turned 207.11
198.7 11 199.22	266.12 270.16 22	196.10 211.23	treating 259.15	turning 16.14
201.8 10 19	200.12 270.10,22	235.3 281.7	treatment 132.7 6	$20.23\ 48.7\ 51.11$
201:0,10,19	270.22 271.1,0,25	235.5 201.7	tremendous 207.7	56.23 66.17 67.3
$202.20\ 204.0$ $205.4\ 214.14$	272.4,10 273.4,12	toe 131.9	trend 25.12 18 26.4	70.10 73.4 77.6
216.19 220.24	278.2 304.16	told 14.6 37.3	26.14 27.7 28.15	81.22 92.16 93.22
222.23 225.4	305.2 4 306.4 5	122.19	28:20 21 29:1 16	96·9 107·7 9
230:15.15 231:5 7	306:18 310:7.10	tons 174:11.22	29:17 44:8.9.10	108:7 109:6
231:11 234:4	310:24 314:2	tool 28:10 278:9	73:15.18.19 76:17	110:15 119:19
235:7 236:12	Tiered 25:6	top 26:13 28:22	76:24 77:7 95:22	120:24 121:19
238:3.3.8 239:9	time 25:20,21,24	37:12,15 55:7,7	96:11 123:1,7	239:19
240:24 244:6	27:11 40:2 41:9	61:10,11,12 85:23	281:1,5,12,13,24	Twenty 195:22
248:5 249:19	44:11 46:22 52:14	86:10 94:16 98:17	282:11 283:2,14	Twenty-six 48:18
252:22 254:18,20	62:16 64:1 74:16	110:21 111:6,12	285:13 301:12	<b>twice</b> 40:6 41:15,18
258:7 265:11,23	74:16,19 75:23	112:3 113:17	302:2 304:7,8	223:3 224:16
267:10 268:19	76:11,17 94:4,9	115:13 116:9	trends 93:17	<b>two</b> 29:2,3,4,10
269:13,18 270:11	94:12,17,21	120:4 132:21	286:11 303:10,21	30:17 40:3 42:11
271:15 272:14,20	101:20 114:23	137:24 149:18	324:14	49:15 67:4 69:5,9
274:13 276:10	116:19 117:5	307:4 326:23	<b>Tritium</b> 131:11	75:20 83:20 86:24
279:8,9 280:21	134:24 143:18	total 64:3 111:23	troubling 207:23	92:14 108:13,22
283:9 288:1 289:7	183:11 200:8	283:16 284:21	true 32:6 128:4	113:11 118:19
296:12 301:22	206:12 214:6	286:22 304:17	143:15 249:13	143:16 152:12
307:21 308:3	215:5,12 218:6	totally 82:21	333:12	171:8 195:23
310:10 311:19	220:15 221:20	touched 92:11	truly 152:8	200:20,22,23
316:18 318:18	223:1,2,8,15,20	tourism 210:19	<b>truth</b> 6:14,14,15	206:3 207:10
319:1 328:23	224:14 225:6,8,12	toxic 209:22 211:6	try 237:13 272:23	218:3 219:8
331:18 332:12	227:7,19 229:5,6	toxicologist 156:4	283:6	221:15 230:4
thinking 147:3	230:19 232:11	toxicology 156:7	trying 104:16	233:15 244:9
150:14 161:5	237:13 246:17	toxins 208:11	141:16 175:20	250:4,8,13 251:7
164:18 166:24	247:5,9 249:23	209:13 210:6	203:1 226:10	261:21 264:10
108:5	254:17 283:19	trace 191:19	227:2/247:6	297:24 300:2
third 1/:24 52:21	286:18 289:10	track 2/2:8	26/:11 2/5:13	306:23 307:12
therease 0.10	umeirame 41:10	traffic 38:5 19/:10	<b>urn</b> /:19 13:11	510:10,24 511:7 201-11 206-19
thought 17:24 97.6	11/.12	trailed 175.2	15:4 20:18 28:18	521:11 520:18
1100g11 4/.24 8/.0	A2.17 A7.16 07.10	trailed $1/3.3$	29.23 33.20,23	140.2 200.22
21/.3 2/0.14 thousand 12:22	43.1/4/.109/.19	transcript $1.10$	57.7 54.7 02.18 60.4 75.4 76.20	140.2 298.22 200.12 17 21
IIIUUSAIIU 12.22	203.22 270.10,22	u anscript 1.11	07.4 / 5.4 / 0.20	277.12,17,21
			•	

	1	l	1	1
<b>type</b> 14:7 61:5 66:8	138:11 147:24	102:4,7 103:7,8,9	173:16,21 174:16	36:22 91:23 147:3
72:19 82:6	151:19 157:18	104:4 118:1,7	175:6 184:14	173:23 175:18
<b>types</b> 64:5	unexpected 58:21	122:8,13,14,21	185:13 187:3	vertical 23:13
	59:9	126:13 185:14,19	190:7 320:8,14	view 100:21 274:16
U	<b>unfair</b> 280:16	185:22 189:17	324:17	317:7
U 7:2 83:3	unfortunately	193:15 196:6,9,14	utilities 125:16	viewed 324:7
<b>Uh-huh</b> 44:16	100:19	196:15 201:5,16	utility 132:16,22	vintage 81:9
144:1,23 174:2	unimpacted 35:7	201:19 202:6,8,23		violation 41:14
251:15 252:1	<b>unit</b> 6:7 34:7,12	204:9,22,23	V	<b>visit</b> 209:8
260:1 298:2	35:1 61:1 67:18	217:15 219:13,24	vacation 310:1	vitae 316:18
304:13	68:4,5,6,7,10,17	220:16,18,18,22	vague 149:6 180:16	voice 130:18 176:7
un-watered 54:2	68:21,21 78:10	220:24 221:6	190:4 197:21	197:9 217:13
unacceptable 42:23	260:21,23	229:7,10,11,17,23	278:19 279:2	245:10 257:15
43:13,15 79:9	units 34:20 67:5,7	230:2,3,23 276:5	284:4 291:4,14	270:6
105:13	67:11 82:8 101:18	upgradient/sideg	vagueness 221:21	<b>voices</b> 251:2
<b>unaware</b> 208:12	260:22 314:8	70:22	<b>valid</b> 281:16 282:20	<b>vs</b> 1:6
320:18	unknown 127:21	upgrading 12:8	303:17	
under-drain 59:22	252:17	122:4	value 31:13 32:4,11	W
60:1	unline 114:7	<b>uplift</b> 56:9,9,21	32:12,21 227:22	Wacker 2:11
undergrad 212:17	unnecessary 38:21	57:10 58:1,11,13	228:14,17,20	wait 158:3,3 180:20
underlying 99:16	unsafe 153:13,15	60:6,14 62:12	233:17,17 247:24	226:14,14,15
underneath 57:5	153:20 154:1,17	85:6,22 86:3	314:19 315:18	246:16,17 284:7
61:8 110:24	154:22 155:4,6,22	113:3,8,19 114:11	327:6 328:7,15	walk 213:14 244:15
understand 29:21	unseen 9:2	115:12 311:9	329:8	walking 210:12
82:19 101:3	unusual 127·20	326.7	values 32.14 33.14	212.12
	unusual $127.20$	520.7		212.12
104:16 112:8	234:4	upper 68:3,15,21	33:15 34:3 43:4	walkway 214:13
104:16 112:8 158:17 159:22	234:4 up- 56:21	upper 68:3,15,21 use 28:7,8 47:7,23	33:15 34:3 43:4 223:7 225:21,24	walkway 214:13 Wannier 2:23 5:17
104:16 112:8 158:17 159:22 203:3 227:9,15	234:4 up- 56:21 updated 16:15,16	<b>upper</b> 68:3,15,21 <b>use</b> 28:7,8 47:7,23 66:4 81:15,16	33:15 34:3 43:4 223:7 225:21,24 226:3 327:3,10,16	walkway 214:13 Wannier 2:23 5:17 5:18,19 215:10
104:16 112:8 158:17 159:22 203:3 227:9,15 234:19 243:17	<b>updated</b> 16:15,16 16:19,20,22,23	<b>upper</b> 68:3,15,21 <b>use</b> 28:7,8 47:7,23 66:4 81:15,16 86:22 93:6,13	33:15 34:3 43:4 223:7 225:21,24 226:3 327:3,10,16 328:19 329:2,4,17	walkway 214:13 Wannier 2:23 5:17 5:18,19 215:10 216:2
104:16 112:8 158:17 159:22 203:3 227:9,15 234:19 243:17 252:21 263:8	234:4 <b>up-</b> 56:21 <b>updated</b> 16:15,16 16:19,20,22,23 17:2,14 18:4 26:3	<b>upper</b> 68:3,15,21 <b>use</b> 28:7,8 47:7,23 66:4 81:15,16 86:22 93:6,13 108:5 117:10	33:15 34:3 43:4 223:7 225:21,24 226:3 327:3,10,16 328:19 329:2,4,17 330:4	walkway 214:13 Wannier 2:23 5:17 5:18,19 215:10 216:2 want 6:5 32:1
104:16 112:8 158:17 159:22 203:3 227:9,15 234:19 243:17 252:21 263:8 266:16,19 287:10	234:4 <b>up-</b> 56:21 <b>updated</b> 16:15,16 16:19,20,22,23 17:2,14 18:4 26:3 26:22 28:20 69:10	<b>upper</b> 68:3,15,21 <b>use</b> 28:7,8 47:7,23 66:4 81:15,16 86:22 93:6,13 108:5 117:10 121:14 122:22	33:15 34:3 43:4 223:7 225:21,24 226:3 327:3,10,16 328:19 329:2,4,17 330:4 <b>varies</b> 112:20	walkway 214:13 Wannier 2:23 5:17 5:18,19 215:10 216:2 want 6:5 32:1 39:11 45:1 49:1
104:16 112:8 158:17 159:22 203:3 227:9,15 234:19 243:17 252:21 263:8 266:16,19 287:10 292:15	234:4 up- 56:21 updated 16:15,16 16:19,20,22,23 17:2,14 18:4 26:3 26:22 28:20 69:10 76:23 79:8 96:10	<b>upper</b> 68:3,15,21 <b>use</b> 28:7,8 47:7,23 66:4 81:15,16 86:22 93:6,13 108:5 117:10 121:14 122:22 124:24 126:13	33:15 34:3 43:4 223:7 225:21,24 226:3 327:3,10,16 328:19 329:2,4,17 330:4 varies 112:20 various 25:10	walkway 214:13 Wannier 2:23 5:17 5:18,19 215:10 216:2 want 6:5 32:1 39:11 45:1 49:1 65:11 74:3 92:24
104:16 112:8 158:17 159:22 203:3 227:9,15 234:19 243:17 252:21 263:8 266:16,19 287:10 292:15 understandable	234:4 up- 56:21 updated 16:15,16 16:19,20,22,23 17:2,14 18:4 26:3 26:22 28:20 69:10 76:23 79:8 96:10 105:15 114:23	<b>upper</b> 68:3,15,21 <b>use</b> 28:7,8 47:7,23 66:4 81:15,16 86:22 93:6,13 108:5 117:10 121:14 122:22 124:24 126:13 127:19 139:14	33:15 34:3 43:4 223:7 225:21,24 226:3 327:3,10,16 328:19 329:2,4,17 330:4 varies 112:20 various 25:10 78:19 107:20	walkway 214:13 Wannier 2:23 5:17 5:18,19 215:10 216:2 want 6:5 32:1 39:11 45:1 49:1 65:11 74:3 92:24 101:3 129:24
104:16 112:8 158:17 159:22 203:3 227:9,15 234:19 243:17 252:21 263:8 266:16,19 287:10 292:15 <b>understandable</b> 82:22	234:4 up- 56:21 updated 16:15,16 16:19,20,22,23 17:2,14 18:4 26:3 26:22 28:20 69:10 76:23 79:8 96:10 105:15 114:23 123:6 128:3 232:6	<b>upper</b> 68:3,15,21 <b>use</b> 28:7,8 47:7,23 66:4 81:15,16 86:22 93:6,13 108:5 117:10 121:14 122:22 124:24 126:13 127:19 139:14 147:1 150:12	33:15 34:3 43:4 223:7 225:21,24 226:3 327:3,10,16 328:19 329:2,4,17 330:4 varies 112:20 various 25:10 78:19 107:20 108:11 132:19	walkway 214:13 Wannier 2:23 5:17 5:18,19 215:10 216:2 want 6:5 32:1 39:11 45:1 49:1 65:11 74:3 92:24 101:3 129:24 130:23 145:2
104:16 112:8 158:17 159:22 203:3 227:9,15 234:19 243:17 252:21 263:8 266:16,19 287:10 292:15 understandable 82:22 understanding	234:4 up- 56:21 updated 16:15,16 16:19,20,22,23 17:2,14 18:4 26:3 26:22 28:20 69:10 76:23 79:8 96:10 105:15 114:23 123:6 128:3 232:6 232:8,19 301:12	<b>upper</b> 68:3,15,21 <b>use</b> 28:7,8 47:7,23 66:4 81:15,16 86:22 93:6,13 108:5 117:10 121:14 122:22 124:24 126:13 127:19 139:14 147:1 150:12 162:10 163:18	33:15 34:3 43:4 223:7 225:21,24 226:3 327:3,10,16 328:19 329:2,4,17 330:4 varies 112:20 various 25:10 78:19 107:20 108:11 132:19 157:6 180:5	walkway 214:13 Wannier 2:23 5:17 5:18,19 215:10 216:2 want 6:5 32:1 39:11 45:1 49:1 65:11 74:3 92:24 101:3 129:24 130:23 145:2 152:11 165:20
104:16 112:8 158:17 159:22 203:3 227:9,15 234:19 243:17 252:21 263:8 266:16,19 287:10 292:15 <b>understandable</b> 82:22 <b>understanding</b> 38:2 72:19 99:13	234:4 up-56:21 updated 16:15,16 16:19,20,22,23 17:2,14 18:4 26:3 26:22 28:20 69:10 76:23 79:8 96:10 105:15 114:23 123:6 128:3 232:6 232:8,19 301:12 311:8	<b>upper</b> 68:3,15,21 <b>use</b> 28:7,8 47:7,23 66:4 81:15,16 86:22 93:6,13 108:5 117:10 121:14 122:22 124:24 126:13 127:19 139:14 147:1 150:12 162:10 163:18 169:11 181:12	33:15 34:3 43:4 223:7 225:21,24 226:3 327:3,10,16 328:19 329:2,4,17 330:4 varies 112:20 various 25:10 78:19 107:20 108:11 132:19 157:6 180:5 vary 12:23 26:2	walkway 214:13 Wannier 2:23 5:17 5:18,19 215:10 216:2 want 6:5 32:1 39:11 45:1 49:1 65:11 74:3 92:24 101:3 129:24 130:23 145:2 152:11 165:20 168:16 180:6
104:16 112:8 158:17 159:22 203:3 227:9,15 234:19 243:17 252:21 263:8 266:16,19 287:10 292:15 <b>understandable</b> 82:22 <b>understanding</b> 38:2 72:19 99:13 108:11 117:6	234:4 up- 56:21 updated 16:15,16 16:19,20,22,23 17:2,14 18:4 26:3 26:22 28:20 69:10 76:23 79:8 96:10 105:15 114:23 123:6 128:3 232:6 232:8,19 301:12 311:8 upgrade 106:8	upper 68:3,15,21 use 28:7,8 47:7,23 66:4 81:15,16 86:22 93:6,13 108:5 117:10 121:14 122:22 124:24 126:13 127:19 139:14 147:1 150:12 162:10 163:18 169:11 181:12 214:10 271:16	33:15 34:3 43:4 223:7 225:21,24 226:3 327:3,10,16 328:19 329:2,4,17 330:4 varies 112:20 various 25:10 78:19 107:20 108:11 132:19 157:6 180:5 vary 12:23 26:2 vast 12:14	walkway 214:13 Wannier 2:23 5:17 5:18,19 215:10 216:2 want 6:5 32:1 39:11 45:1 49:1 65:11 74:3 92:24 101:3 129:24 130:23 145:2 152:11 165:20 168:16 180:6 185:7,15 192:15
104:16 112:8 158:17 159:22 203:3 227:9,15 234:19 243:17 252:21 263:8 266:16,19 287:10 292:15 <b>understandable</b> 82:22 <b>understanding</b> 38:2 72:19 99:13 108:11 117:6 132:14 145:19	234:4 up- 56:21 updated 16:15,16 16:19,20,22,23 17:2,14 18:4 26:3 26:22 28:20 69:10 76:23 79:8 96:10 105:15 114:23 123:6 128:3 232:6 232:8,19 301:12 311:8 upgrade 106:8 upgradient 8:8,11	upper 68:3,15,21 use 28:7,8 47:7,23 66:4 81:15,16 86:22 93:6,13 108:5 117:10 121:14 122:22 124:24 126:13 127:19 139:14 147:1 150:12 162:10 163:18 169:11 181:12 214:10 271:16 275:20 278:10	33:15 34:3 43:4 223:7 225:21,24 226:3 327:3,10,16 328:19 329:2,4,17 330:4 varies 112:20 various 25:10 78:19 107:20 108:11 132:19 157:6 180:5 vary 12:23 26:2 vast 12:14 Veenbaas 82:16	walkway 214:13 Wannier 2:23 5:17 5:18,19 215:10 216:2 want 6:5 32:1 39:11 45:1 49:1 65:11 74:3 92:24 101:3 129:24 130:23 145:2 152:11 165:20 168:16 180:6 185:7,15 192:15 205:12 214:21
104:16 112:8 158:17 159:22 203:3 227:9,15 234:19 243:17 252:21 263:8 266:16,19 287:10 292:15 <b>understandable</b> 82:22 <b>understanding</b> 38:2 72:19 99:13 108:11 117:6 132:14 145:19 147:4 156:1	234:4 up-56:21 updated 16:15,16 16:19,20,22,23 17:2,14 18:4 26:3 26:22 28:20 69:10 76:23 79:8 96:10 105:15 114:23 123:6 128:3 232:6 232:8,19 301:12 311:8 upgrade 106:8 upgradient 8:8,11 8:12 24:15 34:7	upper 68:3,15,21 use 28:7,8 47:7,23 66:4 81:15,16 86:22 93:6,13 108:5 117:10 121:14 122:22 124:24 126:13 127:19 139:14 147:1 150:12 162:10 163:18 169:11 181:12 214:10 271:16 275:20 278:10 288:14 300:20	33:15 34:3 43:4 223:7 225:21,24 226:3 327:3,10,16 328:19 329:2,4,17 330:4 varies 112:20 various 25:10 78:19 107:20 108:11 132:19 157:6 180:5 vary 12:23 26:2 vast 12:14 Veenbaas 82:16 86:18 88:3 90:14	walkway 214:13 Wannier 2:23 5:17 5:18,19 215:10 216:2 want 6:5 32:1 39:11 45:1 49:1 65:11 74:3 92:24 101:3 129:24 130:23 145:2 152:11 165:20 168:16 180:6 185:7,15 192:15 205:12 214:21 215:11 217:14
104:16 112:8 158:17 159:22 203:3 227:9,15 234:19 243:17 252:21 263:8 266:16,19 287:10 292:15 <b>understandable</b> 82:22 <b>understanding</b> 38:2 72:19 99:13 108:11 117:6 132:14 145:19 147:4 156:1 181:11 184:20	234:4 up- 56:21 updated 16:15,16 16:19,20,22,23 17:2,14 18:4 26:3 26:22 28:20 69:10 76:23 79:8 96:10 105:15 114:23 123:6 128:3 232:6 232:8,19 301:12 311:8 upgrade 106:8 upgradient 8:8,11 8:12 24:15 34:7 35:16,19 36:4	upper 68:3,15,21 use 28:7,8 47:7,23 66:4 81:15,16 86:22 93:6,13 108:5 117:10 121:14 122:22 124:24 126:13 127:19 139:14 147:1 150:12 162:10 163:18 169:11 181:12 214:10 271:16 275:20 278:10 288:14 300:20 320:14	33:15 34:3 43:4 223:7 225:21,24 226:3 327:3,10,16 328:19 329:2,4,17 330:4 varies 112:20 various 25:10 78:19 107:20 108:11 132:19 157:6 180:5 vary 12:23 26:2 vast 12:14 Veenbaas 82:16 86:18 88:3 90:14 115:21 182:6	walkway 214:13 Wannier 2:23 5:17 5:18,19 215:10 216:2 want 6:5 32:1 39:11 45:1 49:1 65:11 74:3 92:24 101:3 129:24 130:23 145:2 152:11 165:20 168:16 180:6 185:7,15 192:15 205:12 214:21 215:11 217:14 225:4 227:11
104:16 112:8 158:17 159:22 203:3 227:9,15 234:19 243:17 252:21 263:8 266:16,19 287:10 292:15 <b>understandable</b> 82:22 <b>understanding</b> 38:2 72:19 99:13 108:11 117:6 132:14 145:19 147:4 156:1 181:11 184:20 202:8 272:22	234:4 up- 56:21 updated 16:15,16 16:19,20,22,23 17:2,14 18:4 26:3 26:22 28:20 69:10 76:23 79:8 96:10 105:15 114:23 123:6 128:3 232:6 232:8,19 301:12 311:8 upgrade 106:8 upgradient 8:8,11 8:12 24:15 34:7 35:16,19 36:4 37:20 38:9 39:7,8	upper 68:3,15,21 use 28:7,8 47:7,23 66:4 81:15,16 86:22 93:6,13 108:5 117:10 121:14 122:22 124:24 126:13 127:19 139:14 147:1 150:12 162:10 163:18 169:11 181:12 214:10 271:16 275:20 278:10 288:14 300:20 320:14 uses 32:4 46:9 77:1	33:15 34:3 43:4 223:7 225:21,24 226:3 327:3,10,16 328:19 329:2,4,17 330:4 varies 112:20 various 25:10 78:19 107:20 108:11 132:19 157:6 180:5 vary 12:23 26:2 vast 12:14 Veenbaas 82:16 86:18 88:3 90:14 115:21 182:6 Veenbaas's 87:2	walkway 214:13 Wannier 2:23 5:17 5:18,19 215:10 216:2 want 6:5 32:1 39:11 45:1 49:1 65:11 74:3 92:24 101:3 129:24 130:23 145:2 152:11 165:20 168:16 180:6 185:7,15 192:15 205:12 214:21 215:11 217:14 225:4 227:11 231:2,23 236:5
104:16 112:8 158:17 159:22 203:3 227:9,15 234:19 243:17 252:21 263:8 266:16,19 287:10 292:15 <b>understandable</b> 82:22 <b>understanding</b> 38:2 72:19 99:13 108:11 117:6 132:14 145:19 147:4 156:1 181:11 184:20 202:8 272:22 279:7 313:16	234:4 up-56:21 updated 16:15,16 16:19,20,22,23 17:2,14 18:4 26:3 26:22 28:20 69:10 76:23 79:8 96:10 105:15 114:23 123:6 128:3 232:6 232:8,19 301:12 311:8 upgrade 106:8 upgradient 8:8,11 8:12 24:15 34:7 35:16,19 36:4 37:20 38:9 39:7,8 39:10,16,23 42:2	upper 68:3,15,21 use 28:7,8 47:7,23 66:4 81:15,16 86:22 93:6,13 108:5 117:10 121:14 122:22 124:24 126:13 127:19 139:14 147:1 150:12 162:10 163:18 169:11 181:12 214:10 271:16 275:20 278:10 288:14 300:20 320:14 uses 32:4 46:9 77:1 140:6 141:11	33:15 34:3 43:4 223:7 225:21,24 226:3 327:3,10,16 328:19 329:2,4,17 330:4 varies 112:20 various 25:10 78:19 107:20 108:11 132:19 157:6 180:5 vary 12:23 26:2 vast 12:14 Veenbaas 82:16 86:18 88:3 90:14 115:21 182:6 Veenbaas's 87:2 178:15	walkway 214:13 Wannier 2:23 5:17 5:18,19 215:10 216:2 want 6:5 32:1 39:11 45:1 49:1 65:11 74:3 92:24 101:3 129:24 130:23 145:2 152:11 165:20 168:16 180:6 185:7,15 192:15 205:12 214:21 215:11 217:14 225:4 227:11 231:2,23 236:5 258:23 259:8
104:16 112:8 158:17 159:22 203:3 227:9,15 234:19 243:17 252:21 263:8 266:16,19 287:10 292:15 <b>understandable</b> 82:22 <b>understanding</b> 38:2 72:19 99:13 108:11 117:6 132:14 145:19 147:4 156:1 181:11 184:20 202:8 272:22 279:7 313:16 315:22	234:4 up-56:21 updated 16:15,16 16:19,20,22,23 17:2,14 18:4 26:3 26:22 28:20 69:10 76:23 79:8 96:10 105:15 114:23 123:6 128:3 232:6 232:8,19 301:12 311:8 upgradient 8:8,11 8:12 24:15 34:7 35:16,19 36:4 37:20 38:9 39:7,8 39:10,16,23 42:2 42:15 67:1 77:17	upper 68:3,15,21 use 28:7,8 47:7,23 66:4 81:15,16 86:22 93:6,13 108:5 117:10 121:14 122:22 124:24 126:13 127:19 139:14 147:1 150:12 162:10 163:18 169:11 181:12 214:10 271:16 275:20 278:10 288:14 300:20 320:14 uses 32:4 46:9 77:1 140:6 141:11 142:10 158:16,19	33:15 34:3 43:4 223:7 225:21,24 226:3 327:3,10,16 328:19 329:2,4,17 330:4 varies 112:20 various 25:10 78:19 107:20 108:11 132:19 157:6 180:5 vary 12:23 26:2 vast 12:14 Veenbaas 82:16 86:18 88:3 90:14 115:21 182:6 Veenbaas's 87:2 178:15 Vermont 2:15	walkway 214:13 Wannier 2:23 5:17 5:18,19 215:10 216:2 want 6:5 32:1 39:11 45:1 49:1 65:11 74:3 92:24 101:3 129:24 130:23 145:2 152:11 165:20 168:16 180:6 185:7,15 192:15 205:12 214:21 215:11 217:14 225:4 227:11 231:2,23 236:5 258:23 259:8 268:18 294:16
104:16 112:8 158:17 159:22 203:3 227:9,15 234:19 243:17 252:21 263:8 266:16,19 287:10 292:15 <b>understandable</b> 82:22 <b>understanding</b> 38:2 72:19 99:13 108:11 117:6 132:14 145:19 147:4 156:1 181:11 184:20 202:8 272:22 279:7 313:16 315:22 <b>understands</b> 189:1	234:4 up- 56:21 updated 16:15,16 16:19,20,22,23 17:2,14 18:4 26:3 26:22 28:20 69:10 76:23 79:8 96:10 105:15 114:23 123:6 128:3 232:6 232:8,19 301:12 311:8 upgrade 106:8 upgradient 8:8,11 8:12 24:15 34:7 35:16,19 36:4 37:20 38:9 39:7,8 39:10,16,23 42:2 42:15 67:1 77:17 77:18 78:3,3,7,10	upper 68:3,15,21 use 28:7,8 47:7,23 66:4 81:15,16 86:22 93:6,13 108:5 117:10 121:14 122:22 124:24 126:13 127:19 139:14 147:1 150:12 162:10 163:18 169:11 181:12 214:10 271:16 275:20 278:10 288:14 300:20 320:14 uses 32:4 46:9 77:1 140:6 141:11 142:10 158:16,19 159:13 160:1,5	33:15 34:3 43:4 223:7 225:21,24 226:3 327:3,10,16 328:19 329:2,4,17 330:4 varies 112:20 various 25:10 78:19 107:20 108:11 132:19 157:6 180:5 vary 12:23 26:2 vast 12:14 Veenbaas 82:16 86:18 88:3 90:14 115:21 182:6 Veenbaas's 87:2 178:15 Vermont 2:15 version 232:8	walkway 214:13 Wannier 2:23 5:17 5:18,19 215:10 216:2 want 6:5 32:1 39:11 45:1 49:1 65:11 74:3 92:24 101:3 129:24 130:23 145:2 152:11 165:20 168:16 180:6 185:7,15 192:15 205:12 214:21 215:11 217:14 225:4 227:11 231:2,23 236:5 258:23 259:8 268:18 294:16 302:9 305:20
104:16 112:8 158:17 159:22 203:3 227:9,15 234:19 243:17 252:21 263:8 266:16,19 287:10 292:15 understandable 82:22 understanding 38:2 72:19 99:13 108:11 117:6 132:14 145:19 147:4 156:1 181:11 184:20 202:8 272:22 279:7 313:16 315:22 understands 189:1 understood 68:24	234:4 up-56:21 updated 16:15,16 16:19,20,22,23 17:2,14 18:4 26:3 26:22 28:20 69:10 76:23 79:8 96:10 105:15 114:23 123:6 128:3 232:6 232:8,19 301:12 311:8 upgrade 106:8 upgradient 8:8,11 8:12 24:15 34:7 35:16,19 36:4 37:20 38:9 39:7,8 39:10,16,23 42:2 42:15 67:1 77:17 77:18 78:3,3,7,10 91:22,23 92:2	upper 68:3,15,21 use 28:7,8 47:7,23 66:4 81:15,16 86:22 93:6,13 108:5 117:10 121:14 122:22 124:24 126:13 127:19 139:14 147:1 150:12 162:10 163:18 169:11 181:12 214:10 271:16 275:20 278:10 288:14 300:20 320:14 uses 32:4 46:9 77:1 140:6 141:11 142:10 158:16,19 159:13 160:1,5 162:3 172:24	33:15 34:3 43:4 223:7 225:21,24 226:3 327:3,10,16 328:19 329:2,4,17 330:4 varies 112:20 various 25:10 78:19 107:20 108:11 132:19 157:6 180:5 vary 12:23 26:2 vast 12:14 Veenbaas 82:16 86:18 88:3 90:14 115:21 182:6 Veenbaas's 87:2 178:15 Vermont 2:15 version 232:8 versus 5:8 8:8	walkway 214:13 Wannier 2:23 5:17 5:18,19 215:10 216:2 want 6:5 32:1 39:11 45:1 49:1 65:11 74:3 92:24 101:3 129:24 130:23 145:2 152:11 165:20 168:16 180:6 185:7,15 192:15 205:12 214:21 215:11 217:14 225:4 227:11 231:2,23 236:5 258:23 259:8 268:18 294:16 302:9 305:20 310:19 311:18

wanted 48:20 60:10	114:8 115:1,11	286:7 287:12	90:19 92:11	124:22 125:3
87:17 115:4 120:6	116:13,15,19	293:16 304:24	106:13 108:8	157:16 187:16
215:19 326:10	117:4 124:24	307:19 320:23	111:3,16 115:16	189:18 191:2
warm 207:15	125:3 149:10,16	321:4 323:15	119:10 126:10	192:7 193:22
warning 55:11	149:17 153:3	327:13 328:20	137:7 139:24	194:17,19 195:7
57:16 84:14	172:4,13,13,20	way 15:1 24:15	140:1 141:15	196:7,9 199:4,5
111:13	207:5,15 208:5	28:10 47:2,9 64:4	143:6 146:3 162:7	199:17,20 200:10
wash 208:5	249:5 253:6,9,14	68:2 83:13 93:11	163:1,4,23 164:2	201:1,4 217:23
Washington 2:16	253:20,22 254:6	94:20 124:7	169:9 185:24	218:3 219:8,13,15
wasn't 72:21 77:11	255:22 258:4	126:17,18 127:24	190:17 192:13	220:1,1,8,18,19
123:12 233:20	263:3 265:18	135:22 166:21	204:11,20 210:3	221:2,9,12 229:7
243:9 248:15,16	267:5 296:9,18,24	180:14,24 192:13	219:9 232:4	229:23 230:1,4
waste 46:22 72:11	300:1,3,4,9,14,15	203:10 211:6	262:22,24 273:13	238:8 244:19,24
72:13 104:20,22	300:17 301:5	217:9 243:21	277:1 298:19	245:4,16 263:4
127:10 132:2,5	303:18,19,21	258:6 265:16	320:10	276:8 283:13,15
149:24 150:2,12	306:22 318:15	269:20 270:19	weathering 148:20	283:16,18,24
150:15,17 151:2,2	324:16 325:14	278:18 286:18	<b>web</b> 100:6	284:6,18,21,23
152:3,13 153:10	watering 62:18	291:20 311:3	website 98:7	285:4,5,6,9,11,11
211:7 213:10	Waukegan 14:20	316:10 323:3,20	307:21 308:8	285:12,15,19
298:10,16 299:11	81:1,3,18,23 82:3	ways 180:15 323:6	Webster 2:20	286:23 287:6
299:16 300:1	83:24 84:5 85:9	we'll 48:2 81:21	week 90:10 332:14	292:3,4 304:6,8
323:22,23	86:21 88:1,16,20	106:18 217:7	weekly 213:8	304:17 305:2,4,5
wastes 50:10 153:4	90:2,4,8,18 91:18	244:2 259:7	weight 57:15,21	305:12,16 306:1,3
wastewater 208:4	91:23 93:5,14,17	288:20	58:12 113:9,15	306:4,5 317:21,23
watch 114:6	96:11 98:6,11	we're 14:12 15:14	325:13	318:23 319:2
water 5:10 9:19,20	100:22 101:14	15:14 19:15 28:14	wells 7:24 8:5,10	321:5
9:23,24 10:1,14	102:4,8,20 105:6	71:21 73:20 74:24	10:11 11:7 13:7	went 27:9 44:7
10:21 11:6,8 12:7	105:9,11,20,23	96:2,2 100:14	21:8 23:2,3,18	47:23 59:1 146:6
12:9,15 13:4	184:12,13 185:14	101:4 103:1,13,14	24:17 26:2 29:2,3	323:9 327:8
23:16 31:5,6,14	185:17 188:20	104:11 139:3	29:4,9,9 31:14,21	weren't 133:8
31:14,16 35:7	192:8,16,23	145:21 160:3,4	31:23 33:7 35:17	215:18 322:23
38:7 42:24 43:2,4	193:23 194:10	16/:15 1/2:19	35:19 36:1,4,5,20	west 2:2 68:12 82:9
43:6,6,15,17,19	195:7,23 200:14	184:1/216:10	38:9,13,15 40:14	84:1 92:9 100:22
50:19 54:2 56:16	206:10,18,18	227:2,3 235:2	43:19 44:3 66:19	186:6 189:18,20
57:7,10,21,23	207:4,11,22	250:24 269:23	66:21 /0:18,20,24	192:22 193:2,2
59:10,14,16 60:2	208:16,20 209:6	280:1 288:1 291:2	/1:3,22 /3:10	198:24 306:21
00.10 01.15 05.18	209.10,13,20,21	294.4 293.3 298.7	/3.15 /0.4,10,12	<b>Western</b> /1.1
03:24 03:0 08:3	210:1 212:4,21,21	302:8 314:9 220:19 220:20	90:18,23 91:2,21	103.18 Wheel 122.11
08:14 / 8:20 / 9:9	215:7,15,25 214:7	320:18 329:20	94:2,7,11 90:17	<b>wheel</b> 132:11
/9:11 81:16 82:24	214:15,10,17	331:22	100:23 101:11,23	white 238:10
03.3,10 03.4,11	227.7238.7	we ve 9:7 15:10	102.1 117.19,22	willes 238:19
00.0,9 87.10 105.10 100.4	237.20 241:10	20.25 21:1 24:4	11/.24 118.7	willingnoss 211.1
103.17 108.0	243.3,10 240.22	23.13 33.12 41.18 12.16 15.2 7 51.0	121./ 122.2,4,3,/	Wilmotto 2:7
109.3 113.8,9,11	249.21 239.0,7,10	45.10 45.5,/ 51.8	122.0,10,10,18,125.9	windows 200.7
113.13,10,23	201.12 203.10	72.10 73.0 64.10	123.10,13 124.4	willuows 209.7

February 2, 2018

Page 371

<b>XV:</b> 122.22	20/ 11 207 17 22	221.7	01 0 40 0 4	04 0 111 12
<b>Wisconsin</b> 132:22	286:11 287:17,22	321:7	01 249:24	94:8 111:12
witness 6:16,177:3	303:17	year's 15:16,17	019094 34:1	20/:10
17:16 23:24 64:11	worst 115:4	years 9:8 10:17	<b>0</b> 7 104:1	<b>12-inch</b> 55:9,10
64:13,21 69:1	worth 15:17,17	17:21 35:12 42:11	1	84:10
138:23 140:23	209:3	45:24 53:11,15	<u> </u>	129 4:3,4,16,16,17
142:23 144:20	wouldn't 24:4	54:6 82:13 85:14	1 5:13 23:21 24:1	4:17,18,18,19,19
149:12 152:20	199:15 218:9	107:17,18 114:23	30:9 37:13 40:15	4:20,20
154:10 156:18,21	245:20 256:1	127:22 134:23	44://0:21 80:/	<b>13</b> 76:4,4 207:3
158:1,10 159:16	265:4 275:24	136:3 160:1 207:2	88:17,18 89:7	<b>13-15</b> 1:6 5:9
174:20 175:5	276:24 296:19,20	290:18 309:15	91:2 94:18 104:1	<b>1300</b> 2:21
179:23 180:18	316:24	316:19 321:11	118:1,7 178:8	<b>13th</b> 332:4
181:19 189:5,13	write 288:8	Yep 130:19 239:10	196:7 199:17	<b>14</b> 94:8 199:5
190:5 195:16	written 168:21	255:12	200:10,19 201:2	<b>15</b> 22:4 94:8 203:22
197:23 198:6	215:21,24 223:21	yesterday 5:13 7:7	248:8,8 271:20,20	288:20
222:1 225:11	288:9 332:5	7:13 11:1,14	318:17,22 319:3	<b>16</b> 17:6 77:17 91:2
240:9 245:12	wrong 58:7 120:3	13:15 14:23 19:8	319:12	94:11 145:13,18
246:18 248:10	134:15	19:10 31:20 32:11	<b>1-N</b> 108:24 109:1,3	283:17 284:6,21
256:9 260:19	wrote 239:11	35:15 38:16 45:21	120:5	<b>1600 2</b> :11
265:12 270:10	Wyoming 163:18	46:1 53:24 55:18	1-North 108:18,20	<b>17</b> 138:5
277:19 279:5	· · ·	60:11,18 65:9	108:23 178:1,9	<b>18</b> 113:15 138:5
284:5 294:19	X	71:24 77:17 87:19	311:1	298:1
302:23 317:13,19	<b>X</b> 4:1,14 7:5 129:20	91:6 128:4 143:11	<b>1-S</b> 108:24 109:1,3	18-inch 307:10
317:20 322:2	240:15,16 312:15	<b>voung</b> 207:8 212:11	1-South 108:18,20	<b>19</b> 7:198:340:20
witnesses 51:12	326:20 330:20	vounger 209:9	108:24 311:2	40:22 76:5
women 207.8	<b>xxx</b> 298:23		<b>1.3</b> 113:24	<b>19-</b> 134·22
wondering 150.23		Z	<b>1:50</b> 205:16	<b>19-acre</b> 98·14
153.9 287.10	Y	zero 249:12 292:15	<b>1:55</b> 205:24	<b>1920s</b> 50·1
word 64.17 197.24	<b>Y</b> 7:2	<b>zone</b> 24:9,12,18	<b>10</b> 3:3 8:10,12	<b>1923</b> 81·9
198.9 273.24	yeah 19:10 20:10	41:13 68:3 73:8	35:22 76:5 144:17	<b>1955</b> 45:23 107:17
320.3	37:12 49:12 75:10	73:12 121:2,8	144:24 145:4	<b>1960s</b> 50.7
wording 173.4	75:20 78:4 83:22	200:13	199:5 327:20	<b>1965</b> 45·24
words 25.23 64.19	88:12,14 134:12	<b>zones</b> 24:20	<b>10:45</b> 106:13	<b>1977</b> 82:11 83:6
116.12 201.14	134:21 138:7		100 2:2 184:3	109.23
work 61.12 133.24	157:18 179:9	0	244:12 245:19	107.25
206.17	187:2 199:24	<b>0.003</b> 276:22 328:4	309.15	<b>1082</b> 133.22
200.17 worked 125.15	200:24 203:9	<b>0.049</b> 249:3	1000 2:15	1902 199.22 1000s 00.5
127.8 124.22	219:8 222:9,11	<b>0.050</b> 248:21	1004 2.7	<b>19905</b> 99.5 <b>1007</b> 82.10 101.22
127.0 134.23	223:22 233:23	<b>0.2</b> 262:11 266:1	<b>11</b> 8·10 11 35·20 23	<b>1997</b> 82.10 101.22 <b>1009</b> 26.9 09.20
510.22	234:4 249:4	<b>0.6</b> 262:11 265:19	39.12 18 20 181.6	101.22 102.0
<b>WORKING</b> 155.10,14	253:13 266:1	265:22 266:1,2,8	304·17	101.22 105.0
212.22 215.10	267:21 272:22	<b>0013</b> 250:1	11_500 2.3	323.17 1000 46.4 09.20
WUFKS 203.1 World 200-2 222-17	278:22 283:10	<b>002</b> 104:1	<b>11-00</b> 106·18 107·1	1999 40.4 98:20
woria 200:2 322:17	289:23	<b>003</b> 328:2	<b>11.00</b> 100.10 107.1	2
worry 184:23	vear 18:13 26:15	006 248:18	1100 2.16	$\frac{-}{25.1170.2401.2}$
worrying 213:17	26:16 42.10 201.4	<b>009</b> 250:1	<b>17</b> 37.4 56.70 76.5	103.21 110.24 21.2
worse 28:12 124:8			1# J4.7 J0.20 /0.J	105.21 110.10

118:1,7 150:10	<b>2018</b> 1:17 5:11,13	118:1,7 122:7,8	<b>411</b> 195:5,6 218:12	261:9 278:6
200:10 260:2	332:11	200:10 271:20	226:18,21,23	<b>5-5</b> 16:17,17 69:10
271:19 318:23	<b>202</b> 2:17	314:3 318:23	227:6 320:23	119:9 232:2 233:7
<b>2-S</b> 108:14 111:8	<b>205</b> 4:4	<b>3-S</b> 108:14 111:11	415 2:22	241:10 242:8
111:10 112:23	<b>206</b> 4:5	117:11	<b>42</b> 76:21 283:8	244:4,5 246:9
113:18	<b>207</b> 144:14,15	<b>3-South</b> 108:15	<b>42352</b> 178:4	247:13,21 256:22
<b>2-South</b> 108:14	145:14	110:7 112:21	<b>43</b> 77:6 140:17	278:6
110:6	<b>208</b> 144:15,17,21	<b>3.5</b> 103:22	141:2,4 283:8,9	<b>5/2017</b> 67:19
<b>2.0</b> 94:18	<b>21</b> 15:5 16:16 207:3	<b>3:30</b> 289:4	304:2	<b>50</b> 19:21 45:24
<b>2.9</b> 219:19	<b>2101</b> 2:20	<b>30</b> 54:6 56:24 85:14	<b>44</b> 81:2	69:21 92:20
<b>2:00</b> 332:10	<b>211</b> 4:5,6	203:19 312:8	<b>440</b> 59:7	107:17 109:3
<b>20</b> 13:12 85:14	<b>215</b> 4:6	<b>30-E</b> 178:3	<b>441.5</b> 59:5	119:2,16 127:22
177:2 195:21	<b>216</b> 4:7	<b>30-inch</b> 115:7	<b>445</b> 56:19	159:24 177:2
203:22	<b>22</b> 16:22 18:7,17	<b>312</b> 2:4,8,12 3:4 4:7	<b>45</b> 81:22 106:13	250:12 255:14
<b>20005</b> 2:16	200:4 327:15	4:8	<b>45512</b> 221:22 222:5	320:7
<b>2000s</b> 82:12 106:7	<b>222</b> 150:7	<b>32</b> 58:14	<b>457.5</b> 56:18	<b>5026</b> 288:5
<b>2005</b> 21:13 294:23	<b>223</b> 150:10	<b>326</b> 4:8,9	<b>46</b> 82:2 137:15,17	<b>50260</b> 288:5,6
<b>2007</b> 206:11	<b>226</b> 181:4	<b>33</b> 66:17	137:19,23 165:23	289:18
<b>2009</b> 117:12 134:22	<b>23</b> 20:19 21:3 162:1	<b>330</b> 4:9,10	165:24 168:11	<b>510</b> 297:5,10
<b>2010</b> 35:13 40:3	294:18 312:9	<b>332</b> 4:10	<b>47</b> 83:23 306:7	<b>51281</b> 97:18
53:3 77:2 109:4	<b>24</b> 26:3 111:2 115:8	<b>333</b> 4:11,11	<b>472</b> 97:23	<b>52</b> 93:3
123:15	173:12 219:19	<b>34</b> 67:4,7,11 68:3	<b>48</b> 90:17 108:22	<b>528.5</b> 112:19
<b>2011</b> 40:4	220:11,12	<b>34311</b> 297:12,16	<b>48961</b> 131:7,8	<b>53</b> 93:22
<b>2013</b> 53:3,14	<b>25</b> 142:13 301:7,9	<b>35</b> 2:11 67:7,12,14	134:20	<b>54</b> 96:10 173:6,10
<b>2014</b> 17:13,13	<b>251-5255</b> 3:4	<b>36</b> 110:3 112:9	<b>48962</b> 132:8,17	55 96:10,13 304:24
18:14,15 41:9	<b>26</b> 9:7 48:8,12	324:24 325:4	<b>49</b> 91:4 109:1	<b>56</b> 100:18,20
204:3 232:15,22	<b>263-4453</b> 2:17	<b>3600</b> 3:3	249:10,14	102:23 103:13
239:17 249:17	<b>268-P</b> 194:9 249:20	<b>37</b> 158:23	<b>49569</b> 177:15	<b>57</b> 102:24 103:14
254:5 260:11	260:14,16 326:22	<b>370</b> 222:23 224:13		188:7,8,14
261:12 272:13	<b>269-P</b> 328:23 329:1	<b>38</b> 70:10	5	<b>579</b> 310:17
273:6 274:11	<b>27</b> 9:7 11:16 33:23	<b>380</b> 228:22 229:2	<b>5</b> 4:2 70:24,24 94:8	<b>579.0</b> 310:13
298:1 327:13	40:11 51:11	<b>39</b> 73:4	94:11 118:1,7,12	<b>58</b> 107:9 185:1
328:20	<b>28</b> 51:16 332:11		122:3,4 187:10	187:7,8
<b>2015</b> 18:11 41:10	<b>280</b> 228:21	4	203:18 288:3	<b>581</b> 112:21
61:17 73:14	<b>282-9119</b> 2:8	<b>4</b> 70:24 91:2 94:7	289:19 318:24	<b>582</b> 85:2
107:24 120:5	<b>284</b> 177:10	118:7 122:4,7,8	<b>5-1</b> 233:9 246:10	<b>582.5</b> 112:24
200:4 203:18	<b>29</b> 11:24 26:5 36:16	196:7 199:18	247:16,17,21,23	<b>583</b> 85:4 306:22
321:7 327:15	37:13,15,21 40:24	200:10,10 318:23	248:7,11,17 254:3	<b>584.0</b> 307:11
<b>2016</b> 17:13 18:1	42:19,22 54:8	<b>40</b> 16:9 92:20 162:1	254:19 255:13	<b>585.5</b> 84:23 307:10
232:23	157:7,12 163:13	203:19 220:12	<b>5-2</b> 233:10 247:18	<b>58963</b> 131:20
<b>2017</b> 16:21,24	164:21 294:1	316:19	259:20 274:6	<b>59</b> 187:10
17:14 18:3 26:23	<b>2nd</b> 1:16	<b>400</b> 230:20	<b>5-4</b> 16:15,23 18:17	<b>5th</b> 332:6
27:2 77:2 102:1,2		405 33:21	69:10 119:11	
118:12 123:15	<b>3</b>	<b>41</b> 75:6 161:23	232:2 233:2,3,7	$\frac{0}{0}$
232:19,23 328:22	<b>3</b> 70:24 91:2 110:17	<b>410</b> 195:20	238:5,7 259:5	<b>6</b> 94:8 103:24 118:7

February 2, 2018

Page 373

159:4 166:1	12:16 35:22 41:1	<b>908</b> 4:20 29:24,24		
219:15,18 220:8	41:7 42:1 87:18	39:3 40:20,22		
260:2 267:2	88:10 122:7,10	128:9,23 129:2		
271:19	144:17,24 145:4	<b>909</b> 4:20		
<b>6.3</b> 219:19	188:14 219:15,17	<b>90s</b> 308:11 309:3		
<b>60</b> 16:9 19:21 69:21	219:18,19 220:8	<b>90th</b> 32:18		
84:12 92:20 119:2	220:11 222:24	<b>94612</b> 2:21		
298:17	227:18 228:17	<b>977-5637</b> 2:22		
<b>60091</b> 2:7	229:9,9 321:5,6	<b>9th</b> 332:7		
<b>604</b> 37:10	<b>80</b> 127:22			
<b>60601</b> 2:3,12	<b>80-year</b> 320:8			
<b>60603</b> 3:4	<b>80s</b> 207:1			
<b>60s</b> 50:7	<b>814-6983</b> 2:4			
<b>61</b> 110:16 309:21				
<b>62</b> 107:18 117:18	9			
<b>620</b> 25:5,7	<b>9</b> 8:10 12:4,7,10,15			
<b>623</b> 97:12,13,13,22	36:15 76:4 104:18			
<b>624</b> 97:2,5,7	118:1 150:9			
<b>63</b> 118:10	219:16,17,18,19			
<b>64</b> 118:19	220:8			
<b>640</b> 222:18	<b>9-040</b> 1:16			
<b>65</b> 118:19	<b>9:00</b> 1:17			
<b>66</b> 119:19	<b>90</b> 32:23,24			
<b>662</b> 332:6	<b>90-</b> 39:1			
<b>666</b> 228:24	<b>900</b> 4:16 128:9,21			
<b>67</b> 121:1	129:1 130:2,4			
<b>69</b> 121:19	<b>901</b> 4:16 20:22 48:7			
<b>690</b> 222:21 224:10	91:5 107:9 128:22			
228:4 230:20	140:19,20 294:18			
	301:8			
	<b>902</b> 4:17 128:22			
74:2,3 94:8,11	287:24 288:1,2,4			
227:18 228:3	289:17 301:8			
321:5	903 4:17 128:22			
7.4 122:2	140:21,22 141:3			
70 123:5,5 320:7	231:21 289:17			
71 123:20 305:22	904 4:18 128:22			
740 25:5	231:11,13,18			
75th 32:18	233:4			
79 235:18,20	905 4:18 128:22			
7 <b>95-3712</b> 2:12	906 4:19 25:16			
7 <b>th</b> 332:9	128:22 281:2			
Q	907 4:19 79:1			
$\frac{0}{9.0000000000000000000000000000000000$	105:16,16 124:15			
0 0.11,12 12.8,11	128:22			